Minutes of Council and General Assembly
meetings held in Amsterdam
October 26 – 27 (Council)
28 & 30 (General Assembly), 1970

Please note that for the first time the minutes of Council meeting and General Assembly meeting have been condensed in one document.

For the vote, the following rules will be followed:
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- third number will be “abstention”.

Example: 7/1/1
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During the meeting, Mr. Carteron was replaced by Mr. Renard as General Assembly member for France. Mr. Santesmases and his successor Mr. Moreno-Diaz represented the Spanish society alternately.
1. Opening of Meeting

The President opened the Council and General Assembly meetings and welcomed all participants.

Council and General Assembly unanimously APPROVED the Agendas and their meetings.

2. Reading and approval of minutes

Council and General Assembly unanimously APPROVED the minutes of their meetings with the following corrections:

2.1 (C1-Atlantic City-70-1):

Minute 6.3 end of page 13 reads as follows:

"Mr. Harder answered that he will try to use this money upon the occasion of the next Prolamats Conference or another occasion and to transfer a corresponding amount from the external funds of that activity to IFIP treasury in Geneva."

2.2 (GA. Prague -69-2)

Minute 4.5 (page 4) should read as follows:

"An approach to all Full Members to subscribe to a new dues scale which would double the present income from dues."

Minute 24.3 (page 20). The Activity Planning Committee should be listed under 24.2 (Standing Committees).

3. IFIP Secretariat Geneva

3.1 Mr. Bobillier presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-secr.1).

The transfer of the administrative secretariat files from London to Geneva took place on December 31, 1969.

The Secretariat started the production of the IFIP Information Bulletin. Two issues were sent out so far: Nr. 1 in January, Nr. 2 in August 1970.

The agreement between IFIP and Smith, Bucklin & Associates S., states that the latter put at IFIP’s disposal an Administrative Secretary (up to his full time), a secretary (up to full time) and the necessary space, office equipment, etc. The total annual cost to IFP is US$ 20,000.00 for the above. Direct out-of-pocket expenses such as travelling, mail, telephone shall be charged separately. The agreement runs for one year and is thereafter subject to negotiation.

On October 16, 1970 the newly appointed IFIP Administrative Secretary, Mr. Francis M. Schumacher, of Swiss nationality, experienced in international marketing and management, announced that the office of the permanent Secretariat of IFIP was established at the following address:

IFIP SECRETARIAT tel: 022 46 58 93
32, rue de L’Athenée Cable GUIDINT-GENEVA
1206 GENEVA, SWITZERLAND Telex 27 442

Council recommended that General Assembly approve the report (vote:7/0/1).

General Assembly unanimously APPROVED the report.
3.2 Seat of IFIP

Mr. Harder presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-Executive Body-1).

At Atlantic City the Secretary was requested to study the possibility of moving the seat of IFIP from Liege to Geneva, and to report to the Executive Body.

The Executive Body has considered all aspects of this proposal, the greater convenience to secretariat, the process of changing the statutes, the requirements of a resident officer who is a citizen, the effect on Investment Revenue, and the problem of changing the seat from one country to another.

The Executive Body felt that the advantages of the proposal are substantial, and recommended that the seat of IFIP be moved to Geneva.

Council recommended that General Assembly approve the report (vote: 7/0/1).

General Assembly AGREED

that the seat of IFIP be moved from Liege (Belgium) to Geneva (Switzerland) and to amend Art. 2 IFIP Statutes accordingly;

APPROVED the report

4. Technical Committees

4.1 TC-1 Terminology

Mr. van Wijngaarden, Chairman TC-1, presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-TC1-1) stating that the Guide to Concepts and Terms in Data Processing had been finalized for publication. Editor is Mr. I. Gould (WG. 1.1, Chairman). Volume 2 will come out next year.

Mr. van Wijngaarden requested the help of the General Assembly members to take all necessary steps for the translation into their own language, or for its early completion.

Mr. Zemanek suggested that an announcement be worked out by TC-1 for publication in the IFIP Bulletin.

Council recommended that General Assembly approve the report (vote: 7/0/1).

General Assembly APPROVED

the report with the request to the TC-1 Chairman to send a copy of Volume 1 to the General Assembly representatives of those members which are not represented in TC-1.

4.2 TC-2 Programming

Mr. Steel, Chairman TC-2, presented his report (C.GA.Amsterdam-70-.TC2-1).

WG 2.1 had worked out the following amended scope:

“WG 2.1 is responsible for:
the continuing support of ALGOL 60;
the promulgation and development of ALGOL 68;
the exploration and evaluation of new ideas in the field of programming languages, possibly leading to further languages.”

The Proceedings of the Working Conference on ALGOL 68 Implementation will be published in March 1971. The delay is due to the number of pages estimated at two times what was planned in the contract with the publisher.
A further TC-2 Working Conference on Graphic Languages is planned for Spring 1972 in Vancouver (Canada).

Mr. van Wijngaarden expressed his regret for the lack of activity concerning AOLGO 68 in the U.S. Mr. Tanaka stated that he would investigate the matter with ACM.

Mr. Bobillier asked that information be sent to the Secretariat to be included in the IFIP Information Bulletin, so that the General Assembly members be informed of the activity of the Working Group.

Mr. Harder suggested a cooperation with TC-5

Council recommended that General Assembly approve the amended WG 2.1 scope and the TC-2 report.

General Assembly unanimously APPROVED
- the amended WG 2.1 scope;
- the TC-2 report.

4.3 TC-3 Education

4.3.1 Mr. Buckingham presented his report (C.GA.Amsterdam-70-TC-3-1) and TC3-2).

The following documents were distributed by TC-3:
- “Computer Education in Secondary Schools”; an outline guide for teachers (August 1970 edition);
- “IFIP Seminar Hungary 69”; report on the International Seminar in Administrative Data Processing Hungary 69;
- IAG Journal Volume 3, Nr. 3 1970;
- CEPIA – IFIP 1971; Seminaire en langue francaise sur le traitement automatique de l’information dans l’entreprise et l’administration;
- “Computer Education” Volume 2 April 1970;
- “Audiovisual Media for Computer Education”.

TC-3 proposed to appoint an Education Officer in 1971 with technical knowledge of computing and education, with the task of administering and coordination activities within the programme of activities of TC-3. Funds were requested for such an officer at least on a part-time basis.

The President asked what the cost would be for the education officer. Mr. Buckingham explained that it would amount to US$ 6,500.00 for half the salary of the proposed officer and for travel expenses.

Mr. Harder asked if the university education in computer science should not be included in the TC-3 activities. Mr. Zemanek said this would be too big a work for IFIP and furthermore most universities would not accept input from IFIP.

Mr. Chevion supported the proposal to have an education officer.

Council recommended that General Assembly approve the TC-3 report, and asked that an ad hoc Committee (consisting of Messrs. Buckingham, Chairman, Bauer and Harder) study the proposal and report to the General Assembly.

Mr. Bobillier asked if the two recommendations contained in the paper “IFIP World Conference on Computer Education – Recommendations drafted by the Recommendations Committee and approved by the plenary meeting at the end of the Conference, August 28, 1970” to IFIP (Nr. 5 & Nr. 9) are part of the TC-3 Report. The answer was no; they will be considered later.
Mr. Beltran recommended that the activities of TC-3 be also organized outside Europe.

Mr. Dadda proposed as a new task for TC-3 to prepare teaching packages to be used by local institutions for organizing courses in their countries. Mr. Buckingham agreed but also mentioned the documents already available.

Mr. Zemanek stressed that such a collection of lectures should be accompanied by a set of remarks on the objectives, organization, experiences, etc. He further proposed that TC-3 prepare a paper describing what a teaching package should look like, independent of the subject.

4.3.2 The President repeated the proposal by the Council that the project of a full time education officer be studied by an ad hoc Committee consisting of Messrs. Buckingham (Chairman), Bauer and Harder.

Mr. Harder proposed to exclude the financial matters from the discussion on the TC-3 report and to deal with them separately (see point 7.3).

Council recommended that General Assembly approve the report.

General Assembly APPROVED

- the proposal of the President, and
- the TC-3 report with the exception of those parts referring to the education officer;
- the proposal by Mr. Harder;

NOTED that in the future the TC-3 Chairman will be permanently invited as full voting member to the Activities Executive Committee of IAG.

4.4 TC-4 Medicine

Mr. Gremy presented his report (C.GA.Amsterdam-70-TC4-1 and TC4-2).

4.4.1 The following TC-4 Working Conferences are planned:

- “Computer Application in ECG (Electrocardiography) and VCG (Vectocardiography) Analysis”, Hannover (Germany), October 4-8, 1971. The Organizing Committee is chaired by Prof. B. Schneider, Hannover;
- “Mathematical Models in Biology and Medicine”, Varna (Bulgaria), May 22-28, 1972. The Organizing Committee is chaired by Prof. Bl. Sendov, Sofia

Mr. Zemanek asked how the proceedings will be published. Mr. Gremy stated that contacts are being taken up with North Holland. Mr. Bobillier recommended that Mr. Kroneberg (Chairman Publications and Information Committee) be involved in these discussions.

4.4.2 WG 4.1 recommended Mr. J. Anderson as Secretary at the Madrid meeting (April 1970). Dr. Husak, Chairman, resigned as of October 14, 1970. The TC-4 Chairman will make a proposal for the new chairman.

The establishment of a new WG for “Data Acquisition Methods in Medicine” is being studied but will be formally proposed next year.

4.4.3 The cooperation between WHO and IFIP was discussed. TC-4 requested the approval of the General Assembly to make a request for official relationship with WHO. Council drew the attention to the fact that these contacts must be coordinated with Mr. Auerbach, Chairman of the CLIGO (Committee for Liaison with International Governmental Organization).

Mr. Gremy regretted to have heard about a planned IAG Conference on Medical Data Processing in Stockholm in September 1971 without having been contacted. Mr. Bobillier recommended that in the future such conflicting activities of different IFIP bodies be avoided. Mr. Duyverman said he would take the necessary steps to ensure that in the future the IAG Activities Executive Committee will take up contacts with the corresponding TC before taking a decision.
4.4.4 The cooperation with IFAC for the “IFAC Symposium on Automatic Control and Computers in the Medical Field” was disrecommended because of the too broad subject.

Mr. Harder expressed his regret that IFIP cannot cooperate with IFAC for the planned conference because this conference could generate interesting subjects which would be left to IFAC and which should be dealt with in IFIP.

Mr. Bobillier stressed the necessity for the future activities of IFIP to have a more formal schedule: TC’s and IAG plans being communicated to the Activity Planning Committee with the corresponding financial requests; recommendation of the APC forwarded to the Finance Committee which reports to the Council. Consequently the TC Chairmen will have to provide this information early enough for inclusion in the APC report.

Mr. Beltran stressed that all TC-4 activities have taken place in Europe so far and suggested that some future TC-4 activities be planned outside Europe. Mr. Gremy declared he would be happy to comply with this suggestion, provided that representatives of the countries concerned contribute to TC-4 work and take appropriate initiatives.

The next meeting of TC-4 was planned in Ljubljana during the Congress 71 and TC-4 suggested that the General Assembly meeting be held after the Congress 71.

Mr. Zemanek drew the attention to the established policy of holding TC meetings before the General Assembly which precedes the Congress.

Council rejected the proposal to change the schedule of General Assembly meeting, unanimously recommended that General Assembly approve the report and noted with deep regret that Dr. Th. Husak has felt obliged to resign as Chairman of WG 4.1.

General Assembly unanimously APPROVED the following requests, includes in document TC4-2:

- the establishment of official relationship of IFIP with the World Health Organisation;
- the programme concerning the TC-4 Working Conferences to be held at Hannover, October 1971 on “Computer Applications in ECG and VCG Analysis” and at Varna, May 1972 on “Mathematical Models in Biology and Medicine”;
- the TC-4 budget item (US$ 3,000.00 for activities in 1971).

4.5 TC-5 Computer Applications in Technology

Mr. Plander, in the absence of Mr. Williams, presented the TC-5 report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-TC5-1).

4.5.1 TC-5 has been invited to hold Prolamat II in Spring 1973 in Hungary. A formal final proposal will be submitted by the end of November 1970 including details of the call for papers, symposia organizational planning, and a budget or suitable guarantee.

Furthermore TC-5 was invited by Norsk Data Elektronik and Norcontrol to hold a symposium on Ship Automation, July 3-5, 1972 in Oslo.

Consequently TC-5 requested General Assembly approval for the following:

- That the TC-5 Chairman be authorized to negotiate with the Norwegian Group as well as with the people concerned within IFAC and IFORS on the organization and possible sponsorship of the above mentioned symposium for which IFIP should take the lead.

4.5.2 Messrs. Dadda and Sem-Sandberg proposed that a separate committee be established on the Design of National and International Data Transmission Networks.

The President appointed an ad hoc Committee (Messrs. Sem-Sandberg, Chairman, Auerbach, Dadda, Douglas) to study this field, take contacts with existing bodies (CCITT or others) and report to the next Council meeting possibly with a proposal for the establishment of a new technical committee on this subject.
Council unanimously recommended that General Assembly approve the report and that the TC-5 Chairman be authorized to proceed with negotiations according to the TC-5 request.

General Assembly APPROVED
- the report including the scope of TC-5 (Appendix III of the report);
- and authorized the TC-5 Chairman to act as requested by TC-5 but make no commitments regarding the organization of joint Symposium until they have been cleared through FIACC.

5. Special Interest Groups – IAG

Mr. Duyverman presented to the Council his report (C.GA.Amsterdam-70-IAG 1) containing 9 proposals:

1. to solve the pending problem of the Statutes between IAG and IFIP by entering in the IFIP Statutes the rule that an IAG budget may be rejected by a 2/3 majority of votes cast by the General Assembly;

2. to support the organization of IAG desks in North America, Latin America, Africa and the Far East;

3. to support an IAG ad hoc committee in charge of creating a new category of IAG partners;

4. to recommend promotion of cooperation between CLIPO, CLIGO and the IAG Standing Relations Committee;

5. to approve the IAG tentative programme of activities for 1971 as approved by the 3rd IAG General Conference;

6. to approve the IAG publications programme for 1971 as approved by the 3rd IAG General Conference;

7. to approve the IAG financial documents (Appendix II, III, IV)
   - audited balance sheet and income-expenditure account 1969
   - interim financial report January – September 1970;
   - budget for 1971.

8. to approve the admission of the following national groups as members of IAG: Brazil, Bulgaria, Chile, Italy, Japan, Paraguay and South Africa;

9. to approve the admission of EEC Brussels and Euratom Cetis as affiliate members of IAG.

Mr. Zemanek asked for the definition of a “desk” as used above under 2. Mr. Beltran asked what the relation would be between the IFIP members, the IAG members of partners and these desks to be created.

Mr. Zemanek proposed to drop the word Brazil in proposal 8, the IFIP-ABRACE relationship being not clear.

Mr. Bobillier proposed to drop the word South Africa in proposal 8.

Mr. Chevion proposed amendments to proposals 1 to 3. He pointed out that the proposal 3, was not in compliance with the IAG Bylaws.

Mr. Zemanek questioned the purpose of proposal 4, which recommends cooperation within CLIGO and CLIPO since IAG has a representative in both. After discussion, he proposed that Mr. Duyverman try to improve the activity of these committees, if he finds that it is not efficient enough.

Mr. Duyverman withdrew proposals 3. and 4., removed the names Brazil and South Africa from 8. and decided to submit a revised document to the General Assembly. Council recommended that General Assembly approve Proposals 5 and 6.
Mr. van Acoleyen (Secretary-Treasurer IAG) presented the financial documents of IAG (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-IAG- Appendix II, III, IV) which show the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 1969, Income and Expenditures to the same date, the Interim report for the period January 1st to September 30th, 1970 and the Budget for 1971.

Council unanimously recommended that General Assembly approve the IAG financial documents.

Messrs. Harder and Zemanek complimented Mr. van Acoleyen for his excellent work.

At the General Assembly, Mr. Duyverman presented the revised document (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-IAG-1 revised) containing 7 proposals:

1 and 2 as mentioned above (in the document IAG)
3 referring to the IAG programme of activities (original point 5.).
4 referring to the IAG publications programme (original point 6.).
5 referring to the financial documents (original point 7.).
6 referring to the admission of national groups (point 8. of doc. IAG 1 in which the words Brazil and South Africa were deleted).
7 referring to the admission of EEC and Euratom (point 9. of doc. IAG 1).

Mr. Auerbach asked clarifications on the IAG desks proposed especially in North America. Will those desks compete with the National Associations like AFIPS?

Mr. Duyverman stated that in all cases the country associations will be consulted.

Mr. Beltran suggested that the local IFIP members be consulted and that duplication of organization be avoided.

Mr. Auerbach proposed to take out of proposal No. 2 North America and the Far East, one of the main reasons being to avoid a proliferation of conferences all over the world in the field of Information Processing which lead to the danger of bankruptcy for national societies.

After discussion by Messrs. Nielsen, Goto, Tanaka, Hume, Zemanek, Mr. Chevion made the proposal to replace the proposal 2. by the following: “General Assembly notes the plan of IAG to organize regional desks being an extension of IAG Headquarters and asks the IAG Board of Directors to work out rules for operation of such desks in agreement with the IFIP and IAG members in the region prior to the establishment of such desks”.

General Assembly APPROVED

- the modified proposal 2., as proposed by Mr. Chevion (with 1 abstention).
- the proposal 3.: IAG programme of activities, 4.: IAG publications programme, 6.: admission of National Groups as members and 7.: admission of EEC and Euratom (unanimously).

Mr. van Acoleyen presented the IAG financial reports (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-IAG-1 revised, Appendixes II, III, IV.)

General Assembly NOTED

- the audited Balance Sheet and Income & Expenditure for 1969
- the interim report for the period of January – September 1970

and APPROVED

- the IAG budget for 1971 (with 1 abstention),
- the IAG report.

The President, after motion by Mr. Auerbach requested the Administrative Secretary to prepare a list of all activities planned by the various IFIP bodies which have been approved by the General Assembly in order to have a clear view of all these activities.

6. New TC’ and SIG’s
The President in the absence of Mr. Gill read the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-AIC-1). Mr. Bobillier asked who are the members of this committee.

The President said that the USSR representative was Prof. Kovalevski. The USA representative is Prof. Feigenbaum.

Mr. Bauer as the Chairman of CLIPO, proposed to officially contact the International Joint Council on Artificial Intelligence to make practical suggestions to the Council and the General Assembly. He proposed to empower the Executive Body to continue negotiations and to establish a new TC if this is felt appropriate.

Mr. Tanaka volunteered for taking contact with IJCAI.

The President appointed the following ad hoc committee for studying the problem:

- Prof. Bauer, Chairman
- Prof. Feigenbaum, U.S.A.
- Prof. Kovalevski, U.S.S.R

General Assembly NOTED the report.

7. Committee Reports

7.1 Activity Planning

Mr. Zemanek presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70- APC I) in which it is recommended to invite a conference on the “Future of Information Processing”. Suggestions were made to investigate if IFIP could become a more real society of societies, study the possibility of new activities on subjects not currently covered by IFIP, educational matters, etc.

Some new TC’s, WG’s or working conferences could be organized as a result of these activities, for instance a WG on Data Bank Management Systems, a SIG of Governmental Scientific Advisors for Information Processing and a working conference on Intellectual Property.

In conclusion, the APC plan to cooperate with all other IFIP bodies in order to get an overall picture and prepare a first “activity planning” document.

The next meeting will take place in March in Ljubljana in the form of a working conference where other people will be welcomed to participate.

Council recommended that General Assembly approve the report.

General Assembly unanimously APPROVED the report.

7.2 Statutes and Bylaws

Mr. Carteron presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-SBC-1) which contained information already approved by the Council in Atlantic City and proposed modification to the IFIP Statutes and Bylaws.

The President asked if this modification had to be approved by the Belgian authorities again. The answer was yes.

Mr. Duyverman pointed out that the last paragraph proposed did not correspond to the Prague General Assembly decision and proposed to introduce in the document an additional sentence with the 2/3 majority.
The following modifications of IFIP Statutes and Bylaws were presented:

**IFIP Statutes**

- to include in Article 4.1.2. at the end of the second paragraph after the words “clearly defined scope”:
  “Furthermore, the General Assembly may decide at a 2/3 majority of all Full Members that decisions by the General Assembly on specific matters relating to an established SIG shall be taken at a 2/3 majority of all Full Members. Such matters shall be included in the Bylaws of the SIG concerned”.

Council recommended that General Assembly approve this modification of IFIP Statutes (vote: 7/0/2).

General Assembly unanimously **APPROVED** the above mentioned modification.

**IFIP Bylaws**

- to include the following new articles:

  i) “The Bylaws of IAG shall be amended by the General Assembly only after consultation of the IAG General Conference. In case the opinion expressed by a 2/3 majority of the IAG General Conference is not in agreement with the proposed amendment of the Bylaws, the adoption of that amendment shall require a 2/3 majority of all Full Members.

  ii) The IAG can be dissolved by a 2/3 majority of all Full Members after consultation of the IAG General Conference. In case of dissolution, the General Assembly shall decide by the same majority on the destination of surpluses of IAG.

  iii) The budget of IAG shall only be approved or rejected as a whole. For rejection, a 2/3 majority shall be required. In such case, the General Assembly empowers the IFIP Finance Committee with representatives of IAG to establish, within a reasonable time, a new budget, according to guidelines set up by the General Assembly”.

Council recommended that General Assembly approve these modifications to the Bylaws.

General Assembly unanimously **APPROVED** these modifications.

Mr. Carteron proposed three further modifications to bring the IFIP Statutes in accordance with the General Assembly decision to transfer the Seat of IFIP to Geneva.

1) Article 2 “Seat”, (p. 1). The Seat of the Federation shall be in Geneva, Switzerland.

2) In Article 4.3, (p. 6), 4th line delete: “If and only if none of these elected members is a Belgian subject, a Belgian Assistant-Secretary shall be elected”.

3) Article 8, (p. 8): replace the word “Belgian” by “Swiss”. The amended text then reads: “Anything not provided for in these Statutes shall be in accordance with Swiss law on the subject”.

4) Article 4.1.1, (p. 3), 2nd paragraph, 2nd sentence: delete: “When they shall have 1/10 of a vote each”. The amended text then reads: “Individual Members, Associate Members and Honorary Members shall have one vote each, except on the following matters”.

General Assembly **APPROVED** (with 1 and 1/10 abstention) the modifications of the Statutes as mentioned above under 1) to 4).
### 7.3 Finance

#### 7.3.1 Report of the Finance Committee

Mr. Bobillier presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-FC-1) which summarized the financial activities during 1970. He stated that most of the work had been done by Mr. Harder.

Council recommended that General Assembly approve the report.

General Assembly unanimously **APPROVED** the report.

#### 7.3.2 IFIP Congress 68 Audited Accounts

Mr. Harder presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-T 1) which shows a final surplus of BPd 17,869 for the Congress 68 and BPd 18,408 for the Exhibit, therefore a total of BPd 36,277.

Council recommended unanimously that General Assembly approve the report.

General Assembly **APPROVED** (with one abstention) the report.

#### 7.3.3 1969 Audited Accounts

Mr. Harder presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-T 2) which shows net assets at the end of 1969 of $87,119,77. Total expenses were $11,134,16, income $17,314,02, therefore an excess of income of $6,179,86.

Council recommended unanimously that General Assembly approve the report.

General Assembly unanimously **APPROVED** the report.

#### 7.3.4 Financial Summary (up-dated to September 22, 1970)

Mr. Harder presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-T 3) which shows: total income of $89,715,00, expenditures $14,523,00 and net worth at year end of $162,312,00 not taking into consideration an amount of approximately $12,000,00 of IFIP Congress 68 surplus not yet transferred.

Council noted the report.

General Assembly **NOTED** the report.

#### 7.3.5 1970 Interim Account to September 22

Mr. Harder presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-T 3.2) which gives the details of the receipts $89,712,22 and expenditures $14,523,08 and total expenditures plus loans and grants of $32,216,77.

Council unanimously noted the report.

General Assembly **NOTED** (with one abstention) the report.

#### 7.3.6 IFIP Loan and Grant Record Cumulative

Mr. Harder presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-T 3.2) recommending to convert the loan of US$2,700,00 to the Hungarian ADP Seminar and also $300,00 of royalties on the Hungarian Vocabulary which were used for the Seminar into a grant; and to convert the loan of US$1,670,00 to the London ADP Seminar into a grant (reference to the doc. C.GA. Amsterdam-70-TC 3.2)

Council recommended that General Assembly approve the report.

General Assembly unanimously **APPROVED**

- to convert the loan of US$2,700,00 to the Hungarian ADP Seminar and also $300,00 of royalties on the Hungarian Vocabulary which were used for the Seminar into a grant;
- to convert the loan of US$1,670,00 to the London ADP Seminar into a grant.
7.3.7 IFIP MEMBER dues 1970 and before Record to September 22, 1970

Mr. Harder presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-T 3.4), up to this date the report shows that annual dues amounted to $9,375.00.

Dues paid in 1970 up to September 22, amounted to $5,875.00

Dues owed on September 22, 1970 for 1970 and before amounted to $5,100.00

Remark:

During the Council meeting, the Secretary had received a check of $518,00 from Mexico, which covers the dues to end of 1970.

Council noted the report.

General Assembly NOTED the report.

7.3.8 Publications Finance Report

Mr. Harder presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-T 4) which was the result of long and extensive efforts to gather information on all the IFIP books and associated royalties and for the first time presents in a very clear way the current status.

Mr. Harder asked that the Publications and Information Committee take from now on, the responsibility to continue gathering and up-dating the records of IFIP publications, statistics about sales and royalties as started in the document.

Council noted the report.

General Assembly NOTED the report.

7.3.9 Situation concerning new dues schedule

Mr. Harder presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-T 5) which summarizes the situation:

- schedule increase US$ 10,875.00
- increase accepted 5,425.00
- increase rejected 1,000.00
- increase still under consideration (representing 12 countries) 4,625.00
- decrease allowed for 1971 - 125.00

Mr. Harder indicated he had asked those General Assembly members who did not yet confirm their agreement with the new dues to orally indicate what the situation was. They were then requested to confirm their statement in writing as soon as possible when back in their country.

Council noted the report.

General Assembly NOTED the report.

7.3.10 IFIP Budget 1971

Mr. Harder presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-T 6 revised 30.10.70) explaining the modifications which have to be made based on the last discussions between the Treasurer and the TC Chairmen, as well as with the Finance Committee, and where only $2,000.00 additional could be budgeted for TC-3 (instead of $6,500.00 requested by TC-3 for a full time education officer).

Council unanimously recommended that General Assembly approve the budget for 1971.

General Assembly unanimously APPROVED
- the budget for 1971.
The General Assembly, after a proposal of Mr. Auerbach, applauded Mr. Harder for the excellent work he performed during the last years and the very comprehensible documents which were presented.

7.4 Admissions

Mr. Linsman presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-AC-1-5) summarizing the situation regarding New Zealand, Papua, India, Australia, Chile, South Africa, the German Democratic Republic, Algeria and Ghana.

South Africa: Council charged Mr. Linsman to contact Mr. Malherbe (Scientific Counsellor of the South African Embassy in Cologne, Germany) and to report further to the General Assembly.

Mr. Bauer protested against the request of the Academy of Sciences of Berlin (GDR) because Germany has only one representative.

Mr. van Wijngaarden noticed that the Chairman of the Admissions Committee had not contacted Prof. Bauer before submitting the report AC-1 and proposed that he contact Prof. Bauer and thereafter present a document to the General Assembly which would be acceptable to the present delegate of Germany.

Mr. Linsman accepted the proposal to rewrite the document after consultation with Prof. Bauer. This was done in the document (AC-1-revised).

Council unanimously recommended that General Assembly approve the requests for admission of Algeria, Ghana and G.D.R.

The General Assembly admitted the three new members after the following votes:

- Academy of Sciences of Berlin (German Democratic Republic: GDR) (vote: 20/2/2).
- Information Processing Association of Ghana (IPAG) (vote: 23/0/2).

Mr. Lehmann of the Academy of Sciences of Berlin expressed how pleased his society was to have been accepted as a full member of IFIP and assured the General Assembly that they would do their best to contribute to IFIP activities.

It was suggested and agreed to postpone the decision on the admission of South Africa for one more year after Mr. Jacobcz had made a short presentation explaining the situation. He agreed to send all necessary documents before the end of November 1970.

7.5 Nominations Committees

7.5.1 Election of the President

The President submitted to the General Assembly the document (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-NC President 1) in which Mr. Zemanek is nominated for the office of the President. No other proposal coming from the floor, a secret ballot was taken; the result was 20/2/3.

The President then declared Mr. Zemanek duly elected President-elect to take office after the next General Assembly.

7.5.2 Election of a Vice-President

Mr. Tanaka presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-NC Vice-President 1) in which Mr. Bech is nominated for the office of the Vice-President. He further reported that Mr. Bech, because of illness, had to refuse this nomination and that the Committee nominated Mr. Sem-Sandberg.
Mr. Beltran proposed that the General Assembly elect two Vice-Presidents and not one. After a long discussion, Mr. Douglas proposed and General Assembly AGREED (with 5 abstentions) to proceed to the election of one Vice-President and that the President appoint a Nominations Committee for the election of a second Vice-President.

No further nomination being made from the floor, a secret ballot was taken. The result was 19/1/6.

The President then declared Mr. Sem-Sandberg duly elected Vice-President to hold office for three years effective immediately.

### 7.5.3 Election of Trustees

Mr. Auerbach presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-NC-Trustee 1) in which Messrs. Sem-Sandberg, Chevion, Duyverman and Tanaka are nominated and indicated his Committee had other names in reserve. He asked that in the future the Chairman of the Nominations Committee for the Election of Trustees be well informed of the exact number of vacancies to be filled.

Following the election of Mr. Sem-Sandberg, Mr. Auerbach indicated that his Committee nominated Messrs. Chevion and Duyverman for the 3 year terms, Mr. Tanaka for the 2 year term and Mr. Douglas for the 1 year term.

Mr. Beltran further proposed Mr. Vildosola for a 2 year term and Mr. Goto for the 1 year term. Mr. Vildosola, while thanking for the honour, declined the nomination.

As there were five nominees for the four offices, it was agreed that those getting the highest number of votes would be elected and assigned for the 3, 2 and 1 year terms in that order. A secret ballot was taken and the result was:

- In favour of: Mr. Tanaka (25 votes)
- Mr. Chevion (21 votes)
- Mr. Douglas (21 votes)
- Mr. Goto (19 votes)
- Mr. Duyverman (17 votes)

A second ballot was taken which gave to:

- Mr. Chevion 17 votes
- Mr. Douglas 7 votes so that the

Following were elected trustees:

- for 3 years Mr. Tanaka
- Mr. Chevion

- for 2 years Mr. Douglas

- for 1 year Mr. Goto

### 7.5.4 Admission of Individual Members

Mr. Iliev proposed the admission of Mr. Glushkov as Individual Member and made a short presentation on Mr. Glushkov’s activities within IFIP.

The President supported the proposal by Mr. Iliev and further proposed the admission of Mr. Bech as Individual Member, following a recommendation by the Council in Atlantic City.

General Assembly decided to vote on the admission of these two individuals. As there were 24 Full Members present or represented, the 2/3 majority required was 16.

The result of the secret vote on the admission of Mr. Bech was 20/2/2. Mr. Bech was therefore admitted as Individual Member for a term of 3 years.
The result of the secret vote on the admission of Mr. Glushkov was 14/5/5. The Motion was therefore lost.

7.5.5 Recognition of Merit

Mr. Zemanek presented a proposal (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-Exec. Body-2) to create the title of an Honorary Officer of IFIP.

General Assembly APPROVED in principle (with 1 vote against and 2 abstentions) the proposal of Mr. Zemanek and RECOMMENDED that an ad hoc committee work out the rules and procedures for granting this new title and report to the next General Assembly.

The President appointed Messrs. Zemanek (Chairman), Harder and Bobillier as members of this ad hoc Recognition Committee.

7.6 Publications and Information Committee

Mr. Kroneberg presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-PIC-1) which described the situation of publications:

- Numerical Control Programming Languages published in October 1970.
- Information Processing of Medical Records published in October 1970.
- ALGOL 68 Implementation will appear early 1971.
- Informal Introduction to ALGOL 68 under production (copyright with IFIP but without financial involvements).

Summarized what happened in the past with the 12 IFIP free copies, gave some sales figures concerning past publications.

Open matters were mentioned: Editor-Congress 71, preprints for Congress 71, IFIP booklet, Anniversary book.

Mr. van Wijngaarden mentioned Mr. Duyverman’s letter to the Council members regarding the publication of the Dutch Vocabulary (IFIP/ICC Vocabulary of Information Processing).

Mr. Bobillier asked information on the history of the problem which was then given by Mr. van Wijngaarden.

The President said IFIP should not wait and should print the Dutch translation with the help of the PIC.

Mr. Harder pointed out that North Holland being not interested, should turn the work to the Dutch group specifying that this is an IFIP publication with the royalties coming to IFIP.

The Council unanimously charged the PIC to arrange with the Dutch group for the publication of the Dutch Vocabulary if possible with North Holland if not with another publisher.

Mr. Kroneberg mentioned that for the first time an exhibition of the IFIP book will be organized in Houston (Texas).

He added that an editor for Congress 71 could not yet be found but that he hoped he would be appointed within two months.

Mr. Zemanek proposed that North Holland be chosen for publishing but that every effort should be made to do the printing in Yugoslavia.

It was noted that from now on the PIC would take over the updating of the record of the sales/royalties of IFIP.
Council unanimously recommended that General Assembly approve the PIC report, and authorize the PIC to negotiate with the publishers for 15% royalties on all future publications.

General Assembly APPROVED (with 1 abstention) the report.

7.7 IFIP Congress

7.7.1 Congress 71

Mr. Dular presented his report on the Preparation for IFIP Congress and Exhibition 71 (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-C71-OC-1).

Mr. Zemanek questioned the discrimination between users of JAT Yugoslavian Airlines and other airlines (free air lift between Zagreb and Ljubljana).

It was indicated that negotiations were under way to extend a free trip for other airlines between Zagreb and Ljubljana.

The President noted that the question of the editor was not solved and urged the Committee to nominate a candidate.

The report mentioned that up to that date, a total of 2,000 m² of exhibition space was sold. Discussions were going on so that a total of 3,000 m² could be reached.

Note: The budgets presented are the same as approved by the Council in Atlantic City (the one based on 2,000 participants and 1,300 m² of exhibition space sold, the other based on 3,000 participants and 2,600 m²).

The President mentioned a letter from AFCET about simultaneous translation and Mr. Carteron read the request of AFCET stressing the need to respect the multilingual aspect of IFIP activities and protesting against the decision of the Council in Atlantic City to have no simultaneous translation for Congress 71.

Mr. Glushkov mentioned that Vice-Chairmen of sessions knowledgeable in subject and corresponding foreign languages will be available.

Mr. Carteron requested that the details of this organization be explained in the documentation on the Congress which will be given to the participants.

Mr. Bobillier proposed that the Secretariat send a reminder of Mr. Glushkov’s letter of June 1st, 1970 to all Congress 71 National Correspondents.

Mr. Glushkov further proposed that the President during the General Assembly draw the attention of all General Assembly members to the necessity of proposing names of experts who could act as Vice-Chairmen.

Council unanimously recommended approval of the Org. Committee Report with the exception of the budget part which will be studied in detail by an ad hoc committee composed of Messrs. Harder, Freeman, Dular, Hafner and Kokosinek for report to the General Assembly.

General Assembly APPROVED (with one abstention) the report.

Congress 71 Programme

Mr. Glushkov presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-C71-PC-1) drawing the attention to the relatively low number of received papers and asking for help from the National Correspondents. He mentioned his letter of February to the General Assembly members and of June to the National Correspondents. Only one Correspondent answered.
He gave up-to-date information on the current status of the Congress 71. Programme: past activities of the Programme Committee, list of invited papers in the various technical areas, symposia topics, procedure for handling submitted papers, list of National Correspondents.

Council noted the report and recommended the following actions:

1) the President shall during the General Assembly recall to the General Assembly members the necessity of taking immediate action for submitting papers and proposing experts able to act as Vice-Chairmen.

2) A letter shall be prepared by Mr. Glushkov as a reminder and shall be sent to the National Correspondents for Congress 71 with copy to the General Assembly members by the Administrative Secretariat.

3) The document (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-C71-PC-1) shall be sent to the National Correspondents by the Secretariat.

General Assembly APPROVED - the report and the recommendations 1) to 3).

7.7.2 Congress 74

Mr. Zeleznikar presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-C74-1) which pointed out that the Congress 74 Committee had selected three possible countries in which to hold the IFIP Congress 74, i.e. Japan (C74-2), Mexico (C74-3) and Sweden (C74-4).

Council noted the report.

General Assembly APPROVED (with 2 abstentions) the report.

The 3 countries’ delegates were given the opportunity to present a summary of their proposal, then the vote was taken:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Assembly APPROVED (with 2 abstentions)

- to take a new vote to choose between Sweden and Mexico

Result of vote: for Sweden 14, for Mexico 11

Following this vote, the Congress 74 will be held in Stockholm, Sweden.

Mr. Sem-Sandberg thanked the General Assembly members for their confidence.

7.8 Liaison with International Governmental Organizations

Mr. Auerbach presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-CLIGO-2) to the General Assembly which proposed under point 2 several resolutions for approval by the General Assembly. These resolutions essentially:

- congratulate the UNO Secretary-General for the report “The Application of Computer Technology for Development”;
- confirm that IFIP supports the proposal for the establishment of an International Advisory Board on the Application of Computer Technology for Development;
- extend to the UNO Secretary-General the offer of IFIP’s full co-operation and invite the various IFIP bodies to co-operate as much as possible.
General Assembly APPROVED (with 1 abstention)
- the resolutions after deletion of “is well balanced” (9th line from the bottom of p. 1 CLIPO-2)

General Assembly APPROVED the report.

7.9 Liaison with International Professional Organizations

Mr. Bauer presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-CLIPO-1-3) which summarizes the results achieved by the first meeting of FIACC (Five International Associations Coordinating Committee). The following officers have been elected:

- Chairman   V. Broida (IFAC)
- Co-Chairman   H. Zemanek (IFIP)
- Honorary Secretary  G. Stricker (IMEKO)

The objectives of the FIACC are described in appendix 3 to the document CLIPO-1 and are essentially to ensure a coordination of all the activities of the Associations involved.

The CLIPO further made the following recommendations to the General Assembly (summarized in C.GA. Amsterdam-70-CLIPO-3):

- to agree that at the IFAC 72 Congress a round-table on terminology be held with IFIP participation; to charge TC-1 with the preparatory work on the IFIP side, to request the TC-1 Chairman to have an informal meeting with the respective chairmen
- of other Associations cooperating in FIACC and to give permission to the TC-1 Chairman to invite IFAC representatives on TC-1 to the extent deemed necessary;
- to request the TC-3 Chairman to have an informal meeting with the education officers of the other Associations on the occasion of the Dresden IFAC meeting (March 15-17, 1971);
- to request the Chairman of PIC to convene an informal meeting with the publication officers of the other Associations;
- to instruct TC-5 to single out specific topics on which to concentrate in cooperation for the IFAC 72 Congress;
- to give guidance to CLIPO about further actions expected, in particular by establishing the list of planned IFIP events for the years till 1975;
- to ask TC-2 to be prepared to respond to other Associations’ requests connected with software and programming languages.

General Assembly unanimously APPROVED the above recommendations.

Mr. Zemanek presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-Exec.Body-3) proposing to the General Assembly the ratification by IFIP of the FIACC Closing Statement after the Paris meeting.

General Assembly unanimously APPROVED the principles as described in the Closing Statement of FIACC and to participate in the work of FIACC.

The President appointed Messrs. Zemanek, Bauer, and Douglas as IFIP’s delegates to FIACC.

General Assembly unanimously APPROVED the report.
7.10 **IFIP 10th ANNIVERSARY**

Mr. van Wijngaarden made an oral presentation of 10th Anniversary events as described in the printed Programme.

General Assembly **NOTED** the report and thanked Mr. van Wijngaarden and his staff for the excellent work they had performed.

7.11 **Manpower Committee**

Mr. Tanaka presented the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-MPC-1) explaining that a questionnaire had been sent to the General Assembly members for comments before distribution.

The **President** suggested that the questionnaire be distributed via the General Assembly members.

Council approved the report and asked Mr. Tanaka to discuss further with his Committee members in order to make practical suggestions to the General Assembly regarding the mechanism to be used for sending out the questionnaire and gathering the information.

General Assembly unanimously **APPROVED** the report.

8. **IFIP Conferences**

8.1 **IFAC/IFIP Traffic Control, June 70**

Mr. Zemanek presented Mr. Santesmases' report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-Traffic Control 70-1) on the First Traffic Symposium sponsored by IFAC and IFIP, held in the Palais des Congres of Versailles, France, from 1-5 June, 1970.

There were approximately 600 participants. The publication of the Proceedings of the Symposium was dealt with at the last meeting of the IPC. The AFCET took charge of this and will look for the most appropriate publishing company.

General Assembly **NOTED** the report.

8.2 **AICA / IFIP Hybrid Computing, August 70**

Mr. Bauer presented his oral report.

General Assembly **NOTED** the report.

8.3 **IFIP World Conference on Computer Education**

Mr. Buckingham presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-IAG/TC3-Appendix 2) summarizing the results of the conference, participation (866 persons from 40 countries), exhibitions (equipment and books), working papers.

The Proceedings will be published in one book at a price of $ 45.00 and will be available in 1971. Publisher if IFIP World Conference on Computer Education; distributors are: Wolters Noordhoff Groningen for Europe and Science Associates International for the rest of the world.

Mr. Zemanek asked if the PIC had been involved in the negotiations for the publication.

Mr. Duyverman answered that everything was handled by the Foundation. Mr. Harder said that the IFIP should set rules for this kind of conferences, including the financial aspects (see C.GA. Amsterdam-70-FC-1). Mr. Buckingham said that the solution adopted was found to be the most profitable for IFIP.

Messrs. Zemanek and Harder recommended that in future such instances, the PIC should be consulted and therefore have a possibility to look into all aspects of such a publication.
They stressed that the World Conference had been a milestone in the history of IFIP and proposed to express the appreciation of the General Assembly for the excellent work which has been done by Messrs. Buckingham, Duyverman and their associates.

General Assembly unanimously **APPROVED**
- the recommendation by Messrs. Zemanek and Harder
- the report

and expressed their most sincere thanks to Messrs. Duyverman and Buckingham and their associates for their excellent work.

8.4 IFAC / IFIP Computer Applications to Process Control, June 71

Mr. Tuori reported orally in addition to the report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-Process Control-1) distributed previously to the General Assembly members.

General Assembly **NOTED** the report

8.5 PROLAMAT II (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-TC-5.1 appendix IV)

Mr. Hatvany on behalf of the Hungarian Organising Committee suggested to hold PROLAMAT II in Hungary. The Symposium will probably be held in April 1973 for 3 to 4 days. A formal final proposal will be submitted by the end of November 1970 including details of call for papers symposia organizational planning, and a budget or suitable guarantee.

General Assembly **APPROVED** the report

8.6 Symposium on Optimization

The President reported orally on a request to cosponsor (without financial implication) the Symposium planned by the University of California, Los Angeles, for July 1971.

General Assembly unanimously **APPROVED**
- to cosponsor the Symposium on Optimization

8.7 Automatic Control and Computers in the Medical Field

Mr. Gremy presented his report (C.GA. Amsterdam-70-TC-4-1) stating that IFAC has asked IFIP for sponsorship of the IFAC Symposium on Automatic Control and Computers in the Medical Field, Brussels, September 1971.

Following the opinion expressed by TC-4 that the subject was too general Council recommended not to cosponsor the Symposium.

General Assembly **AGREED**
- not to cosponsor the Symposium
- and **APPROVED** the report
9. Establishment of Committees

9.1 Standing Committees

Committee for Congress Organization

The President reported orally that motivated by the waste of efforts in beginning always from scratch the organization of every Congress the Council in Atlantic City had proposed the establishment of a Standing Committee for Congress Organization.

General Assembly unanimously AGREED to establish a new Standing Committee for Congress Organization.

The following members were appointed:

- Mr. Freeman (Chairman)
  - Mr. Asmus
  - Mr. Coaten
  - Mr. Genuys
  - Mr. Glushkov
  - Mr. Kroneberg

Committee for Liaison with International Professional Organizations

Mr. Bauer proposed to have additional members of CLIPO. They will be appointed later by the President.

9.2 Ad hoc Committees

Nominations Committee for the Election of a Vice-President

- Mr. Iliev (Chairman)
- Mr. Tuori
- Mr. van Wijngaarden

Nominations Committee for the Election of Trustees

- Mr. Tanaka (Chairman)
- Mr. Renard
- Mr. Zeleznikar

Committee for Artificial Intelligence

- Mr. Bauer (Chairman)
- Mr. Feigenbaum (USA)
- Mr. Kovalevski (USSR)

IFIP Recognition Committee

- Mr. Zemanek (Chairman)
- Mr. Bobillier
- Mr. Harder

Committee for Design of National and International Data Transmission Networks

- Mr. Sem-Sandberg (Chairman)
- Mr. Auerbach
- Mr. Dadda
- Mr. Douglas

Committee for an Education Officer

- Mr. Buckingham (Chairman)
- Mr. Bauer
- Mr. Harder
9.3 IFIP delegates for FIACC

- Mr. Zemanek  Vice-President
- Mr. Bauer   Chairman CLIPO
- Mr. Douglas

10. Other General Assembly Business

10.1 Changes in Membership

Mr. Bobillier mentioned the following changes which had been made recently and stated they would appear in the IFIP Information Bulletin No. 3 (some were mentioned already in No. 2).

10.1.1 Member Societies

Hungary: The name of the society is: John von Neuman Society

10.1.2 General Assembly Members

Denmark: Mr. Th. Herborg Nielsen replaces Mr. N.I. Bech

Finland: Mr. J. Tuori replaces Mr. H.E. Andersin

France: Mr. P. Renard replaces Mr. J. Carteron

Spain: Mr. R. Moreno-Diaz replaces Mr. J.G. Santesmases

10.2 Requests from the Secretary

Mr. Bobillier stressed the importance for IFIP of the circulation of information. As IFIP now has a permanent Secretariat, this office will be the central point for the gathering and the dissemination of information. In order to have a high efficiency, the Secretariat must get systematically all important information (for instance planned events, publications, etc...) He invited the TC and Committee chairmen to make use of the services of the IFIP Administrative Secretariat.

Finally he invited all General Assembly members to cooperate and respond. To cooperate in the establishment of the new office, for instance, by suggestions regarding current or new operations, by reacting immediately whenever an error is discovered, etc.… and to respond by answering requests as quickly as possible, by acknowledging receipt of letters, etc.

Mr. Bobillier assured the General Assembly that every effort will be made by the Secretariat to best serve the various IFIP bodies.

Mr. Bobillier made the proposal to have only one set of minutes for the Council and General Assembly meetings.

General Assembly unanimously APPROVED the proposal.

10.3 Future Council and General Assembly Meetings

10.3.1 Council Meetings:

Mr. Zemanek proposed to hold the first Council meeting in 1972 in Vienna (Austria), April 5-7, 1972.

Council AGREED to accept this invitation.
10.3.2 **General Assembly Meetings:**

The President said that IFIP had received an invitation from the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences to hold the 1972 General Assembly in Sofia (Bulgaria), tentatively October 24-27 1972. No other proposals were made.

General Assembly **AGREED**

- to hold the 1972 General Assembly meeting in Sofia.

**Close of Meeting**

There being no further business, the President closed the Meeting by thanking all participants for their active participation.
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