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COUNCIL MEETING
Cape Town, Republic of South Africa - 13-15 March 1984

ATTENDANCE
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Secretariat
Gloria Long     Assistant to H. W. Le Roux

(n.b. certain participants were not in attendance full time).
1. **CALL MEETING TO ORDER**

Senior Vice-President Piloty opened the Council Meeting and welcomed all participants. He expressed the sincere thanks of IFP to Mr. Le Roux, Ms. Gloria Long, the South African Computer Society and its President, Mr. Wiechers for the excellent Meeting arrangements, services rendered and the warm, gracious hospitality.

Mr. Le Roux conveyed the pleasure of the South African Computer Society in welcoming everyone to South Africa and specifically to Cape Town. He trusted the Meeting would be successful and the stay in South Africa enjoyable.

Mr. Piloty regretfully announced that President Ando had recently undergone a severe operation and was still hospitalized, although he was on the road to recovery. This explained his presence in the Chair – in accordance with the Statutes and Bylaws. However, he personally felt that, for the sake of continuity, the Council should deviate from the procedure and he would like to decline acting as Chairman. Past-President Bobillier was present, who was far more familiar with the proceedings of IFIP, and Mr. Piloty considered the Meeting would run more smoothly if he were to take the Chair, and so proposed. Nevertheless, the attitude of Vice-Presidents Glaser and Goldsworthy had to be taken into consideration. Both Messrs. Glaser and Goldsworthy declined to Chair the Meeting, supported the proposition and asked the Past-President to officiate.

Mr. Bobillier accepted the Chair and underlined how very sorry President Ando was at not being able to attend the Meeting; however, he had sent Messrs. Uozumi and Amemiya to participate and carry back to him important decisions, etc. taken during the next days.

Mr. Bobillier pointed out that he was only Chairing the Meeting and not acting as a Deputy for President Ando. Further, that he had asked his Society (representing Switzerland) to replace him following the next General Assembly Meeting (Bulgaria, September 1984), at which time he hoped to introduce his successor.

Mr. Bobillier added that President Ando had addressed a letter to the Executive Body Members, which he read out to the Council participants.

Please accept my sincere apologies for not being able to meet you in Cape Town. My special thanks are addressed to the Officer Chairing the Meeting on my behalf.

Prior to the Council Meeting, I would like to send a short message to the Members of the Executive Body. As I have always been emphasizing, the goals of the IFIP operations for the years to come are:

1. To re-vitalize and activate more activities in Technical Committees and Working Groups;
2. To establish and advance closer and more effective working relationships with developing countries through furthering the activities of ICID, and
3. To maintain and update IFIP’s organizational structure in line with the changing environment of the information processing world.

None of these three areas should be overlooked because I am firmly convinced that they would form the solid foundation of IFIP in order for us to pursue our missions.

In conclusion, I would like to express my apologies and to ask you to give your dedicated support to the Chair-Officer during the Council Meeting.

I sincerely hope that I can meet all of you in the near future, and my I wish you good health, good luck for the future, and a fruitful and enjoyable stay in South Africa.
Mr. Fourot announced that apologies for non-attendance had been received from Trustee Dorodnicyn and Guo, but no news had been forthcoming from Trustee Longe. Both Messrs. Dorodnicyn and Guo had indicated they were not allowed by their governments to come to South Africa.

Mr. Fourot recalled that entry visas into South Africa were granted to all world citizens and that the situation had been discussed at the Executive Body Meeting. As at least 50% of the Council Members had to be present at a Meeting for its legality, the present Meeting was, therefore, valid.

Mr. Fourot advised that excuses for non-attendance had been received from the Chairmen of CGC, FC, ICID, SBC, 25th Anniversary Committee and from the ICIL Chairman, Mr. Tanaka, who wished to convey his best greetings to the Council participants. The TC and IMIA Chairmen had also sent their excuses, but their presence was not mandatory.

Mr. Fourot added that the C83 PC Chairman had also excused himself, having nothing further to report, and that the C86 PC Chairman, Mr. Björner, had sent his apologies for non-attendance due to the lack of travel funds. The latter news provoked consternation as funds would have been supplied had the situation not been advised at the last minute. The C86 OC Chairman, Mr. Dolan, was equally astonished and disappointed at Mr. Björner’s non-appearance as an allowance had been discussed and incorporated in the OC budget for PC expenses and there were many items he had hoped to discuss with Mr. Björner in Cape Town.

Action
Mr. Bobillier was also perplexed by the situation and stressed it was mandatory for Congress OC and PC Chairmen to be present at all Council and General Assembly Meetings during their period of office. (Efforts were, consequently, made to allow Mr. Björner to come to Cape Town, but, meanwhile, his schedule did not permit his displacement).

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Council unanimously APPROVED the Agenda.

3. APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES (C2-PARIS 83)
Council unanimously APPROVED the Paris Minutes.

However, Mr. Glaser, subsequently mentioned that he did not recall having intimated he had to solicit WCCE 85 PC participation from Brazil (Agenda item 10), but had had contacts with Brazilian representatives in respect of IFIP in general.

4. EXECUTIVE BODY REPORT
4.1 Report of the President
Mr. Bobillier referred to President Ando’s message (Agenda item 1).

4.2 Report of the Secretary
Mr. Fourot referred to the ‘Continuing Action List’, dated March 1984, which had been purged, and again requested concerned parties to kindly advise him once an action item had been completed.

Mr. Fourot recalled that, during the IFIP Congress 83 week in September 1984, Messrs. Ando, Bobillier and Finch had had a meeting with the Unesco Director-General, Mr. M’Bow, and the Assistant Deputy General in charge of the Sciences, Mr. Kaddoura, to discuss the possible intensifying of IFIP-Unesco relation. Mr. Fourot said he had, subsequently, been asked to remake contact with Unesco and gave a briefing on the results (which has been recorded under Agenda item 8.6 –ICID).

Mr. Fourot stated he had been approached by UITA – Union of International Technical Associations (e.g. Association of Navigation, etc.) which included IFIP’s Sister Federation – IMEKO. Nonetheless, the Executive Body felt that ICSU, with which IFIP was associated, was the dominant body for IFIP – taking into account the interests involved. Therefore, an association with UITA was not favoured.
4.3 Report of the Treasurer

Mr. Dalton drew attention to the Statement of Receipts and Expenditures for 1983 and to the Balance Sheet as at 31 December 1981 (TREAS-1) which showed net assets of SFr. 980,651.28. Total expenses for 1983 amounted to SFr. 308,130.59 against an income of SFr. 185,915.36. The latter figure included the final surplus payment of SFr. 11,671.80 from C80 OC Australia and surpluses from various other events – which altogether totalled SFr. 31,877.94.

Mr. Dalton pointed out that the Royalties had significantly increased (by approximately SFr. 35,000) in comparison to the previous year. However, in reflection of the world trends, the interests on the deposit accounts had a downward tendency. He explained that the Auditor had treated the currency result of the transfer of the Melbourne deposit to London as negative interest.

Mr. Dalton advised that the expenses were quite normal, but pinpointed an item in the Balance Sheet of SFr. 34,316.80 which was indicated as a “provisional loan in respect of the IFIP Foundation and IAG”. Although the Accounts had been audited and found completely correct,

Action

Mr. Dalton said he would not distribute the Auditor’s Report (TREAS-2) as the Executive Body disagreed with treating the matter in such a way. It would, therefore, be necessary to amend the Balance Sheet to show same as a debit to IFIP - which matter he would take up with the Auditor. This would not affect the surplus for 1983; the consequences would be in 1984. (The discussion on this subject is recorded at the end of this Agenda item).

Messrs. Glaser and Goldsworthy queried the term “Transit Account” on the Balance Sheet sowing a negative figure of SFr. 32,160.40. Mr. Dalton replied that the Transit Account figure included the unused ICID / Unesco funds, pre-paid Dues, etc. which would be credited to the appropriate Accounts as at 1 January 1984.

Action

Mr. Glaser requested that this be expressed in a different manner and Mr. Dalton agreed to discuss same with the Auditor.

Mr. Dalton presented the 1983 Income/Expense versus Budget (TREAS-3). As per last year, his Annual Report would contain TREAS-3 with, additionally – for comparative purposes, the Income and Expense figures of the tow preceding years. He pointed out that Royalties were approximately SFr. 18,000 over budget and IFIP should be grateful for this activity, but Interest had only realized half of the budgeted amount for the reasons previously given. Mr. Dalton commented that the expenses of the Officers and the Administrative Secretariat were well within budget. There were no problems with the Technical Committees –a few had utilized funds from the President’s Reserve Account, but the total result was an expenditure of approximately SFr. 40,000 against a budgeted figure of SFr. 93,000. He was concerned and continuing to try and obtain more accurate assessments from the TC Chairmen of their actual requirements.

Mr. Dalton referred to the Membership Dues Situation as at 9 March 1984 (TREAS-4) which showed eight unpaid amounts for 1983 and five for 1982.

Action

Normal action would be taken to bring same into line. Quite a number of Members had already paid their Dues for the current year.

Mr. Goldsworthy felt IFIP should specify when Dues were payable – whether at the first or last day of the year. Mr. Sendov explained that his country (Bulgaria) was usually short of hard currency and, in accordance with national regulations, his Society was asked to effect settlement at year-end. Mr. Bobillier referred to the possibility of utilizing Unesco coupons. As most of the Dues were being received with a reasonable period of time following the issuance of the invoices (Jan. / Feb.), Mr. Finch felt the current Dues payment procedure should not be changed unless a serious cash flow problem arose. He was fully sympathetic with the Bulgarian situation. Mr. Dalton concurred.

Mr. Goldsworthy considered the position of the 1984 finances should be discussed. Mr. Dalton replied that it was early in the fiscal year and, thus far, there had been no abnormalities, nor were any foreseen at that time.

Action

The 1984 Budget would, of course, be amended to include any financial decisions taken by the Activity Planning Committee and during the course of the current Meeting.
Mr. Dalton recalled that his report to the September 1983 Paris Council (refer Minutes Agenda item 4) on the continuing problems related to the closing of the IFIP Foundation in Amsterdam, and in particular to the two bank accounts at ABN (namely, the former IAG account and the Foundation account) both in the red for approximately DFl. 22,000 each. As far as IFIP was concerned, the IAG account was to have been taken over by its successor body – IGIS, together with all IAG liabilities which included monies owing to the Foundation.

Mr. Dalton said he had endeavoured to have the situation resolved by the end of 1983, but had encountered great difficulties in trying to achieve that objective. He had, however, finally arranged to meet twice in 1984 with Mr. Bogaerts (formerly of IAG and President of IGIS) – once alone and once together with Mr. Kienhuis (former Treasurer of IAG and of the Foundation). Mr. Dalton advised he had subsequently written to Mr. Bogaerts on 24.2.84 requesting him to confirm in writing that IGIS accepted the liability of the account that was formerly the IAG account and the monies owing to the Foundation account; also, that IGIS was not in a position to meet those liabilities and had not resources. (Mr. Dalton thought IGIS had approximately DFl. 2,500.) Meantime, he had received two letters, neither of which had fully met his request. Had that been the case, Mr. Dalton said he was prepared to recommend to the Council that the sum of the liabilities – SFr. 34,316.80 be treated as a provisional loan to enable IGIS to restart its activities and thus honour its obligations.

Mr. Dalton explained that ABN considered both the accounts to be subject to an IFIP guarantee whereas, in fact, only the Foundation account had been guaranteed previously by KOP, Finland (an arrangement made by a Past Treasurer, Mr. Tuori). As the accounts had been accruing interest charges since the end of 1981, and ABN had refused to liquidate the KOP guarantee and was applying pressure, Mr. Dalton said that following a discussion with the Finance Committee Chairman, Mr. Hernaes, in Paris, it was arranged for the two accounts to be covered with funds from IFIP’s Deposit account with ABN.

Mr. Bobillier recalled that at the 1982 Helsinki Council Meeting, Mr. Hernaes had presented a final report on the Foundation in which it was indicated that a loss of approximately SFr. 26,000 had been incurred – which amount had been transferred by IFIP to Amsterdam in mid-December 1981. Mr. Dalton said that the much later, subsequent discovery of the unclosed Foundation account with a negative balance (due to three unpaid Foundation invoices by IAG / IGIS) had been a surprise to him, but recalled that the 1981 Dublin Council had been advised by Mr. Hernaes that “in the event of a close-down, the total loss for IFIP could be in the region of SFr. 50-60,000”.

Mr. Dalton said additional documents had been brought to him in Cape Town from Mr. Bogaerts which implied there was some confusion in respect of the so-called unpaid Foundation invoices by IAG (the now liability of IGIS).

In view of Mr. Dalton's dissatisfaction and the tangled situation, Mr. Bobillier stated that the Executive Body recommended the whole matter be handed over to the IFIP Auditor. It was suggested that the Auditor look into the closing of the Foundation: audit the bank account, review Mr. Gundersen's activities and contract – including his obligation to IFIP to close the business of the Foundation and IFIP’s obligation to pay him a certain sum subject to the deduction of various items of furniture. Additionally, that the Auditor should look into the IAG closing: outstanding bills, amounts owing to the Foundation and the IAG bank account.

Following various comments, Mr. Glaser moved that the matter be referred to the IFIP Auditor in Geneva.

Council unanimously ADOPTEted the motion.

Mr. Navez said he was a Member of the Board of IGIS which had been created in 1981 in Brussels. Its first task had been the elaboration of the Statutes and Bylaws, official creation and planning of activities, including a WC on “Transborder Data Flow”. A few days prior to the Council Meeting, he had attended a meeting in The Netherlands with the IGIS President, Treasurer and others where it was decided to send letters to Mr. Dalton and to Mr. Melbye, Admissions Committee Chairman. In 1982, there had been a request to IFIP to recognize IGIS as an Affiliate Member, but it was not accepted. It was impossible for IGIS to survive if there were no support from IFIP. Mr. Bobillier recalled that Mr. Navez had been present at the 1982 Rome General Assembly Meeting when the application had been discussed. “...
IGIS needed a period of gestation prior to being considered for Affiliate Membership. Mr. Melbye confirmed he had written to IGIS for a status report at the end of June 1982, but had received no answer and the position was, therefore, ‘status quo’.” Mr. Navez thought that if IFIP could not investigate the possibility of Affiliate Membership, the IGIS would not be in a position to continue. He was personally disappointed at the lack of support from members of the original Association and felt there would be much more activity on a national rather than on an international basis. Mr. Navez said his Society (Belgium) supported the idea of creating a Users’ Group for organizing more activities in that field.

Mr. Navez commented on the IAG / IGIS financial situation and the problems caused by the closing down of the Foundation. There were certain figures he failed to understand.

Following various comments, Mr. Fourot referred to the IGIS-letter-head which bore the phrase “Affiliate Membership applied for with IFIP”. This had been discussed at the Executive Body Meeting which felt it could be misleading and should be withdrawn. Mr. Glaser so moved.

Council unanimously ADOPTED the motion.

Action

Mr. Bobillier asked Mr. Navez to kindly arrange for the above-referred to phrase to be removed from the IGIS letter-head.

5. TECHNICAL COMMITTEES

5.1 TC 2 (Programming)

Mr. Sacerdoti stated there was no written report, but he had received a request from the TC 2 Chairman, Mr. Paul, seeking approval of a WC on “Specification Languages and Programme Transformation”, scheduled for the third quarter of 1985, and a loan of SFr. 7,000. Mr. Goldsworthy said APC would handle same. (The WC and loan request were subsequently approved by APC which informed Mr. Paul accordingly.)

--

Action

Mr. Bobillier recalled the motion adopted at the 1983 Beijing Council Meeting, namely: “For the March Council Meetings, TC Chairmen be required to submit a written report on their activities with particular emphasis on important issues.”

5.2 TC 3 (Education)

Mr. Sendov presented his report (C1 Cape Town 84 / TC 3-1) and advised that Mr. Bollerslev had been elected to replace Mr. Lovis as Chairman of WG 3.1 effective 1 January 1984.

Mr. Sendov advised that preparations for the three 1984 events were underway: WC on “Teaching Programming”, 7-10 May, Paris; WC 3.4 / IPAI WC on “Impact of Informatics and Vocational Education”, 14-18 May, Jerusalem and WG 3.1 WC on “Informatics and Teacher Training”, 25-29 July, Birmingham, U.K. The planned joint TC 3/TC 9 WC on “Evidence of Social Change caused by Computers in Education”, second quarter, Toronto, had had to be cancelled due to insufficient interest.

Mr. Sendov said he had contacted the TC 3 Chairman, Mr. Hebenstreit, and the only special item to report upon was the planning of a WC, in co-operation with ICMI, on “The Use of Computers in Teaching Mathematics in Developing Countries” to be held in Yamoussoukro, Ivory Coast, 26-30 August 1985. APC had been contacted by Mr. Hebenstreit for approval and the ICID Chairman for utilization of SFr. 5,800 (balance of ICID / TC 3 account). However, approval of APC was sought for a further grant of SFr. 6,000 which would probably only be required in 1985. The first Programme Committee Meeting had just taken place in Paris. Mr. Bobillier was pleased to note TC 3’s collaboration with ICMI (International Commission of Mathematical Institutions). It was pointed out that this was one of the first WC’s organized by TC 3 to be held in a developing country.

Mr. Bobillier thanked Mr. Sendov for his report
5.3 **TC 5** (Computer Applications in Technology)

*Mr. Morris* presented the report of the TC 5 Chairman, Mr. Rolstadas (C1 Cape Town 84/TC 5-1) and underlined that TC 5 was a Committee which gave great concern for its membership. The representatives for Austria, Morocco and Switzerland had resigned, but a new member had been appointed for the latter country.

As advised at the 1983 Paris GA, Mr. Bo had replaced Mr. Warman as the Chairman of WG 5.2. Mr. Waman had, however, remained as Vice-Chairman. Messrs. Crestin and Falster had taken over the Chairmanships of respectively WG 5.3 and WG 5.7 as successors to Messrs. Kochan and Rolstadas. Mr. Musgrave had been appointed to Chair the new WG 5.8 (Product Specification and Product Documentation) which had been approved at the 1983 Paris GA.

*Mr. Morris* informed that the next meeting of TC 5 would be held in Como, Italy on 5 June 1984 and he would attempt to be in attendance.

*Mr. Morris* commented on the three Working Conferences which had successfully taken place during the last four months of 1984 in respectively Vienna, Lyon and Dresden. He advised that APC had approved IFIP’s co-sponsorship with the Scientific Society for Telecommunication, Budapest of an International Conference on “Mechanical Aspects of Electronic Design – CONSTRONIC 84”, Budapest, 9-11 October 1984.

Since the last GA, one Conference Proceedings had been published – “Advances in Production Management Systems” (eds. Doumeingts and Carter) and Vol. 4/2 and 3 of the TC 5 Journal “Computers in Industry” had been issued.

In conclusion, *Mr. Morris* advised that most TC 5 members were active and two major tasks were being currently pursued – “Future Conference Policy” and “Working Conference Guidelines” – which would be discussed at TC 5’s upcoming meeting and subsequently reported on in the TC 5 Annual Report.

Council NOTED the TC 5 report with thanks to its Chairman, Mr. Rolstadas, and Cognizant Officer, Mr. Morris.

5.4 **TC 6** (Data Communication)

*Mr. Piloty* said there was not a written report. TC 6 regretted that two important countries were missing in its membership – namely, U.S.S.R. and China, and wished to make an effort to include same.

*Mr. Bobillier* requested that the respective General Assembly Members assist TC 6 in that respect.


TC 6 was concerned with WG 6.4 (Local Computer Networks) and was trying to get a new Chairman and more activities going. Another problem related to WG 6.5 (International Computer Message Systems) where many contributions had been made by TC 6, but it appeared that they were not visible nor credible to IFIP in a sufficient manner and had appeared in CCITT and ISO documents. TC 6 would make an effort to produce a book on the work it had been doing in that very important area.

In respect of the Task Group on “Human-Computer Interaction” under the Chairmanship of Mr. Shackel and its upcoming event “Interact '84”, London, 4-7 September 1984, *Mr. Piloty* advised that TC 6 had been willing to have one or two of its members participate in that event.

*Mr. Piloty* confirmed that the preparations for the TC 6 Symposium on “Network in Office Automation”, Sofia, 25-30 September 1984 were progressing favourably. TC 6 would have preferred an earlier date, but the event had been thus scheduled in order to tie same in with a Fair. Mr. Jelezov advised that 5,000 Call for Papers had been distributed which had resulted in approximately 200 submitted papers, including 100 from Bulgaria. To-date, around 250 registrations had been received.
Mr. Sendov requested the Council Members to publicize the Symposium as much as possible in order to attract further participants.

Mr. Piloty commented on the “Networks ’84” Symposium being organized by the Computer Society of India in conjunction with TC 6 in Madras, 23-25 October 1984 and understood that ICID had been approached for some funding.

Mr. Piloty underlined that TC 6 was an extremely active Technical Committee.

Mr. Goldsworthy referred to the 1983 Paris GA Minutes and pointed out that some confusion had arisen as it was therein indicated that two grants of SFr. 10,000 each were sought from the IFIP Development Fund. This was not the case as the TC 6 Chairman, Mr. Danthine, had requested different events to be supported by that Fund and Mr. Goldsworthy, therefore, moved that the following grants be allocated to TC 6 and added to the 1984 IFIP Budget:

- Conference COMNET 85”, Budapest, 1-4 October 1985. Grant: SFr. 10,000.

Council unanimously adopted the motion.

Mr. Dalton confirmed he would amend the 1984 IFIP Budget accordingly and

Mr. Goldsworthy stated he would inform Mr. Danthine of the decision.

Mr. Bobillier thanked Mr. Piloty for his oral report on TC 6.

5.5 TC 7 (System Modelling and Optimization)

Mr. Piloty said he had spoken with the TC 7 Chairmen, Mr. Stoer, and learned that WG 7.1 had held a successful Working Conference on “Stochastic Programming” and WG 7.3 had had a successful International Workshop on “Applied Mathematics and Performance Reliability of Computer Communication Systems”. Both events had taken place in Italy in September 1983 with approximately 60 participants at each. Springer was publishing the Proceedings of the WC and, although no precise details were to hand, Proceedings were foreseen for the Workshop. WG 7.2 had held a General Conference with INRIA in Versailles, France, December 1983 which had attracted around 300 participants and the Proceedings were to be published by North-Holland.

TC 7 had just met in Marseilles where the main topic on the Agenda had been the organization of the 10th Anniversary Symposium “Performance 84”, scheduled to take place in Paris, 19-21 December 1984 under the responsibility of the WG 7.3 Chairman, Mr. Kobayashi. A loan of SFr. 4,000 would be requested at the next GA Meeting.

Mr. Piloty stated that, at the next GA Meeting, TC 7 would formally request (with Scope and Aims) the establishment of a new Working Group on “Immunological Systems” which, so far, had been a sub-group of WG 7.1. It was now felt that the sub-group had matured into a form which permitted the creation of a separate WG.

Mr. Goldsworthy advised that APC had discussed investigating the possibility of re-establishing TC 4. The purpose of that discussion was to consider the theoretical aspects of medical informatics such as mathematical modelling which IMIA saw as outside its sphere of interest. He informed that Mr. Sendov would prepare a position paper to investigate whether TC 4 should be reconstituted.

In view of TC 7’s intentions, Mr. Goldsworthy suggested that Mr. Sendov liaise with that Committee in preparing the position paper.

As TC 7 Cognizant Officer, Mr. Piloty requested that he be kept informed on the matter. (Refer Agenda item 8.1).

Mr. Bobillier thanked Mr. Piloty for his oral report.
Mr. Glaser mentioned that, as Cognizant Officer, he had attended the 11th TC 8 meeting in Paris following Congress 83 and had found the experience very worthwhile. He recommended his fellow Cognizant Officers to do likewise. At the end of that meeting, Mr. Bracchi took over the Chairmanship of TC 8 from Mr. Verrijn Stuart. The next TC 8 meetings were scheduled for 12 April 1984 in Sydney and 7 September 1984 in London.

Mr. Glaser referred to the report of Mr. Bracchi and advised that the WG 8.1 WC on “Feature Analysis of Information Systems Design Methodologies – CRIS 2”, July 1983, York, had been well attended by participants of widely varying professional background. Five invited papers and twenty contributed papers had been presented at the WG 8.2 WC on “Beyond Productivity”, August 1983, Minneapolis, which had attracted 75 persons from academic and practitioner environments. The Proceedings had been published by North-Holland very quickly after that event.

Mr. Glaser reported that the two ‘Open CRIS Conferences’, which had taken place in London and Lisbon in October 1983, had both attracted approximately 40 participants. It was recalled that the ‘Open’ events were arranged to communicate to a wide audience the material collected during the previous “CRIS” Working Conferences. Approval was sought for a further ‘Open CRIS Conference’ planned for 9-11 October 1984 in Paris. This was referred to APC.

Attention was drawn to the various Working Group activities held during the last six month of 1983, including the commencement in September 1982 of a WG 8.2 Newsletter called OASIS (Organization and Society and Information Systems) which would be a regular publication.

Mr. Bracchi’s report indicated that, in addition to the officially-established Task Groups under the auspices of WG 8.1 – namely, “Information Systems Engineering” and “Ad Hoc CRIS Committee”, a new Task Group had been created to prepare an International Directory of Information Systems University Faculty and University Researchers.

Mr. Glaser pointed out that just preceding the next TC 8 meeting, a Joint International Symposium on “Information Systems”, sponsored by TC 8 and the Australian Computer Society, would take place in Sydney, 9-11 April 1984.

Mr. Glaser recalled that at the 1983 Paris GA Meeting, Mr. Verrijn Stuart and the Finnish GA representative, Mr. Kontinen, had presented a proposal for a WC on “Office Systems” to be hosted by either the Nordic Union or the Finnish Member Society in late 1984 or early 1985. TC 8 now sought approval of that WC to take place in Finland in February 1985. It would be based on invited papers only and the Programme Committee, under the Chairmanship of Mr. Bauknecht, would involve experts from other IFIP TC’s.

However, Mr. Glaser reported that APC had not yet been given enough details on the programme and arrangements in order to process the request and he would, therefore, contact the Conference General Chairman, Mr. Verrijn Stuart, for same. Messrs. Morris and Finch felt that TC 5 and TC 11 would be interested in having representatives involved in the WC.

Mr. Piloty considered there was a certain overlap in Office Automation Systems between TC 8 and TC 6 and stated that the latter was much involved with Local Networking which was a basis for establishing OAS, and queried if there had been any liaison. Mr. Glaser confirmed that the TC 6 U.S.A. representative, Mr. Uhlig, would participate in the TC 8 event.

During the aforementioned WC in Finland, a new TC 8 Working Group in the area of Office Automation would be formalized. Approval was also sought for a 1986 WC on “Design of Office Information Systems” to be organized by that WG. APC would also process same when more information would be available.

Mr. Glaser advised that certain TC 8 representatives had proposed the establishment of a TC 8 Working Group in the area of “Government (or Public) Information Systems” – which issue would be discussed at the upcoming TC 8 meeting. However, since there were other IFIP programmes in the area of Public Administration, Council’s view was sought on the matter. Mr. Morris referred to the Task Group on “Public Sector Data Processing” under the Chairmanship of Mr. Barnes which had been nominated by the Council following the First IFIP Conf. on “GMDP”, February 1983, Vienna (refer 1983 Beijing Council Minutes),
and suggested that Mr. Bracchi be requested to get in contact with Mr. Barnes to avoid parallel, duplicate activities. (This request was subsequently orally conveyed to Mr. Bracchi by Mr. Sacerdoti.)

**Mr. Bobillier** thanked Mr. Glaser for presenting Mr. Bracchi’s report on TC 8 which was **NOTED** by the Council.

### 5.7 TC 9 (Relationship between Computers and Society)

**Mr. Melbye** recalled that TC 9 had met in Paris at the time of the September 1983 GA Meeting where it had elected a new Chairman, Mr. Sackman, and things seemed to be working well.

**Mr. Melbye** reported two membership changes – Austria and Denmark.

As to activities, it was regretted that the Joint TC 9 / TC 3 WC on “Evidence of Social Change caused by Computers in Education”, Toronto, April 1984 had had to be cancelled due to insufficient interest.

Mr. Melbye drew attention to the three remaining 1984 planned events (as appearing in the 6-Year Plan): WG 9.1 WC on “Personal Control and Information Systems”, 28-30 May, Vienna; WG 9.1 International Conference on “Women, Work and Computerization”, 17-21 September, Riva del Sole, and the WG 9.2 Workshop on “The Benevolent Bureaucracy”, Jouy-en-Josas, which would now take place 4-7 September. With regard to the latter event, there seemed to be some problems which Mr. Sackman was attempting to resolve.

At the time of the May Vienna WC, there would be several meetings – TC 9, WG 9.1 and possibly WG 9.2 – where Mr. Sackmann would take the opportunity to clear up a few matters with the Working Groups.

Mr. Melbye advised that the May 1985 WG 9.1 WC on “Methods and Experiences of Participative System Design”, Denmark, had been postponed to 1986. The September 1985 WG 9.1 WC on “How to support Participatory System Development” should be deleted from the 6-Year Plan. The main event in 1985 would be the 3rd Conference on “Human Choice and Computers”, September, Stockholm. The Call for Papers had gone out, but the local organizers were slightly disappointed at the reaction to-date.

Mr. Melbye presented Mr. Sackman’s paper on TC 9’s ‘Preliminary Exploratory Proposal for an IFIP-sponsored World Computer Users Society’ (which document was duly mailed to all Council Members under date of 4 April 1984 via IFIPSEC at the request of Mr. Melbye). The basic proposal was that IFIP, as the embodiment of the expertise and conscience of the international professional computer community, develop and launch such a Society initially through TC 9, in the highest ideals of the global public interest. Mr. Melbye said his personal reaction was a sceptical one. Mr. Sendov thought it was a good idea – there should be an organization of the citizens of the earth.

In conclusion, Mr. Melbye referred to the New Zealand Position Statement which had been presented to the GA in Paris 1983 (refer GA Minutes) and said he had just received three pages of elaboration on the reasoning behind same from the TC 9 New Zealand representative. Mr. Bobillier underlined that the matter would have to be dealt with by the General Assembly and not discussed by the Council.

Council **NOTED** the comments on TC 9 with thanks to the new, active Chairman, Mr. Sackman, and to Mr. Melbye for his continuous zealous role as Cognizant Officer.

### 5.8 TC 10 (Digital Systems Design)

**Mr. Glaser** referred to the report of the TC 10 Chairman, Mr. Aspinall, and was pleased to announce that finally negotiations had been concluded between the IEEE TC on VLSI Technology and IFIP WG 10.5 (VLSI), and VLSI-84 would not take place 7-11 October 1984 in New York.

**Mr. Glaser** advised that in response to IFIP Congress 86 PC Chairman Bjorner’s letter setting out the proposed format for that event, TC 10 had held an extraordinary meeting in Amsterdam on 9 January 1984 with an excellent attendance. The suggestions made during a very full debate had been duly passed on to Mr. Bjorner and TC 10 was awaiting a response from the Programme Committee. Mr. Bobillier appreciated the very positive action of TC. Council **CONCURRED**.

**Mr. Bobillier** thanked Mr. Glaser for presenting the report.
Mr. Finch announced that the TC 11 Chairman, Mr. Beckman, had intended to come to Cape Town for the Meeting, but he was only then recovering from an illness of three months. However, he had submitted a report (C1 Cape Town 84/TC 11). TC 11 had received very favourable publicity, not only in “Computers and Security”, but in the IFIP Newsletter.

In October 1983, a request had been mailed to GA Members to propose representatives to TC 11. Nine countries had replied: Australia, Bulgaria, F.R.G., Italy, New Zealand, Norway, South Africa, U.K. and U.S.A. Members from Canada, Denmark and Finland were expected shortly.

The first meeting of TC 11 would be held in Toronto in conjunction with the 2nd International Conference on “Security – IFIP / SEC 84”, 10-13 September 1984. In respect of “IFIP / SEC 84”, Mr. Finch said over 50 papers had been received, a strong programme was foreseen and it was hoped to have about 600 participants. A WC on “Information Security Management” would take place 24-25 May 1984 in Amsterdam (organized by the Security Section of the Dutch Member Society) and a limited attendance of perhaps 100 people was expected.

Mr. Finch said APC had reviewed the TC 11 Aims and Scope as presented by Mr. Beckman and recommended the Council’s approval of the following for ultimate presentation to the General Assembly.

Aims
To increase the reliability and general confidence in information processing, as well as to act as a forum for security managers and others professionally active in the field of information processing security.

Scope
1. The establishment of a frame of reference for security common to organizations, professionals and the public.
2. The exchange of practical experience in security work.
3. The dissemination of information on and the evaluation of current and future protective techniques.
4. The promotion of security and protection as essential parts of information processing systems.

It was also recommended that the title of TC 11 be (Security and Protection in Information Processing Systems).

Council unanimously APPROVED the recommended Aims and Scope and title for TC 11.

Mr. Finch advised that TC 11 was considering the creation of four Working Groups on ‘Security Management’, ‘Office Automation Security’, ‘Data Base Security’ and ‘Cryptography Management’. Their Aims and Scopes would be reviewed in Toronto in September and thereafter presented to the General Assembly (refer Agenda item 8.1).

Mr. Bobillier thanked Mr. Finch for presenting the TC 11 report and requested him to convey the Council’s best wishes to Mr. Beckman for a speedy recovery.

IMIA

Mr. Finch presented the report of the IMIA President, Mr. Peterson – (C1 Cape Town 84 / IMIA – 1) and advised that there had been no IMIA Board nor Assembly Meetings since the 1983 IFIP Paris GA.

(Attention was again drawn to the description ‘Assembly’ – refer Paris GA Minutes). The next IMIA Board Meeting would take place in Washington, D.C., 15-17 May 1984, and the next ‘Assembly’ was scheduled to be held in Buenos Aires, 2-4 November 1984. That site was selected to allow IMIA to promote the work of its new Regional Group IMIA-LAC (Regional Federation on Health Informatics for Latin America and the Caribbean) which would organize a Conference on “Health Informatics” in Rio de Janeiro, 5-7 November 1984.
Mr. Finch recalled that IMIA had requested a grant of SFr. 10,000 at the 1983 Paris GA which had been rejected on the basis of insufficient financial reporting, etc. (refer Paris GA Minutes).

Action
He had discussed the matter with the new IMIA Treasurer, Mr. Flint, who was in the process of reviewing the entire financial situation of IMIA, and a full report would be presented to the next IFIP General Assembly. Mr. Finch advised that Medinfo 83 had been more successful than anticipated and, as a consequence, the need for a grant was less necessary. Mr. Dalton again requested that, as IFIP Treasurer, he be kept aware of all IMIA financial matters. Mr. Finch considered that a full report could be given to Mr. Dalton by the end of May 1984.

In respect of Medinfo 86, Mr. Glaser advised that Mr. Tanaka had asked him to report that the Call for Papers was scheduled to be distributed in January 1985.

Action
Mr. Glaser indicated that he could not find reference to IFIP in a ‘draft’ brochure he had received concerning the event and moved that the organizers be requested to thus do so.

Council unanimously ADOPTED the motion.

7. AFFILIATE MEMBERS

There was nothing to report on the following Agenda items:

7.1 IAPR
7.3 ICCC
7.4 EUROMICRO
7.2 IASC

Mr. Fourot drew attention to IASC Scientific Secretary Brosveet’s report (IASC-1) which included a letter from the IASC President – outlining the activities of IASC- which was being used as a measure towards the recruitment of new members, both individual and institutional. IASC was currently supplying the ISI (Int. Statistical Institute) Newsletter and Statistical Software Newsletter to its members. IASC would hold its next Council Meeting in Prague, August 1984 during the 6th Symposium on Computational Statistics – COMPSTAT 1984. Planning of the ISI Symposium in Amsterdam in 1985 was far advanced for which IASC was organizing Sessions on ‘Statistical Computing’, ‘General Survey Processing Programs’, ‘Non-Programming Interfaces to Statistical Systems’ and ‘Recent Trends in the Use of Microcomputers for Statistical Computing with special reference to Developing Countries’.

Council NOTED the report with thanks to Mr. Brosveet.

7.5 IJCAI

Mr. Glaser said he had been asked to inform the Council of “IJCAI 85” which would be held at UCLA, Los Angeles, 18-24 August 1985. The General and Programme Chairmen were respectively Mr. Alan Mackworth of the University of British Columbia and Mr. Arvind Joshi of the University of Pennsylvania.

7.6 FACEI

There were nothing to report on this Agenda item.
Mr. Goldsworthy announced that APC had met just prior to the Council Meeting.

Approval of Activities: The system (initiated at the 1982 Rome GA) whereby APC approved proposed activities appeared to be working quite effectively, and Mr. Goldsworthy encouraged all the TC Chairmen to take advantage of same. He circulated the approval requests to his Committee members and, in the absence of a four-week response, this signified member-agreement. Activities approved by APC were shown as such in the IFIP 6-Year Plan.

Portfolio Responsibilities: To improve the effectiveness of the operation of APC, Mr. Goldsworthy stated he had adopted the practice of giving specific responsibilities to individual members of his Committee. These were as follows –

- New initiatives - Mr. Le Roux
- TC’s, WG’s, SIG’s - Mr. Goldsworthy
- Reviews - Mr. Glaser
- Affiliates and Sponsorship - Mr. Finch

Mr. Goldsworthy was happy to announce that Mr. Navez had agreed to take over the role of Conference Officer, the Charter for which had been approved at the 1982 Rome GA. Mr. Navez pointed out that he could receive no support from his employer (either secretarial or funding), but he did have a Personal Computer at home which he would utilize for the work involved. He gave a briefing on his plan of action and trusted he could have the support of the IFIP Secretariat when necessary. The Publications Committee Chairman, Mr. Zemanek, was equally delighted that the role of Conference Officer had been filled and, consequently, invited him to become a member of PC (n.b. the Conference Officer Job Charter is printed in the IFIP Information Bulletin.)

TC Reviews:

TC 6 - Mr. Goldsworthy recalled that the RC Chairman, Mr. Sacerdoti, had been asked to designate an outside expert who had since been appointed – namely, Mr. K. Uncapher of the U.A.S. The APC representative was Mr. Le Roux. Mr. Sacerdoti was proposing to have a meeting at the time of the TC 6 meeting in May 1984 in Tunisia. Mr. Sacerdoti said he had written to all GA Full Members in October 1983 calling for any comments they might have in respect of TC 6. To-date, he had only received three replies, including a very long one from Mr. Sendov which was much appreciated. It was hoped to complete the Review in 1984.

TC 9 - Mr. Goldsworthy also recalled that the RC Chairman, Mr. Hernaes, had been asked to designate an outside expert, but he had not yet received advice of an appointment. The APC representative was Mr. Glaser. It had been planned to complete the Review in 1984.

TC 8 – The Review was in progress under the Chairmanship of Mr. Finch and it was hoped to complete same in 1984. Mr. R. Canning of the U.S.A. was the outside expert and Mr. Melbye the APC representative.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated that APC had decided not to institute other Reviews as had been proposed (1985 – TC 5 and TC 7; 1986 – TC 10 and IMIA) until certain problems in bringing current Reviews to completion had been resolved.

Task Group on Review Procedure: Mr. Goldsworthy recalled that at the 1983 Paris GA, a TG under the Chairmanship of Mr. Glaser had been re-established as it was felt the reviewing procedure could be improved and warranted re-examination. The matter was discussed at some length during the APC meeting, and Mr. Goldsworthy emphasized that APC’s approach should be understood as constructive rather than critical. He outlined the following recommendations:

- that it was considered appropriate to appoint an RC at the time of a new TC Chairman appointment;
- that the usefulness of a Review would be enhanced if completed within twelve months;
that if the Review process were to bear fruit, there was a clear responsibility on the part of the TC Chairmen to respond to the recommendations of RC (following discussion of same within the TC). It was recommended that such a response be provided by the TC Chairman to APC within six weeks of the first meeting of the TC held after receipt of the report of the Review Committee.

Council unanimously APPROVED the recommendation, and Mr. Glaser said he would duly advise the TC Chairmen of those Committees currently under Review.

Council unanimously APPROVED the incorporating same in the Guidelines for Review Committees.

Mr. Goldsworthy emphasized that the TC Chairmen and Committees were under no obligation to agree with the recommendations of the Review Committees. It was, however, important that a TC under review respond to the RC recommendations for subsequent debate and decisions.

Council unanimously APPROVED the recommendation that a meeting of the Review Committee be convened to coincide with a meeting of the Technical Committee.

Mr. Goldsworthy said the TG would continue its work and Mr. Glaser would be contacting Chairmen of recently-reviewed Committees for their suggestions and comments.

Co-Sponsorship: It had been agreed that co-sponsorship should be determined by the President or referred to APC at his discretion. In the latter case, Mr. Goldsworthy said it had also been agreed that he would process same and only refer such requests to his Committee members where circumstances warranted such an action.

Task Group on Human-Computer Interaction: Mr. Goldsworthy advised that most of the time of the TG Chairman, Mr. Shackel, had been involved in organizing an International Conference on “Human-Computer Interaction – INTERACT 84”, 4-7 September 1984, London, and he had received no report as yet.

Mr. Morris had agreed to follow up on the matter.

Committee on History of Information Processing: Mr. Goldsworthy recalled that the Committee had been established at the 1983 Paris GA under the Chairmanship of Dr. Beauchamp of the University of Lancaster, at which time the Terms of Reference (Aims and Scope) of the Committee had been approved. He had not received a report to-date and, here again, Mr. Morris could follow up on same.

It was agreed that several people put forward good ideas which were adopted by IFIP, but – perhaps henceforth – IFIP should demand a more substantive commitment before proceeding further.

TC 11 (refer Agenda item 5.9): Mr. Goldsworthy advised that APC felt TC 11 should give attention to the importance of ‘security protection of software’ and to ‘communication aspects of connecting systems’.

Task Group on Restructuring: Mr. Goldsworthy recalled that the TG had been created at the 1983 Paris GA under the Chairmanship of Mr. Morris (members = Messrs. Le Roux, Melbye, Navez, Paul, Sendov and Vlietstra) to review and re-assess the operational structure of IFIP as questions had been raised as to whether the current structure of TC’s, WG’s, SIG’s and Affiliate Members was the most appropriate. A discussion paper had been prepared by Mr. Morris which had been discussed at length.
by APC and had agreed that the TG would develop a questionnaire to elicit constructive suggestions from GA representatives; TC, WG and SIG Chairmen and Affiliate Members. It was also suggested that, subject to the Editor’s agreement, the questionnaire could be published in the IFIP Newsletter as a convenient way to reach a much wider audience.

Mr. Goldsworthy underlined that APC was particularly concerned with improving the visibility of IFIP in Member countries and felt a regular programme of Conferences on each Continent was needed. APC considered that the role of Cognizant Officers could be increased so that they could promote regional events.

APC had discussed at length the relationship between the theoretical and applied aspects of IP and had felt it might be more appropriate to see TC’s concerned with the former whilst SIG’s address the latter. Mr. Goldsworthy said this had led to a debate on the desirability of re-establishing TC 4 in the field of Medical and Biological Informatics, concentrating on areas such as mathematical modelling which were not addressed by, nor the concern of IMIA, and Mr. Sendov had agreed to prepare a position paper on the matter. (Refer Agenda item 5.5) He emphasized that the suggestion in no way envisaged any modification to IMIA, but should be seen as complementary to IMIA.

Mr. Finch said he would relay the situation to IMIA.

Public Sector DP: Mr. Goldsworthy recalled that the PC of the IFIP-sponsored First Int. Conf. on the topic, held February 1983 in Vienna, had been asked to continue as a Task Group under the Chairmanship of Mr. Barnes to report on how IFIP should proceed in relation to Public Sector DP. Although he had received no report, Mr. Goldsworthy understood the TC had met in October 1983 and was most enthusiastic.

Regional Event in Africa: Mr. Le Roux (GA Member for South Africa) had issued invitations to African representatives to participate at a meeting, but had not yet received all the replies. The purpose of the meeting was to consider the possibility of forming an African Regional Confederation along the lines of SEARCC.

IFIP Newsletter: Mr. Goldsworthy said APC wished to record its appreciation and congratulations to the Editor, Mr. Rosenfeld, on the first two issues. Council gave a VOTE OF THANKS to Mr. Rosenfeld. (Refer Agenda item 8.9.1).

IFIP Development Fund: Mr. Goldsworthy confirmed that the TC Chairmen had been written to in order to ensure they were aware of the existence and purpose of the Fund. Thus far, SFr. 3,000 had been given to SEARCC to help fund two speakers who would address “SEARCC 84”, September 1984, Hong Kong. TC 6 had requested funding and this would be finalized upon his return to Australia.

UNESCO-IFIP Co-operation: Mr. Goldsworthy said that in respect of the proposed co-operation (as spelled out under Agenda item 8.6 – ICID), the role of APC was to see how IFIP could best cope with the mechanism of the new situation which opened up the possibility of substantial financial assistance in supporting activities, especially in developing countries. Further, the proposal required IFIP to respond in a relatively short time as to its capability and willingness to undertake specific projects.

Mr. Goldsworthy said Mr. Narasimhan had seen the need for a Committee other than ICID to handle same and had so suggested. After consideration of all aspects, APC recommended that the most appropriate approach would be to create a Unesco Liaison Committee comprised of Mr. Narasimhan (Chairman) and Messrs. Tanaka, Bobillier, Fourot and Finch as members.

Council unanimously AGREED to the recommendation.

Discussion followed on the various ways the proposed Unesco/IFIP co-operation could be implemented. Mr. Goldsworthy considered that the newly-created Committee should carefully consider the various projects before accepting them, give careful thought to who would undertake same and monitor the progress. It was important that IFIP should not accept the responsibility for and activity it could not fulfil to a high standard. Council CONCURRED.

Mr. Bobillier said he would inform Mr. Narasimhan of the Council decisions and requested Mr. Glaser
to brief Mr. Tanaka on the matter and give to him copies of the documents. Mr. Fourot had distributed to the Council Member.

Council unanimously AGREED to Mr. Fourot writing a formal request to Unesco to conduct its correspondence with IFIP in the English language.

In conclusion, Mr. Goldsworthy said he wished to place on record the active participation and positive contributions of the APC members.

Mr. Bobillier thanked Mr. Goldsworthy for his energetic Chairmanship of APC.

8.2 Admissions Committee

Mr. Melbye advised that information had been sent to Uruguay from the IFIP Secretariat, but to-date he had not heard any news. There were no further developments to report upon.

8.3 Congress Guidelines Committee

There was nothing to report on this Agenda item.

8.4 Finance Committee

Mr. Dalton confirmed that that the IFIP investments arrangements were running smoothly.

8.5 IFIP Committee for International Liaison – ICIL

Mr. Fourot presented Mr. Tanaka’s report (C1 Cape Town 84/ICIL-1) and advised that there had been no extraordinary nor notable events requiring IFIP action in the interval since the 1983 Paris General Assembly.

8.6 IFIP Committee: Informatics for Development - ICID

Mr. Bobillier, as ICID Cognizant Officer, presented Mr. Narasimhan’s report (dated 13.2.84) which referred to recommendations of the ICID Review Committee and the appointment of Mr. J. Bogod (Exec. Director of the U.K. Council for Computing Development) as ICID Vice-Chairman. Mr. Uozumi mentioned that Mr. Narasimhan had commended a list of ICID members to President Ando who would duly appoint same.

Mr. Bobillier drew attention to Mr. Narasimhan’s extended discussion with UNIDO regarding possible UNIDO-IFIP inter-actions. UNIDO produced a detailed and informative Newsletter “Microelectronics Monitor” which it would make available to IFIP for distribution to the GA representatives, TC Chairmen, etc. UNIDO was sponsoring an informal meeting of around 13 UN, governmental and non-governmental organizations active in the area of informatics for development, but mostly unaware of one another’s programmes, during the next week which would be attended by both Messrs. Narasimhan and Bogod.

Mr. Bobillier advised that Mr. Narasimhan had been invited by the Unesco Sc. And Tech. Regional Office for Latin America and Caribbean to attend the 10th Latin American Conference on “Informatics”, Valparaiso, Chile, 23-27 April 1984. This would provide an excellent opportunity to create working-level links between IFIP / ICID and the Latin American-Caribbean region.

Mr. Bobillier said that Mr. Narasimhan had distributed a memo to all GA Members in October 1983 inviting inputs to work out the future activities of ICID, etc., but as at 13.2.84 had only received three written responses.
As referred to under Agenda item 4.2, Mr. Fourot said he had had a meeting at Unesco in January 1984 with Messrs. Kaddoura, Forti and Mentalecheta, respectively Deputy Director General for the Sciences, Head of the Scientific Division and Head of the Informatics Section of the Scientific Division. He had summarized the discussions in a report dated 31.1.84 addressed to Messrs. Ando, Bobillier, Finch, Goldsworthy, Narasimhan and Tanaka, outlining five categories of action currently being considered by Unesco in the development of its cooperation with IFIP:

1) PARTICIPATION OF EXISTING IFIP ACTIVITIES:
Unesco would particularly like to send more attendees from developing countries to existing IFIP events.

2) SPECIFIC SURVEYS AND STUDIES:
Unesco would subsidize same based on a list of requests from its Member Nations. A contract would be established for each Survey / Study and Unesco would cover the cost of the work and publish the findings (e.g. pre-school and elementary school children’s behaviour when confronted with the ‘informatics revolution’ – a request from Bulgaria; social implications of informatics: unemployment, retraining). A complete list would be proposed to IFIP by August / September 1984 for Surveys / Studies to be made next year.

3) JOINT EVENTS:
Unesco would like to promote Unesco/IFIP co-sponsored events and could organize such events in those countries were IFIP was not yet represented.

4) EXPERTS:
Unesco would like IFIP to assist in locating technical experts for its Member Nations and was prepared to establish a mechanism to that end.

5) DOCUMENTATION:
Unesco would like to disseminate IFIP publications within the developing countries where they were not so easily available and several ideas were raised in that respect.

Mr. Fourot said Mr. Narasimhan had commented very favourably on the new ideas proposed by Unesco in a letter dated 8 February 1984 and had suggested that IFIP establish a new mechanism to tackle same – such as an Ad Hoc Committee of around five persons. He added that Mr. Narasimhan strongly felt that Unesco should not discontinue the ICID / Unesco budget completely, but continue allocations which ICID could make available to concerned TC’s / WG’s for partial travel support of speakers, etc. from developing and developed countries.

(Refer Agenda item 8.1)

Mr. Fourot said he had been asked to follow up with Unesco in respect of the ICID/Unesco Contract for 1984 in which ICID had requested an allocation of $40,000 for nine different events, and had duly written to Mr. Mentalecheta on 9.2.84. He had received a reply dated 22.2.84 suggesting a reduced allocation of $11,000 for four events, but indicating the possibility of contracting Regional Unesco Offices for support in certain cases (e.g. SEARCC 84, Hong Kong and Panel 84-Expodata, Valparaiso).

Mr. Fourot stated he had written back on 6 March 1984 to the effect that IFIP / ICID would appreciate the establishment of such a Contract and he had confirmed – in reply to a request from Unesco – that the organizers would make sure that mention of Unesco’s sponsorship would appear in all the documents relative to those events.

Action

Mr. Bobillier said IFIP had to continue with the ICID programme and ICID should submit to Unesco its proposed programme of activity for 1985 in good time.

Mr. Bobillier said he had distributed a copy of the Unesco Draft Program and Budget for 1984–5/Informatics to all TC and IMIA Chairmen on 23.2.84 together with a copy of Mr. Fourot’s report of 31.1.84 on his Unesco discussions and requested input to Mr. Narasimhan. The TC 6 Chairman, Mr. Danthine, had already replied most positively.

(Refer Agenda item 8.1)
Mr. Bobillier drew attention to a letter from ENSI, Algeria requesting the support of IFIP specialists at the 2nd International Informatique Symposium, 21-27 May 1984. Mr. Fourot proposed that it be referred to TC Chairmen and GA Members for Belgium, France, Switzerland with a request that they contact ENSI direct.

Mr. Bobillier also drew attention to a letter of 29.2.84 from the Unesco Regional Office for Education in Africa, Senegal requesting the names and addresses of two or three IFIP African Member specialists to study “les poss. d’applic. de l’inf. et de l’util. des ordin. dans les systemes educatifs en Afrique”. Copies of the letter had been circulated to Messrs. Ando, Goldsworthy, Hebenstreit, Narasimhan and Bobillier. Mr. Fourot felt Mr. Hebenstreit should be asked to handle the matter.

Council AGREED and Mr. Fourot was requested to handle same.

8.7 Internal Awards Committee

Following discussion on the Silver Core certificate, Mr. Bobillier recalled that the suggestion of Mr. Zich to consider changing and complementing same with a pin had been finally rejected, but he felt IAC could possibly re-open the subject.

A long discussion ensued on the current rules for eligibility for the Silver Core Award and Mr. Goldsworthy moved that the rules for the Silver Core Award be revised to make the Award of the Core dependent upon the assessment of true service to IFIP.

Council unanimously ADOPTED the motion.

Mr. Sendov considered thought should be given to having an IFIP recognition / distinction for presentation to high-level persons in hosting countries and gave as an example Council’s visit to the Mayor of Cape Town.

8.8 Publications Committee

Mr. Zemanek presented his report (C1 Cape Town 84/PC-1) and advised that the Publications Committee would meet in Vienna the following month.

He announced that the 100th volume of the standard WC Proceedings published by North-Holland was approaching, which did not include the IMIA volumes.

Mr. Zemanek said there were five titles under discussion for the State-of-the-Art Report to be published by Springer.

Ms. Smit drew attention to the Status Report of some 20 IFIP books to be published by North-Holland, and to the Promotion Report. North-Holland had promoted IFIP publications at 41 events during the period September 1983 and February 1984, and had produced a number of promotional Folders and Leaflets. North-Holland also placed Calls for Papers and advertisements concerning published volumes in its various Journals, as appropriate. Ms. Smit was pleased to report the excellent response from IFIP Member Societies in respect of a two-page IFIP Flyer – over 28,000 copies had been distributed by about half of the Societies.

Mr. Zemanek reminded the Council that he had found a successor to Chair PC – namely, Mr. H.-R. Schuchmann – who would take over the function following the next GA Meeting. However, a Publications Officer was still required.

Mr. Fourot agreed to contact the TC Chairmen in that respect. It was further suggested that perhaps a former TC Chairman might fill the role and / or location in The Netherlands, if possible. Mr. Zemanek again expressed his pleasure that Mr. Navez had accepted the role of Conference Officer (refer Agenda item 8.1).
Mr. Zemanek recalled that a clear decision had not yet been taken in respect of Prof. Schneider’s proposal for a Lexicon. At the 1983 Paris GA, he had agreed to give support to the creation of an Ad Hoc Committee to guide and watch Prof. Schneider’s efforts and had distributed a form requesting names for the Committee. As only three answers were received at that time, Mr. Zemanek said he had mailed a second request to all GA Members in November 1983 which had resulted in two answers.

Action
Following further discussion, Mr. Bobillier urged the Council Members to see if they could identify people in their countries willing to participate in the Ad Hoc Committee.

Mr. Zemanek said PC was working on a proposal of how to shape the IFIP 25th Anniversary Volume and its contents – this would be further discussed at the upcoming PC meeting.

Mr. Bobillier thanked Mr. Zemanek and Ms. Smit for their reports.

8.9 Public Information Committee

Mr. Morris presented his report (C1 Cape Town 84/PIC-1) and commented on the two successful issues of the IFIP Newsletter thanks to the hard work of Mr. Rosenfeld.

He trusted the Newsletter would be exploited by the Chairmen of all IFIP Committees and Groups.

As to the ‘occasional articles’ written by K. Owen, the last one – on TC 2 – had been published in October 1983. It was hoped to publish articles on TC 5, 7 and 9 during the course of 1984, although it might be advisable to wait a little longer in respect of TC 9.

Mr. Morris said it was planned to publish the next edition of the IFIP Information Bulletin mid-year, and consideration would be given to reprinting an updated version of “What is IFIP?” following the next GA Meeting.

In conclusion, Mr. Morris advised that there were slow, but steady sales of the IFIP tie.

Mr. Bobillier felt that PIC was doing well and requested those Member Societies – which had not already done so – to reprint the K. Owen articles in their local Bulletins, etc.

8.9.1 IFIP Newsletter

Mr. Rosenfeld referred to his report (C1 Cape Town 84/Newsletter – 1) and announced that the third issue of the IFIP Newsletter was scheduled to be distributed in May 1984; subsequent issues would be distributed in August and November 1984.

Mr. Rosenfeld said a total of approximately SFr. 7,100 had been spent against the budget of SFr. 24,400 to-date. The budget had included an expense item for type-setting, but this cost had been absorbed by his own organization.

Mr. Rosenfeld stated that North-Holland printed 2,500 copies of the Newsletter free-of-charge and had executed the final cut-and-paste work from photocomposed copy which he sent to Ms. Smit who was responsible for seeing it was all carried out. Ms. Smit also assembled all the information furnished by the IFIP Secretariat concerning Events, Changes in IFIP, etc. In return, North-Holland was free to use copy from the Newsletter in its publications, North-Holland would continue to do the printing, and type-setting of the information from the Secretariat, but had requested that the cut-and-paste work be done in U.S.A. for future issues (which would be an extra burden on himself and extra expense for IFIP).

Mr. Rosenfeld said he would be very happy to have more assistance and get more people involved. He wished to record his appreciative thanks to Mr. Glaser for his inestimable help and advice from the very beginning, and to Ms. Smit for her active co-operation.

Action
Following discussion on the various ways to solicit assistance, etc., Mr. Morris moved that, in the letter being sent by Mr. Fourot to the TC Chairmen, a request be included that they appoint a correspondent for the Newsletter.
Council unanimously ADOPTED the motion.

Mr. Morris added that the names of the Correspondents could appear in the mast-head. Mr. Finch underlined that it was important for volunteers to get recognition for their efforts.

Mr. Morris felt it was very necessary to formally recognize the generosity and value of North-Holland in respect of the IFIP Newsletter. Council gave a VOTE OF THANKS.

In conclusion, Mr. Rosenfeld called for suggestions for changes in the Newsletter as he would gladly accept substantive comments.

Mr. Bobillier thanked Mr. Rosenfeld for his report and expressed IFIP’s appreciation of his hard work and effective results.

8.10 Statutes and Bylaws Committee

There was nothing to report under this Agenda item.

8.11 Ad Hoc IFIP 25th Anniversary Committee

Mr. Zemanek drew attention to Mr. Bauer’s report and advised that the date for the Symposium on the occasion of the 25th Anniversary of IFIP had been fixed, namely – 27 March 1985, in Munich.

(The Council Meeting in Munich would probably last from 25 or 26 March to 28 or 29 March 1985).

Mr. Zemanek said he had met several times with Mr. Bauer and eight lectures were planned with the following themes:

- An assessment of the conception and the position of information processing.
- History of IFIP – the first 25 years.
- Man and machine viewed from different cultural backgrounds.
- The future of IFIP.
- Must we do everything we can do? Sense and nonsense in information processing.
- Better performance at lower costs: are there limits to the evolution of hardware?
- Computer Technology – Computer Industry.
- Management and performance of software.

Acceptances to speak had been received thus far from Messrs. T. Kitagawa (theme 3), K. Ando (theme 4), H. Zemanek (theme 5), G. Amdahl (theme 6), B. Gilchrist (theme 7) and H. Mills (theme 8).

Mr. Zemanek stated thought had been given to selected papers, but to be printed only as it was considered one day was long enough for the Symposium. A special Anniversary Volume of the lectures and further papers would be published by North-Holland.

The Symposium would be open to the public, and receptions by State and Municipal Authorities were planned.

An announcement of the Symposium would be available July / August 1984 for publication in suitable media, and international publication of same should be initiated by the IFIP Secretary / Secretariat.

Mr. Morris, PIC Chairman, considered the Symposium could be advertised in the Newsletter and in an announcement to the Press.
Per Mr. Bauer’s request, Mr. Goldsworthy moved that a grant of SFr. 5,000 for the support of the general organization of the Symposium be approved.

Council unanimously ADOPTED the motion.

Mr. Dalton would, accordingly, include same in the Budget.

It was noted that the organizers would not be able to cover the travel and living expenses of the Speakers. In case of necessity, considered unlikely, Mr. Zemanek felt support could be obtained from the President’s Reserve Account.

The final Anniversary Symposium Programme would be submitted to the General Assembly for or at the next Meeting.

* * * * *

(This Agenda item was adjourned for a group discussion).

It appeared that the present Ad Hoc Committee was confining its work to the Programme of the Symposium and the Proceedings. Considering the importance and somewhat sensitive nature of organizing this important event, it was recommended that an Ad Hoc Committee be formed to look after the ceremonial, procedural and PR aspects. Because of the importance, it was proposed that senior executives of IFIP be involved, namely: V-P Goldsworthy (Chairman), V-P Piloty, V-P Glaser and Trustee Sendov (members) – which meant representation from most parts of the world.

Council unanimously APPROVED the creation of an Ad Hoc IFIP 25th Anniversary Committee for Protocol with the proposed Chairman and members.

Mr. Zemanek said he would advise Mr. Bauer accordingly.

9. CONGRESS 83

Mr. Carteron presented his report (C1 Cape Town 84/ C83-OC-1) covering Historical Background, Organizational Structure, Organizing Committee, Programme Committee, Liaisons, Bilingualism, Documentation, Promotion, Exhibition, Proceedings, Staff, On-site Arrangements, Attendance, Finances (interim), Comments and Suggestions.

Due partly to the world economic situation, and to the fact that the full technical programme details were not available as early as had been anticipated (for marketing purposes), the Congress attendance had been lower than expected – just over 2,300 participants, including 850 from France and 254 from U.S.A. Mr. Carteron, nevertheless, advised there had been a positive financial result. The surplus was indicated as approximately French Francs five hundred thousand.

Many questions were raised in respect of the financial figures presented as they were not clear nor very understandable. Ms. Poyen pointed out that OC had authorized the payment of registration fees by bank transfer which had resulted in approximately FF 50,000 of unidentified payments. Mr. Goldsworthy said C80 had only permitted payment be cheque to avoid such a problem. Surprise was expressed at the amount of pending invoices (mostly due by French Government Agencies) of approximately FF 200,000. Ms. Poyen remarked that FGA’s could take up to one year to pay. She confirmed that those people form the FGA’s who had not paid had not received the Proceedings. Mr. Carteron indicated that OC had budgeted for 3,200 paying registrants, but had only had a total of 2,087. Ms. Poyen commented on the ‘French Budget’, which was not related to the C83 Budget, and had covered such items as simultaneous translations, etc.

Messrs. Glaser and Goldsworthy called for an Income Statement where the currency was indicated and the approved Income Budget shown so that it could be clearly seen what had happened and what were the variations. This was very necessary and would also be of assistance to the C86 organizers.

Council strongly CONCURRED.

Mr. Dolan, C86 OC Chairman, said that unless evidence of payment was at the C86 registration desk, people would not be admitted.
In respect of the Congress attendance figures and the very low French participation, Mr. Dalton wondered how much the holding of the May 1983 Conference “Convention Informatique” had affected same and recalled his previous concern (refer 1982 Rome Council Minutes). Ms Poyen stated that the Conference had had 1,500 participants less than usual as those people were waiting for the IFIP Congress Programme which, unfortunately, was not what they had expected. Ms. Poyen also pointed out that Conferences in France during the last two to three years had suffered with a 30% less participation due to the economic situation.

Mr. Bobillier recalled that part of the agreement had been not to hold “Convention Informatique” – which normally attracted between 3,500 to 4,000 people – in Congress year (refer 1980 Tokyo Council Minutes).

He requested that this fact be included in the ‘Historical Background’.

At the request of the Council, Mr. Carteron agreed to produce a clear and precise financial statement on Congress 83 by the end of June 1984 for distribution to the Council Members.

10. CONGRESS 86

10.1 Organizing Committee

Mr. Dolan presented his report (C1 Cape Town 84/ C86-OC-1) and advised that OC had met three times since the September 1983 Paris GA. Further meetings were scheduled for May, September and November 1984. Mr. Dalton was the OC Vice-Chairman and other senior computer professionals were Committee Members (per Appendix I of the report).

Mr. Dolan drew attention to the draft budget based on a participation of 1,300 delegates and 100 students. (He personally hoped there would be 2,000 and Mr. Bjorner about 2,500). It showed an excess of Income over Expenditure of Irish Pounds 52,250. Mr. Dolan confirmed the budget figures were ‘1984’ and included a contingency for inflation. He announced that, considering inflation and the Irish currency level against the Swiss Franc, the registration fees had been increased - I£ 275 (early bird), I£ 325 (late) and I£ 375 (on-site). The student fee was I£ 50.

Mr. Dolan agreed to Mr. Goldsworthy’s suggestion, SUPPORTED by the Council, to have the registration fees fixed by the 1985 March Council Meeting. Mr. Dalton said he would like to see the budget presented in Swiss Francs as well, with different levels of attendance. Mr. Glaser fully supported the latter comment.

Mr. Goldsworthy stated that the budget should be presented with the previous budget so that one could perceive what figures had been altered. Mr. Dolan duly noted those remarks. He added that the accounts would be audited on a yearly basis. Mr. Dolan informed that an insurance policy had been taken out to cover cancellation or diminution of attendance due to an unexpected happening (such as an air controllers’ strike).

Regarding the Exhibition – a joint event with IBETA (Irish Business Equipment Trade Association), upon President Ando’s request, OC had arranged for the Irish Government to contact the Japanese Government with a view to maximising Japan’s participation. OC had also been in touch with AFIPS to contact U.S.A. companies. C86 would receive a guaranteed I£ 20,000 from IBETA and any profit above the usual profit made by IBETA would be shared.

Mr. Dolan said OC members had met with AFIPS in Paris, September 1984, and he wished to record his thanks for AFIPS’ assistance in disseminating information and for other offers of support.

BCS had been contacted with a view to setting up a committee to encourage delegates from U.K. and to ensure that no computer event would take place between 1-5 September 1985 that could detract from the Congress and Exhibition in Dublin.

OC had invited Mr. Bjorner, C86 PC Chairman, to visit Dublin and the Congress site – Trinity College. He was very satisfied, but some additional facilities had been booked (5 minutes walk from Trinity College) pending finalization of the technical programme.
In response to Mr. Bobillier's query re the Opening Ceremony, Mr. Dolan informed that it would be held in the National Concert Hall if 1,300 or less participants were finally envisaged; otherwise, in one of the city cathedrals which had been used for similar events - both places were within a 10-minute walk from Trinity College.

Mr. Dolan mentioned that OC was considering peripheral activities such as a chess competition, art and music.

Mr. Dolan said he was looking forward to working with Ms Smit (North-Holland) in respect of the Proceedings, but at the present time the size and contents had to be resolved with Mr. Bjorner. Mr. Bjorner had requested written transcriptions of the discussion areas, but OC was opposed to that. Mr. Goldsworthy fully supported OC as it was a horrendous job and both he and Mr. Glaser proposed the utilization of tapes. Mr. Goldsworthy added that C80 OC Australia had made a commercial arrangement with a company which had recorded the discussions and had sold the tapes, thus preventing extra work for OC. Mr. Glaser stated that clearance of the authors had to be obtained. Mr. Dolan felt OC would not object to the proposition, but underlined that the author-clearance would be the responsibility of the Programme Committee.

Mr. Dolan confirmed to Mr. Bobillier that President Ando was kept regularly informed on the OC progress as he was an ex-officio member of the Committee and automatically received copies of the minutes of the OC meetings.

Mr. Bobillier thanked Mr. Dolan for his explicit report and wished OC every success with the C86 arrangements. He was convinced that Dublin and the whole country would be mobilized for IFIP Congress 86.

10.2 Programme Committee

In the absence of Mr. Bjorner (refer Agenda item 1), Mr. Dolan presented his report. Mr. Sendov regretted that Mr. Bjorner was not present as he considered to discuss his report in his absence was not profitable. Mr. Goldsworthy endorsed that sentiment.

Mr. Bjorner’s report referred to his document of 28 October 1983 entitled “On the 10th World Computer Congress: IFIP 86 – some First Thoughts on its Structure” which had been mailed out during November / December 1983 to GA Members, Member Societies, TC and WG Chairmen – 159 addresses – seeking their comments and advice. However, only 24 responses had been received to-date. Mr. Goldsworthy wished to record his disappointment re the lack of response, especially as it had been decided to make a radical change to the structure of the programme. As many of the addressees had frequently expressed opinions about previous Congress programmes, he considered the reaction not only disappointing, but irresponsible. Mr. Piloty indicated that the document had had an unrealistic deadline date and he had had to call an emergency meeting of his National Committee (F.R.G.) in order to respond.

Taking into consideration the replies received and discussions with various people, including C86 OC, Mr. Bjorner had slightly revised his thinking and Mr. Dolan drew attention to “Some Second Thoughts on the Congress structure” outlined in the report.

Proceedings: following discussion on Mr. Bjorner’s proposal, certain Council Members felt 14 pages per invited paper was an exaggeration and considered around 8 pages as more appropriate. Also, that only one publisher should be involved, namely – North-Holland. Mr. Glaser moved that PC be asked to design the Proceedings in a single volume not exceeding 1,200 pages.

Council unanimously ADOPTED the motion in the sense that it be considered as a guidance for Mr Bjorner rather than an order.

Mr. Melbye agreed to convey the feelings of the Council to Mr. Bjorner, together with the reminder that OC was responsible for negotiating the terms of the publishing contract.

Programme Balance: Mr. Dolan referred to the proposed Programme Balance as outlined in the “First Thoughts” and the “Second Thoughts” in relation to the attracting of participants: Academy, Leading edge Industrial and All-round General EDP. In the final analysis, most of the Council Members favoured an equal balance – 1/3, 1/3, 1/3 – bearing in mind that 2/3 were business-related topics. In his “Second-
Thoughts", Mr. Bjorner had proposed: 40 % Invited Papers, 20 % or 30 % Solicited Papers and 40 % or 30 % Panels.

Congress Streams:  - Computation: Science and Engineering
                  - Information Applications
                  - Informatics in the Developing World

Programme Committee Areas: Mr. Bjorner had tentatively proposed in his report:
                         - Computer Science (V. Kitov)
                         - Computing Science (V. Montanari)
                         - Software Engineering
                         - Computer Engineering (R. Mori)
                         - AI, Expert Systems
                         - Information Systems: Methods and Techniques
                         - Distributed Systems
                         - Office Automation (H. Hünke)
                         - CAD/CAM/CIM
                         - New Informatics Applications
                         - Informatics in a Developing World (R. Narasimhan)

During the long discussion which followed on the various aspects of Mr. Bjorner’s report, Mr. Goldsworthy believed that the area which had the greatest potential, in a marketing sense, to attract additional participants was in the commercial / business / industrial area and felt that the Council should re-enforce that, and Mr. Bjorner should bear in mind it was the direction in which IFIP wished to go. Mr. Carteron emphasized the necessity of having an excellent understanding between PC and OC. Mr. Dolan advised that Mr. Byrne had been appointed to effect liaison with OC.

Mr. Bobillier thanked Mr. Dolan for presenting the report of Mr. Bjorner and underlined that everyone had individual responsibility to respond to his document and so urged.

11. WCCE 85

Mr. Glaser confirmed he had passed on to PC the name of an SEA person proposed by Mr. Iau of SEARCC.

Mr. Glaser said he had spoken with the PC Chairman, Mr. Hamblen, and everything appeared to be in order. Mr. Sendov advised that he would be attending the next meeting of PC, scheduled for May 1984 in Paris.

12. FUTURE COUNCIL AND GENERAL ASSEMBLY MEETINGS

Regarding the 1984 GA Meeting to be held in Varna, Mr. Sendov proposed a slight date change as follows: the week of 17 (Monday) to 22 (Saturday) September 1984 – departure date. GA to take place on 19, 20 and 21 September with an excursion on the afternoon of the 20th. The related Meetings (Executive Body, APC and Combined) would precede GA and the final scheduling of same would be worked out between president Ando and Mr. Fourot.

Council unanimously APPROVED the proposal of Mr. Sendov.

Mr. Fourot re-confirmed that the March 1985 Council Meeting would take place in Munich in conjunction with the IFIP 25th Anniversary Symposium on 27 March. The Council Meeting would probably take place from 25 or 26 to 28 or 29 March 1985.

A proposal had been received from Japan to hold the 1985 GA Meeting in Tokyo from 2-7 September, and the 1986 GA Meeting would be held in Dublin in conjunction with IFIP Congress 86.

As to the 1986 March Council Meeting, Mr. Fourot recalled that there were outstanding invitations from Hungary (written) and Morocco (verbal).
13. OTHER COUNCIL BUSINESS

13.1 Site Selection Committee – C89

Mr. Finch said he had been charged with writing a Charter for Site Selection Committees, to include that future Congress sites would not be chosen at a proposed site, and he had started to prepare same.

Mr. Finch advised that Italy (a contender for C86) had shown interest in bidding for C89, but had expressed concern as to whether it would be appropriate to hold C89 in Europe as C86 would take place in Ireland. Mr. Finch added that a tentative enquiry had been received from The Netherlands (a contender for C86) and Mr. Sendov had made and enquiry on behalf of Bulgaria. He had also heard a rumour that Argentina was interested, and that U.S.A. had equally expressed interest and had discussed the possibility with President Ando. Mr. Bobillier felt that after two Congresses in Europe (Paris / 83, Dublin / 86), it was only fair to consider a bid from another continent. Mr. Sendov appreciated that comment and confirmed he had told his people (who had a very positive attitude to hosting a Congress due to the expanding electronic industry in Bulgaria) it would be difficult to bid for 1989 and Bulgaria should concentrate on 1992. Mr. Piloty said there was interest in his country (F.R.G.) to host C89, but it was felt priority should be given to Italy and to The Netherlands which had gone through the laborious process of bidding for C86; F.R.G. would, therefore, concentrate on 1992.

Mr. Glaser said U.S.A. would like to bid for C89 – to be held in June 1989 in Chicago on account of NCC, but wondered how this would affect the scheduling of the Council / GA Meetings. It was considered that the June date should not be ruled out for the Congress, but that it was much more appropriate and sensible to hold the Council / GA Meetings in September /October – as customary.

Mr. Finch advised that the bids should be received by the end of 1984.

Action

13.2 IFIP Name and Logo

A discussion ensued on the utilization of the IFIP name and logo and Mr. Finch moved that the TC and IMIA Chairmen be reminded that the IFIP logo and reference to IFIP appear in all publications relative to IFIP-sponsored events. Council unanimously ADOPTED the motion, and Mr. Fourot agreed to contact the TC and IMIA Chairmen accordingly.

Mr. Bobillier proposed that this requirement be included in the Standing Orders.

Attention was drawn to the IFIP request that Member Societies be encouraged to include within their identification (i.e. letterheads, brochures and other printed material) that they were a member of IFIP. (Refer 1982 Helsinki Council Minutes).

Mr. Sendov regretted that the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences could not adhere to that request in view of its structure. Mr. Glaser remarked that AFIPS had turned down the suggestion as it did not mention its eleven members. Mr. Bobillier commented that that was not relevant to the issue: the Swiss Society also had eleven members, but had nevertheless mentioned its Membership in IFIP on letterheads, etc. Mr. Fourot said that quite a number of Member Societies did show their connection to IFIP on letterheads (e.g. New Zealand, South Africa, Sweden, SEARCC).

14. CLOSING OF THE MEETING

Mr. Bobillier thanked the Council Members and other participants for their contributions. He again expressed his appreciation to Mr. Wiechers, Mr. Le Roux, Ms Gloria Long and the South African Computer Society for the beautiful, warm hospitality.

Mr. Bobillier declared the Meeting closed.
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