

Officers:

Prof. A.A. DORODNICYN, President
Director of the Computing Centre of the
Academy of Sciences of the USSR
Va Vilova Street No 40,
Moscow B-333, USSR.

Mr. D. CHEVION, Vice- President,
Office Mechanization Centre,
Jerusalem, Israel,
Hakiryia-Romema,
P.O. Box 13016

Prof. Dr. H. ZEMANEK, Vice-President
IBM Laboratory Vienna,
Parkring 10,
A-1010 Wien, Austria.

Dr.E.L. HARDER, Treasurer
1204 Milton Avenue,
Pittsburgh, PA 15218, USA

Mr. P.A. BOBILLIER, Secretary
IBM European Systems Research Institute,
40, rue du Rhone.
1211 Geneva 11, Switzerland.

Prof. M. LINSMAN, Assistant Secretary
Centre de Calcul de l'Universite de Liege,
15 Avenue des Tilleuls,
Liege, Belgium

INTERNATIONAL

FEDERATION FOR

INFORMATION

PROCESSING

Mailing address for the Secretariat:

Please address reply to: P.A. BOBILLIER

IFIP Secretariat, P.O.B. 311
1211 Geneva 11, Switzerland

Geneva, 10th July, 1970 PAB / eb

To: Council Members
TC Chairmen
IAG Chairman
Committee Chairmen

IFIP Council Meeting, Atlantic City, May 8 – 9, 1970

Dear Sir,

I have pleasure in sending you enclosed the Minutes of the Council Meeting held in Atlantic City, May 8 – 9, 1970, with the following documents:

- List of enclosures to Council (C1-Atlantic City 70-3)
- List of action arising from Council Meeting (C1-Atlantic City 70-2)
- Report TC-1 Terminology (C1-Atlantic City 70 – TC.1-1)
- Addition To TC 5 report
- Report IFIP 10th Anniversary (C1-Atlantic City 70 – ANC -1)

Yours very truly,
for P.A. Bobillier
Secretary

The documents are being sent to the following persons:

A.A. Dorodnicyn
D. Chevion
H. Zemanek
E.L. Harder
M. Linsman
N.I. Bech
S.F. Beltran
J. Carteron
I. Plander
A. van Wijngaarden
I.L. Auerbach
T.B. Steel
R.A. Buckingham
F. Gremy
T.J. Williams
S. D. Duyverman
D. Kroneberg
M. Osredkar
V. Glushkov
A.P. Zeleznikar
F.L. Bauer
R.I. Tanaka

7 July, 1970
PAB/eb

INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION FOR INFORMATION PROCESSING

Minutes of the Council Meeting

held in Atlantic City, USA

8 and 9 May 1970

PARTICIPANTS:

<u>Members present:</u>	A. A. Dorodnicyn	President
	D. Chevion	Vice-President
	H. Zemanek	Vice-President
	E. L. Harder	Treasurer
	P.A. Bobillier	Secretary
	N.I. Bech	Trustee
	J. Carteron	Trustee
<u>Members absent:</u>	A. van Wijngaarden	Trustee
	M. Linsman	Assistant Secretary
	S.F. Beltran	Trustee
<u>By invitation:</u>	I. Plander	Trustee
	I.L. Auerbach	Honorary member Chairman CLIGO
	T.B. Steel	Chairman, TC-2
	W.F. Atchison	Secretary TC-3 representing Chairman, TC-3
	F.E. Heart	Member TC-4 representing Chairman, TC-4
	T.J. Williams	proposed Chairman TC-5
	S. D. Duyverman	Chairman, I.A.G.
	D. Kroneberg	Chairman, Publications and Information Committee
	P. Dular	General Secretary, Executive Committee, IFIP Congress 71
	P. Hafner	Chairman, Finance Committee IFIP Congress 71
	J. Kokosinek	Technical Secretary IFIP Congress 71
	V. Glushkov	Chairman, Programme Committee IFIP Congress 71
	A.D. Smirnov	Member, Programme Committee, IFIP Congress 71
	A.P. Zeleznikar	Chairman, IFIP Congress 74 Committee
F.L. Bauer	Chairman CLIPO	
R.I. Tanaka	Chairman Manpower Committee	
<u>Observers:</u>	H. Freeman	Chairman, US Committee IFIP Congress 71
	A.A.M. Veenhuis	General Executive Secretary, IAG
	P. Scheirs	Executive Secretary for Activities IAG
	J.L. Ottalengo	Representative of Mexican Association for Computing and Information Processing
B. Sendov	Congress 71 Programme Committee member	
<u>In attendance:</u>	W. Donat	Assistant to H. Zemanek
	Erika Bosshard	Secretary to P.A. Bobillier

1. Opening of Meeting

The President opened the meeting and welcomed the Council members and the people present and introduced the secretariat and assistants for the meeting.

Mr. Bobillier, Secretary, informed the Council that the following persons had apologized for not being able to attend the Council meeting:

- Mr. Buckingham, Chairman TC-3 (was represented by Mr. Atchison, Secretary TC-3)
- Mr. Gill, IFIP representative to the AICA/IFIP Conference on Hybrid Computation, 1970
- Mr. Gremy, Chairman TC-4 (was represented by Mr. Heart, USA member of TC-4)
- Mr. Linsman, Assistant Secretary, his report on behalf of the Admissions Committee was submitted in writing.
- Mr. Osredkar, Chairman Congress 71 Executive Committee; the Executive Committee was represented by Messrs. Dular, Hafner and Kokosinek.
- Mr. Plander, Trustee.
- Mr. Beltran, Trustee
- Mr. Santesmases who submitted his report on IFAC/IFIP Traffic Control 1970 in writing.

Approval of Agenda

Council approved the Agenda.

2. Minutes of Council Meeting held in Prague October 27 / 28, 1969

The Minutes (C Prague 69-1) were approved without amendment.

3. IFIP Secretariat Geneva

Mr. Bobillier presented the report on the Secretariat in Geneva (C1-Atlantic City- 70 Secr. -1) which mentioned the current situation in Geneva and presented the possibilities regarding the permanent Administrative Secretariat and the estimate of the budget relating to it (total annual budget estimate was US\$ 23.000 – covering salaries and office rental) as well as the problems relating to the possible registration of IFIP in Switzerland.

Mr. Auerbach suggested to investigate into the possibility of the Smith, Bucklin & Associates, Inc. as a possible solution to our Secretariat problem, to study carefully the financial operations relating to the Secretariat on a 3 year basis before making a decision, to keep a limited number of IFIP books in the Secretariat at the disposal of new country members for instance (this being an excellent means of information on the services given by IFIP). He further suggested that a booklet on IFIP be printed similar to the ECMA Memento which has just been published.

Mr. Bech proposed that Mr. Zemanek be charged with the preparation of the IFIP booklet.

After discussion Council agreed

- a) to write to the General Assembly members offering the Preprints of the IFIP Congress 68 which are presently in the Secretariat;
- b) to keep in the Secretariat a limited number of IFIP publications (about 10 copies) for distribution to new member countries requesting information on IFIP and its services;

- c) to charge the Secretary with printing the booklet with the assistance of the Publications and Information Committee and Mr. Zemanek. Enough copies should be made for a period of 3 years and a revision planned every 3 years. The information contained in the booklet should be up-to-date as of January 1st, 1971. Before being printed, the booklet will be reviewed by Messrs. Zemanek and Auerbach;
- d) to charge Mr. Bobillier to go on with the establishment of the Secretariat along the lines presented in the report but keeping in mind that the expenses should be kept at a minimum;
- e) to charge Mr. Bobillier to continue his investigations for possible registration of IFIP in Switzerland and present a report for the General Assembly stating the advantages and the problems posed by the transfer from Liege to Geneva.

Mr. Harder mentioned that the present budget need not be amended before the next General Assembly. He will present a new budget in Amsterdam based on the solution which shall be found in the next months for the Secretariat.

4. Reports on the Technical Committees

4.1 TC-1 Terminology

Mr. van Wijngaarden, Chairman TC-1, presented an oral report on TC-1 activity. He mentioned the WG 1.1 Meeting in London which was organized to work for the new Vocabulary. After this meeting the document will be ready for print mid 1970. It is hoped that this work will meet with the agreement of the Scientific Community. He mentioned the fact that ISO is working on another Vocabulary, taking as a starting document the IFIP/ICC Publication.

Council approved the oral report and asked Mr. van Wijngaarden to submit it in writing for the Minutes (document C1-Atlantic City- 70 TC-1).

4.2 TC-2 Programming Languages

Mr. Steel, Chairman TC-2, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 TC2-1) which listed the schedule of the conferences and meetings to take place between now and April 1971.

Mr. Chevion asked to whom the Bulletin of WG 2.2 is distributed.

Mr. Steel answered that only the members of WG 2.2 receive it.

He agreed to send a copy to the Secretariat. The President proposed to send the Bulletin to all TC-2 members. Mr. Zemanek explained that this would represent a lot of work because of the size of the documents.

Council agreed that the TC-2 Chairman will in the next meeting of TC-2 ask the members if they want to receive this Bulletin and thereafter take the necessary action.

Mr. Sendov mentioned that the translation of ALGOL 68 into Hungarian will be published in December 1970.

Mr. Bauer distributed the list (C1-Atlantic City- 70 TC2-2) of the invited participants to the Working Conference on ALGOL 68 Implementation. Any modification should be approved by the Organizing Committee.

The Council approved the report of TC-2

4.3 TC-3 Education

Mr. Atchison, Secretary of TC-3, presented a report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 TC3-1). He apologized for the absence of the TC-3 Chairman, Mr. Buckingham, who was prevented from attending the meeting due to ill health.

The report was divided into 5 subjects:

1. Report on Seminars:
 - ADP Seminars (Hungarian ADP Seminar 1969 attended by 23 students; French ADP Seminar 1970 in Paris, running at the time of the Council Meeting).
 - Advanced Seminar on Systems Design.
TC-3 proposed to hold this seminar in Gent, Belgium under the auspices of the Centre for Study and Research into Productivity at the University of Gent during the period May to September 1971.

Council approved the selection of Gent and the period May – September 1971 for this seminar, stressing that it should be self-supporting.
 - Workshop and Seminar on Information Analysis.
Mr. Atchison mentioned that after a letter dated Feb. 1970 from IBI-ICC in Rome a three day workshop will take place in July 1970 gathering 12 experts from universities, industry and government administration to study the desirability of a specific curriculum and possibly to suggest a detailed plan for a pilot seminar. This workshop will be a joint venture of TC-3, IAG and IBI-ICC:
2. Report on Education in Secondary Schools (WG 3.1)
Mr. Atchison commented the Minutes of the WG 3.1 Meeting May 4, Atlantic City (appendix 5 of the document) where the following plans are mentioned:

2 day work session in Amsterdam, August 20-21, to work on the Teacher's Guide so that it might be available for the meeting on Teacher Education at the World Conference. At the World Conference the plan is to have a half-a-day panel discussion on teacher training.

Because of the recognized need for information in the area of the computer education, it was proposed to work in common with the British Computer Society Journal "Computer Education".

Mr. Atchison mentioned that a new version of the aims of WG 3.1 was presently under study but could not be distributed to the Council members yet.
3. IFIP World Conference on Computer Education
Mr. Atchison said that a separate document on the IFIP World Conference on Computer Education 1970 was presented by IAG (C1-Atlantic City-70 World Conf. Comp. Educ. 70-1).
4. Future TC-3 Programme
Mr. Atchison mentioned that the TC-3 6 year plan will be finalized in the meetings of TC-3 in Amsterdam, August 1970.
5. An Education Officer for IFIP
Mr. Atchison mentioned that TC-3 strongly feels the need for a permanent secretary coordinator whose task would be to follow up the decisions taken in the meetings of TC-3 and its working groups and to carry out and coordinate all work between meetings.
6. Financial Report of the Hungarian ADP Seminar
Mr. Atchison presented the financial report of the Hungarian ADP Seminar which after contribution of the Hungarian Central Statistical Office shows a deficit of about US\$ 5.140,00. He recommended the Council to transform the loan of US\$ 2.700,- given to the Organizing Committee of the Hungarian ADP Seminar into a direct grant. Mr. Harder pointed out that as this money had been spent anyway, the decision could be deferred to the next General Assembly.

Council approved the report of TC-3

4.4 TC-4 Medicine

Mr. Heart, the US member of TC-4, in the absence of the Chairman, Prof. Gremy, presented the report of TC-4 (C1-Atlantic City- 70 TC4.1) mentioning the Lyon Working Conference where 17 countries were represented and 33 papers presented. After this meeting it is very likely that TC-4 will propose:

- the setting up of a Working Group on Method of Data Acquisition in Medicine;

- a Working Conference on Electrocardiogram Analyses to be held in Hannover at the end of 1971;
- a Working Conference on Mathematical Models in Biomedical Research in 1972 either in Germany or Bulgaria;
- another Working Conference on Medial Records from the technical view point for 1973.

Proposals will be made to the General Assembly after the next TC-4 meeting, October 1-2, 1970, in Madrid. The President recommended to choose Bulgaria rather than Germany for the Conference on Biomedical Research.

Mr. Heart reported on the work of WG 4.1 and mentioned especially the informal liaison which has been established with the World Health Organization: exchange of documents, invitations from TC-4 to the General Director of WHO to obtain representatives to various events. A more formal liaison will be studied in the near future.

Enclosed in the report was the List of Participants to the Lyon Conference (appendix 1), the Detailed Programme (appendix 2), the Minutes of the WG 4.1 Meeting, April 13/14, 1970 in Madrid and documents (appendix 4, 5, 6) on the collaboration of the WHO with non-governmental organizations, relations between WHO and non-governmental organizations and a questionnaire on non-governmental organizations requesting official relations with WHO.

Mr. Zemanek mentioned that the Lyon Conference had been extremely successful. In particular, the organization and the preparation for the proceedings had been excellent. 24 hours after the Conference, all the documents could be given to the publisher including discussions. He proposed and

Council agreed to congratulate and thank the organizers of this Conference for the excellence of their work.

Mr. van Wijngaarden mentioned he thought the book would be published within 3 months after the Conference.

Council approved the TC-4 report.

4.5 TC-5 Computer Applications in Technology

Mr. Harder, ad hoc Chairman of TC-5, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 TC5.1). He mentioned in particular that countries are urged to nominate representatives to TC-5.

Mr. Harder proposed Prof. T.J. Williams from Purdue University, Information & Control System Laboratory, as the new TC-5 Chairman.

Council welcomed this nomination and the President announced that he would appoint Prof. Williams officially Chairman of TC-5.

Mr. Harder presented the revised version of the Scope of TC-5. This version was discussed by the Council which finally approved the version presented in the document C1-Atlantic City- 70 TC5-2 revised, which reads as follows:

1. The name of the Committee shall be "IFIP COMMITTEE FOR COMPUTER APPLICATIONS IN TECHNOLOGY".
2. Its aim is to promote and coordinate the exchange of information on computer applications in technology.
3. Its scope includes all aspects of computer applications in technology, that is in the research, design, manufacture, operation and control of products and physical systems; it also includes the investigation of related programming methods.

Some non-exclusive examples are:

- a) Control computers.
 - b) Computers in manufacturing including numerical control and machine tools.
 - c) Computer applications in design, including interactive graphics.
 - d) Simulation, and analogy and hybrid computer applications.
 - e) Computers for traffic control.
 - f) Problem-oriented languages.
 - g) Interactive graphics.
4. The Committee shall establish and maintain liaison with other international organizations as appropriate. It shall act in conjunction with IFIP Technical Committees TC-2 and TC-3 in matters of programming languages, and education respectively, and with IAG in matters of administrative data processing.

Mr. Williams presented the plans for the future activities of TC-5 and mentioned that under this committee working groups should be established on:

- Discrete Manufacturing,
- Continuous Processing,
- Traffic and Transportation,
- Simulation and Design.

Mr. Williams said that the next TC-5 meetings will be in Amsterdam (October 21/22) and in Ljubljana (date not yet specified).

The letter of invitation shall be drafted by Mr. Williams and sent from Geneva to all General Assembly Members.

Mr. Zemanek welcomed Mr. Williams as TC-5 Chairman and thanked Mr. Harder for his unique performance in the Prolamat Conference.

Council congratulated Mr. Harder for his excellent work.

The President recommended that Mr. Williams keep close contact with Mr. Bauer because of the very close co-operation which is necessary between TC-5 and IFAC:

Council approved the TC-5 report and charged the Secretary to find out the name, initials and address of Prof. Douglas, member of TC-5.

5. Special Interest Groups – IAG

Mr. Duyverman, Chairman of IAG, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 IAG-1) which contained detailed information on activities of IAG, the work of the Editorial Executive Committee, the financial report, a translation into English on a foundation which was created for the organization of the World Conference on Computer Education, a proposal to create a Latin American Desk for IAG under the scheme where 50 % of the partners' fees would be retained for developing IAG interests in Latin America, a meeting of the panel of experts at the UN Headquarters and a proposal regarding the IAG representation to the IFIP Committees for Liaison with International Governmental Organizations and Liaison with International Professional Organizations and to the Statutes and Bylaws Committee.

The President asked as to the status of the work of the SBC.

Mr. Carteron indicated that the work had been practically finished, one detail only having yet to be cleared. The President said that it would be advisable that IAG had a delegate in the standing committee Statutes and Bylaws.

Note: This discussion is continued in point 6.2 of the Agenda "Statutes and Bylaws Committee" report.

Mr. Harder proposed that IFIP accept the IAG proposal contained in the financial report to pay back the amount of US\$ 2.814,00 remaining outstanding to IFIP in respect of the loan of US\$ 7.500,00 (incurred in the publishing of the working papers of the ADP Seminar London 1967) rather than have it repaid by the royalties which are gradually coming into IFIP. Council approved this proposal.

Mr. Zemanek suggested that the procedure proposed by IAG for the establishment of a Desk in Latin America be changed so that IAG receives the 100 % of the partners fees and supports the described activities itself.

After discussion Council recommended IAG not to reduce the partners fees because of the possibility of creating a precedent but rather decide (in case where this is felt necessary) to allocate part of these fees to a regional body (e.g. South America) after such a body has been established and can work for IAG. The arrangement should be made for a limited time only and worked out by IAG in consultation with the IFIP Liaison Officer.

The contract with North-Holland regarding the printing of the IAG Journal was discussed and Council requested to change the agreement covering sheet so that the contract is between IFIP and North-Holland.

Council agreed that a letter shall be sent from the President of IFIP to North-Holland (letter to be prepared by Mr. Duyverman) delegating the right to sign the contract to the Chairman and Treasurer of IAG.

Mr. Harder noted and congratulated IAG for the good financial planning and results of their activities in 1969.

Council approved the IAG report.

Note: the representation of IAG in the committees mentioned above has been discussed later in the various committee reports and after these discussions the following persons were appointed as IAG delegates:

- Mr. Veenhuis to the Committee for Liaison with International Governmental Organizations.
- Mr. Duyverman to the Committee for Liaison with International Professional Organizations (his deputy being Mr. Veenhuis) and to the Activity Planning Committee.
- Mr. Verhelst to the Statutes and Bylaws Committee (advisor).

6. Committee Reports

6.1 Activity Planning

Mr. Zemanek, Chairman of the Committee, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 APC-1) which mentioned that the APC could not be convened before the Council Meeting so that the report was very preliminary. The report covered mainly the definition of the tasks of APC and suggested that the existing TC's and IAG prepare a short working paper on their activity planning so that the APC could consolidate this information. A financial forecast should be made accordingly and the APC with all these data could have a preliminary meeting where the detailed 3 year plan and a more general 6 year plan could be discussed. A meeting of the APC is planned for summer 1970.

The President asked what the exact date of the APC meeting was.

Mr. Zemanek said that the date would be fixed in accordance with Mr. Marchuk. It will be August 30 or possibly 31 in Amsterdam.

Council approved the Activity Planning Committee report.

6.2 Statutes and Bylaws

Mr. Carteron, Chairman of the Committee, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 STC-1). According to the request of the last General Assembly the Committee reworked the Statutes so as to bring them into accordance with Belgian law and discussed the Statutes with the Belgian authorities. The Statutes will be registered in the near future and published in the "Moniteur Belge de la Justice". Mr. Carteron drew the attention of the Council to the fact that there still remained a problem of coherence between IFIP Statutes, IFIP Bylaws and IAG Bylaws. This arose because the IAG Bylaws contradict the article of the IFIP Statutes stating that any exception from the simple majority must be explicitly defined in the Statutes. The Committee did not resolve this contradiction because they felt it was not empowered to change the Statutes on such an important matter without an explicit vote of the General Assembly.

Enclosed in the report were the new IFIP Statutes and Bylaws in English and the French translation of the Statutes.

After a long discussion by Mr. Carteron, the President, Mr. Chevion, Mr. Duyverman and Mr. van Wijngaarden, Mr. Carteron stated that it would be possible to make a modification to the Statutes so as to resolve the remaining contradiction and submit it to the next General Assembly.

Council agreed to charge the Statutes and Bylaws Committee with making a proposal including the necessary change of the Statutes to solve the remaining contradiction.

The President appointed Mr. Verhelst (IAG) as an additional member of the Statutes and Bylaws Committee.

Council approved the report of the Statutes and Bylaws Committee.

6.3 Finance

Mr. Harder, IFIP Treasurer, explained the working method of the Finance Committee, i.e. one meeting a year for planning, and that the reason for having chosen Mr. Bobillier as Chairman of the Finance Committee was to have two IFIP Officers responsible for the financial matters. It was a general practice for organizations to have at least two officers looking into the financial problems, this being an additional guarantee towards the organization. He then proposed to present the financial reports (C1-Atlantic City- 70 T2) and the Audited Accounts for 1969 (C1-Atlantic City- 70 T1). He explained that the IFIP funds have now been transferred to the Swiss Bank Corporation in Geneva and invested in Trustee Deposit Accounts which hopefully will produce a higher rate of interest than the previous investments. The IFIP Congress 68 Surplus will also be invested in the same way.

He proposed to reduce the dues of Chile according to the request of Mr. Vildosola, to create a budget item for 1970 of US\$ 750,00 for expenses of the Committee for Liaison with International Governmental Organizations and to increase the budget of the Programme Committee for the Congress 71 from US\$ 3.000,00 to US\$ 3.644,00 (it is noted that the total budget is US\$ 5.000,00).

Council agreed to the three proposals.

Mr. Harder mentioned that the audited account for 1969 differs slightly from his figures. This was due to the way the accounting had been done in the London Secretariat and the impossibility for the auditor to allocate all expenses to the right budget item. He mentioned that anyway the correspondence was within 1 or 2 % in the essential overall items of income, expense and assets and asked the Council to approve this report with the understanding that he will work with the auditing firm to correct the important errors in allocations and to have the audit certified.

Council approved the Treasurer's report T2 and tentatively approved and recommends to General Assembly for approval the audit report T1, after the minor changes as authorized.

Mr. van Wijngaarden mentioned that a certain amount of money was at IFIP's disposal in a Hungarian bank.

Mr. Harder answered that he will try to use this money upon the occasion of the next Prolamat Conference or on another occasion.

Situation concerning the increase of dues

Mr. Harder explained the discussions which took place within the Executive Body and the way this Body has agreed to introduce this modification, i.e. the letter which is being sent to the General Assembly Members with the signature of the IFIP President, The letter was discussed and

Council agreed that the letter concerning the proposed new dues be sent to all General Assembly Members.

Foundations

Mr. Harder presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 FAC-1) which is an intermediate report as several problems (some of them basic) could not yet be solved, e.g. what are the advantages or drawbacks of an IFIP Foundation, the financial operations in cases of joint conferences, etc.

Mr. Zemanek questioned the participation of the TC-3 in the finances of the Foundation presently under study.

Mr. Bech asked if the Foundation would be dissolved after the World Conference. The answer was yes.

Mr. Bech than asked if the Foundation could not be extended for the whole of IFIP instead of being dissolved after the World Conference.

Mr. Auerbach recommended that good legal advice be sought because of the significant problems and exposures involved in setting up such a foundation.

Council approved the report and asked the Committee to continue its investigations along the lines discussed.

6.4 Admissions

In the absence of Mr. Linsman, Chairman of the Admissions Committee, Mr. Bobillier read his report (C1-Atlantic City 70 AC-1). The report mentioned essentially that discussions are going on with Ghana, India, New Zealand, Papua and South Africa and that propositions will probably be made at the next General Assembly Meeting in Amsterdam.

Council noted this report

6.5 Nomination Committee

Election of Trustees

Mr. Auerbach reported orally on the current situation as no report was due now. His Committee intends to find two General Assembly Members to replace Mr. van Wijngaarden and Mr. Bech for a three year term and one for a one year term replacing Mr. Hull. At the next General Assembly there will be a proposal for a new representative of the Danish Computer Society. Mr. Auerbach therefore recommended that Mr. Bech be elected as an individual member at the next General Assembly.

The President said he was favourable to such a proposal to the General Assembly.

Council noted the oral report.

6.6 Publications and Information Committee

Mr. Kroneberg, Chairman of the PIC, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 PIC 1) which contained information on the following publications:

Informal Introduction to ALGOL 68, Proceedings IFIP Congress 68, Lyon Working Conference Proceedings, ALGOL 68 Implementation Working Conference Proceedings.

Mr. Kroneberg mentioned the various steps which are presently under study to improve the IFIP/North-Holland relations: better advertising of IFIP publications, etc.

He mentioned the fact that the Council has to make a decision regarding the proposal of AFIPS press to publish the IFIP Congress 71 papers. A general discussion took place on the strategy relating to the publication of the Congress Proceedings. Mr. Bobillier expressed the interest of having, like AFIPS, the book printed and distributed at the Congress and having it available for sale immediately afterwards.

Mr. Tanaka explained several of the numerous advantages of the AFIPS solution. He mentioned that the problem of royalties for volumes sold after the Congress should be studied carefully.

On the other hand, he mentioned that AFIPS press was in no way trying to get more work than they had at present.

After a discussion Mr. Bech and Mr. van Wijngaarden proposed to stick to the present plans, i.e. Preprints available at the Congress, Proceedings edited later.

Council approved to distribute the Preprints at the time of the Congress (5 votes in favour, 3 abstentions), i.e. to continue the same procedure as used for Congress 68.

Mr. Zemanek asked who would be the editor. Mr. Kroneberg answered that there was no candidate at present and that the Organizing Committee of the Congress 71 had to nominate a qualified person as editor. This person should have a good staff at his disposal so as to expedite this work quickly.

Council noted that, after the preceding decision, the only solution for publishing the Proceedings of IFIP Congress 71 is to choose North-Holland as the publishing company.

Council approved the choice of North-Holland for publishing the Informal Introduction to ALGOL 68.

Council noted that the Proceedings of the Lyon Working Conference are published by North-Holland and shall be available within a very short time.

Council noted that North-Holland will prepare a draft contract for the publication of the ALGOL 68 Implementation Conference Proceedings.

Council charged the Publications and Information Committee with studying the distribution of IFIP publications and to negotiate with North-Holland in order to improve the distribution of books and to find the best compromise between distribution and revenue.

Council charged the Publications and Information Committee with making a proposal to the Council within 6 months regarding the publication of the Proceedings of IFIP Congress 74 based on a thorough and detailed analysis of the possible solutions (present solution, AFIPS press proposal, etc).

Council approved the report of the Publications and Information Committee.

The President informed the Council that a booklet on IFIP will be prepared by the Secretariat and the Publications and Information Committee with the assistance of Mr. Zemanek and Mr. Auerbach. The objective is that the information contained in the booklet will be up-to-date as of January 1st (i.e. reflecting all the changes after the Amsterdam General Assembly Meeting).

6.7 IFIP Congresses

6.7.1 Congress 68

Mr. Harder mentioned that the Congress 68 Surplus amounts to approx. US\$ 84.000,00 of which 72.000,00 have been transferred to the Swiss Bank Corporation in Geneva to be invested in Trustee Deposit Accounts.

Council noted this oral report.

6.7.2 Congress 71

The Organizing Committee for Congress 71 was represented by Messrs.

P. Dular, General Secretary, Executive Committee
P. Hafner, Chairman Finance Committee
J. Kokosinek, Technical Secretary

Mr. Dular presented a summarized report on the preparations for IFIP Congress 71 (C1-Atlantic City- 70 C.71 OC1), the First Preliminary Budget (C71 OC2) and the Information Manual for Exhibitors (C71 OC3).

These reports gave information about the current status of the work for Congress 71, the lecture halls, information about pre-registration and travel information, an estimate of the organization necessary for the Congress, remarks on resolutions of the IFIP Council and General Assembly in Prague 1969, suggestions from various sources including the IFIP Treasurer and the final Report of the IFIP Congresses 65 and 68. The budget income was computed on the basis of 3000 participants and 3500 m² exhibition space sold and the expenses on the basis of 4000 participants and 4000 m² sold. This preliminary budget showed an anticipated total income of US\$ 375.000,00 and expenditure of US\$ 357.940,00 leaving a surplus of US\$ 17.060,00.

The President asked what the registration fee will be. The answer was US\$ 50,00 up to April 30, 71, then US\$ 60,00.

The President remarked that this fee had been increased from 47/50 in Edinburgh to 50/60 for Ljubljana.

Mr. Bech asked about guarantees against a possible loss of the Congress. Mr. Harder mentioned that in the case of Edinburgh there had been a guarantee covering every loss except the first US\$ 8000,00

Mr. Auerbach drew the attention of the Council to the importance of a well balanced budget and the necessity of having the assurance that every loss will be guaranteed as well as to study what would happen if the Congress cannot take place for some unforeseen reason.

Mr. Bech proposed to postpone the discussion to a later time in the day. The President charged a small committee; Messrs. Auerbach, Bech, Bobillier, Harder, to study the financial problems of the Congress 71 and make a report to the Council.

Note: This committee met for a short time and decided that this problem needed a detailed investigation to be made together with the members of the IFIP Congress 71 Executive Committee. Messrs. Auerbach and Bech continued to study the problem with them and the result of the investigations were summarized in the Supplementary Report of the Committee for IFIP Congress 71 (C1-Atlantic City- 70 C. 71 OC4).

Mr. Hafner, Chairman of the Finance Committee for IFIP Congress 71, presented this report which explained that the Organizing Committee in Ljubljana was prepared to guarantee that on the basis of two alternatives which are presented in the report, the total expenditures would not exceed the total income. Should a loss be incurred, the Congress Organizer, the Magistrate Enterprise, would cover this loss. The two alternatives presented are based on:

- | | | |
|----|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1) | number of participants | 2000 |
| | exhibition space sold | 1300 m ² |

total income is US\$ 200.000 and equal to the total expenses.

- | | | |
|----|------------------------|---------------------|
| 2) | number of participants | 3000 |
| | exhibition space sold | 2600 m ² |

total income is US\$ 300.000 and equal to the total expenses.

From this report it was clear that a surplus can only be obtained if the number of registrants is greater than 3000 or the exhibition space sold greater than 2600 m².

After proposal of Mr. Auerbach Council decided

- to compliment the Committee and Mr. Hafner in particular for the excellent study they had made and the very careful analysis on which they based the above-mentioned document.
- that the approved minimal budget for expenditures is the one corresponding to the 2000 people, 1300 m², and that these expenses shall move up only in relation with the corresponding increase in people and space, provided they stay in balance, up to a maximum corresponding to the 3000 / 2600 m² alternative.

Note: It is understood that the Organizing Committee will present to the next Council Meeting the official guarantee mentioned in document C71 OC4.

Mr. Bauer asked what the plans were concerning the sending of a reminder regarding the IFIP Congress 71.

Mr. Bobillier asked the more general question of the planning regarding Congress 71, i.e. a list of the actions which are planned for the preparation of the Congress invitations, etc. with dates.

Mr. Kokosinek answered that this planning would be sent to the Secretariat by end of May 1970.

US Committee for IFIP Congress 71

Mr. Freeman, Chairman of this Committee, presented a short oral report describing some of their numerous activities in view of the coming Congress:

- printing of a booklet for exhibitors,
- printing of the Fact Sheet,
- printing of the Call for Papers (international version and USA version),

and giving some details regarding the actions planned by the US Committee to ensure a successful US participation to IFIP Congress 71

Council noted this report.

6.7.3 Congress 71 Programme

Mr. Glushkov, Chairman of the IFIP Congress 71 Programme Committee, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 C.71 PC-1). The Programme Committee met in Toronto on May 4 and 5, 1970. Appendix 1 lists the members and observers of the Committee. The list of National Correspondents was confirmed (appendix 2 of the document), the Programme Sub-Committees were confirmed by the Technical Area Chairmen (appendix 3). The next meeting of the Programme Committee will be in Dubrovnik, Yugoslavia, in the week of February 22, 1971. Invited speakers have been defined for the 11 one hour and 22 half hour addresses (appendix 5). A good balance between the contributions of the countries has been reached. For the submitted papers special consideration will be given to the countries which are not represented in the invited programme. 4 symposia topics were selected: Computers for developing countries. ALGOL 68 Implementation, Computer Systems Performance, The World's Communication System: is it adequate for computers? The people responsible for these symposia are mentioned in appendix 6.

The President proposed to replace Mr. Godunov by Mr. Yanenko as a speaker on Splitting Methods for Partial Differential Equations. Further, it was proposed that in area 5 a new invited speaker be added: Mr. McNamara.

Council agreed to these two proposals and immediate action was taken by Mr. Glushkov who sent cables indicating these modifications to the members of the Programme Committee.

Mr. Auerbach started a discussion on the simultaneous translation which was foreseen for two halls from Russian, French and Spanish into English. A long discussion then took place regarding the necessity, usefulness and cost of keeping the translation from Spanish. The President then proposed to keep translations from French and Russian. Mr. Bech proposed that all one hour and half hour

presentations should be presented in English. Mr. van Wijngaarden asked if it would not be better to ask the speakers who cannot speak English to choose an assistant to present the paper in English, thus avoiding translation.

Mr. Gluskov mentioned that it would be better to deliver all speeches in English and have interpreters available for the necessary help.

Mr. Carteron agreed that the quality of simultaneous translation was usually poor but the English speaking people very often did not understand the difficulties of the non-English speaking people. He would nevertheless agree to English as a unique language, but draw the attention of the Council to all the problems involved in such a decision.

After an animated discussion in which all Council members and invited people took part, the President decided to vote on 3 possibilities:

- 1) No translation. Result 5 votes in favour.
- 2) Translation only from French and Russian into English.
Result 3 votes in favour.
- 3) Translation from French, Russian and Spanish into English. Result no vote in favour.

Based on the above results, the Council agreed to recommend to the General Assembly that all papers be presented in English (i.e. there will be no simultaneous translation).

Council asked Mr. Glushkov, Chairman of the Programme Committee, to inform his Committee members of this decision.

Mr. Harder remarked that the area of applications of computers in technology (TC-5 area) was not given sufficient covering and should be extended in the future. Council noted this remark.

Council approved the report of the Programme Committee.

Mr. Harder, noting that the simultaneous translation had been rejected, drew the attention of the Council to the necessary organization to ensure a correct operation during the Congress.

Mr. Auerbach pointed out that the problem was there even with simultaneous translation, because not all halls were planned to have it. He recommended that somebody knowledgeable (for instance members of the Programme Committee) stand in each session to assist the speaker. These technical experts should be selected by the Programme Committee.

Mr. Bauer proposed that experts who have to come especially for this purpose to the Congress, be not requested to pay the registration fee. In addition, he proposed that the President be allowed to authorize in exceptional cases expenses relating to these experts.

Mr. Bauer and Mr. Carteron recommended that the Organizing Committee ensure that the Chairman of the Sessions are instructed in detail regarding the language problems, the questions and answers etc. in order to have a smooth operation during the Congress.

Council agreed

- to have experts for sessions where Russian and French speakers will present papers;
- to call them Vice-Chairmen, so as to ease their recruitment by the Programme Committee;
- that the Programme Committee be informed of the decision and be requested to take the necessary action to select the experts.

6.7.4 IFIP Congress 74

Mr. Zeleznikar, Chairman of the Congress 74 Committee, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 c.74 C-1 revised). Deadline for submitting invitations to hold the IFIP Congress 74 was April 15 and, at the time of the IFIP Council, 6 invitations had to be considered:

Japan (invitation already extended at the General Assembly Meeting in Prague),

Canada, Israel, Mexico, Spain and Sweden.

Negative answers were received from Bulgaria, USSR, Switzerland and Yugoslavia.

The President drew the attention to the fact that August or September is more favourable for the Congress than May (as proposed by Japan). He also mentioned that great care should be given to the financial side of the Congress and especially to the exhibition problem. He, therefore, recommended to make further investigations before the Committee would come to a recommendation.

Mr. Zemanek asked if, considering the work involved in analysing the 6 proposals, the Committee should not be extended.

Council noted the report and requested the Committee to inform the General Assembly members of their recommendation in sufficient time before the General Assembly meeting.

6.7.5 Establishment of a Standing Committee for Congress Organization

Mr. Harder expressed his feeling that it was necessary to have such a committee in IFIP. This would help tremendously the organization of the congresses in all their aspects (finances, organization, information, etc.). Mr. Auerbach proposed as members of such a committee people having a long experience in these matters:

Messrs. Freeman, Genuys, Coaten, Asmus, Glushkov and Mrs. Williams-Popplewell.

Council agreed to recommend to the General Assembly the establishment of an IFIP Standing Committee for Congress Organization.

The Secretary is requested to find out the address and affiliation of Mrs. Williams-Popplewell.

6.8 Liaison with International Governmental Organizations

Mr. Auerbach presented his report (C1-Atlantic City-70 CLIGO 1) with exhibits a) and b): letters sent to UN as a reply to their request (exhibit a) and letter sent to key individuals in international organizations stating IFIP's interest in providing guidance in the implications of information processing and computer technology and requesting suggestion of areas of concern (exhibit b).

After the General Assembly in Prague the President of IFIP had appointed the following Committee (CLIGO) members:

Mr. Auerbach, Chairman
Messrs. Bech, Chevion, Douglas, Friedman, Glushkov, Gottlieb.

In addition, during the Atlantic City Council Meeting Mr. Veenhuis was appointed as the IAG representative to the Committee.

The Committee has nominated Mr. Douglas as Secretary.

The Committee has written to the Chairmen of TC-3 and IAG to request a list of seminars conducted by IFIP, titles of IFIP-published material and list of educational material that might be of value to governmental organizations. In addition, Mr. Gottlieb will gather a list of names of individuals who might be asked to act as experts.

Replies to the letter sent to governmental agencies (exhibit b) have been received from the following:

- Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO)
- Intergovernmental Bureau for Information Technology (IBI-ICC)
- UN Development Programme (UNDP)
- UNESCO
- UN Institute for Training and Research
- World Health Organization (WHO)

Mr. Auerbach reported on the work of a panel of experts, convened by UNO to prepare a report on the Usage of Computers and Computer Technology for Development. This report will be submitted to the forthcoming UN General Assembly (working paper of the report dated Feb. 27, 1970) Mr. Auerbach represented IFIP as an observer at the panel of experts, while all the members of his committee except Mr. Bech and Mr. Douglas were invited to serve as members of this panel of experts.

Mr. Auerbach requested to open a new budget line of US\$ 750,00 for 1970 to cover the Committee expenses and expressed his wish that the work done by his Committee be published.

The President said that IFIP might influence its member countries so that they could assist the UN in the areas of IFIP in their own countries.

Mr. Auerbach sees great practical difficulties for such a procedure, especially in gathering the necessary information. FIP should rather begin to gather information on its own activities.

Mr. Duyverman proposed to have Mr. Veenhuis as IAG delegate to CLIGO.

Mr. Veenhuis mentioned that he was very seldom informed on IFIP activities and in particular from TC-3. It was noted that the IFIP Secretariat will inform Mr. Veenhuis in a better way in the future.

Mr. Glushkov mentioned that the Committee had recommended to set up in the UN a central switching centre for information. If this central bureau were established, IFIP would have to inform it on all its activities. When an advice is needed, in a field relation to IFIP activities, this office would then address itself to IFIP.

Mr. Auerbach added that a meeting of his Committee is scheduled the day before the General Assembly in Amsterdam and asked the Secretariat to arrange this meeting.

The President expressed his satisfaction that such a good IFIP representation had worked with the UN.

Council approved the report of the Committee for Liaison with International Governmental Organizations.

6.9 Liaison with International Professional Organizations

Mr. Bauer presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 CLIPO-1) mentioning the IFAC initiative to create an international coordinating committee composed of delegates from IFAC, IFIP, IMEKO, IFORS and AICA which will meet in Paris June 2-3, 1970, to discuss the common interests of these federations. A further problem will need the attention of the Committee: The European ACM Chapters.

In the June meeting in Paris the coordination of the activities of the various federations (conferences, symposia, etc.) will be discussed for the years 1971, 72, 73.

A discussion took place on the relations of IFIP with IFAC, IMEKO, IFORS. The IFIP attitude is not to create division of the work but rather develop the co-operation with existing bodies working in similar fields.

Mr. Williams asked that proposal the IFIP delegation would bring to the Paris meeting.

Mr. Bauer proposed, because of the great importance of this meeting for IFIP, that a member of the Council, familiar with the IFIP activities, be in the delegation.

The President said that Mr. Bauer should be at the meeting and proposed to delegate in addition Mr. Zemanek and Mr. Bobillier. Mr. Zemanek accepted. Mr. Bobillier could not accept.

Mr. Auerbach mentioned the UNO's resolution to study the Usage of Computers and Computer Technology for Development, and asked whether there is a connection between some proposals for the implementation of that resolution on the initiative of UNESCO and the consultations initiated by IFAC.

Mr. Duyverman mentioned that UNESCO is studying also the possible coordination of work in governmental and professional societies in the area of information processing: FID, IFAC, AICA, etc.

The President noted that our problem is to establish what our coordination should look like.

Mr. Duyverman mentioned his report done last year about all these problems.

The President expressed that in his opinion IFIP had to define its position regarding the coordination of time schedules for conferences the forming of joint committees, etc., rather than crating problems by trying to define reserved areas of activities of the various federations. It was mentioned that during the Paris meeting the question of joint congresses (e.g. for 1974) should not be discussed. He proposed to have Mr. Carteron in the delegation.

Council decided

- that Messrs. Bauer, Zemanek and Carteron will be the IFIP delegates to the June meeting in Paris.
- that they should prepare a document on the IFIP position regarding all the fields of activities of IFIP and present IFIP' position at the meeting.
- that the Secretary is charged to write to Mr. Ruppel informing him about our delegation to the meeting.

The President appointed Mr. Duyverman (deputy Mr. Veenhuis) member of the Committee for Liaison with International Professional Organizations.

6.10 IFIP 10th Anniversary

Mr. van Wijngaarden, Chairman of the Committee for the IFIP 10th Anniversary, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City- 70 ANC-1) on the IFIP celebration planned for October 29, 1970, in Amsterdam. The current plans are to have talks from:

a top official of Unesco and of UN
Mr. Dorodnicyn
Mr. Auerbach
Mr. Speiser
Mr. Maisonrouge
Mr. Chevion
Mr. Bauer
Mr. van Wijngaarden
Mr. Zemanek
Mr. Casimir

plus various social activities.

The budget presents a total expenditure of US\$ 4.000,00 which have to be found by asking the industries, the Government and other bodies. Mr. van Wijngaarden proposed that IFIP may US\$ 1.000,00 and agree that IAG pays US\$ 300,00

Council approved this proposal and the President agreed to authorize US\$ 1.000,00 from his conference budget item. Mr. Duyverman agreed to the US\$ 300,00 for IAG. The Council further agreed to a guarantee for US\$ 1.000,00 additional in case of a loss.

Mr. van Wijngaarden proposed to leave to the Organizing Committee the establishment of the list of the people to be invited to the ceremony. Suggestions from all IFIP members are welcome.

Mr. Zemanek reported that the original plan was to invite Mr. A.K. Watson as luncheon speaker. In the meantime, he had been appointed US Ambassador in Paris so that Mr. T.J. Watson was invited; in spite of the great interest he showed in IFIP he was unfortunately unable to attend the meeting at the specified date. Then Mr. Maisonrouge had been invited.

Council approved the report of the IFIP 10th Anniversary Committee.

6.11 Manpower Committee

Mr. Tanaka, Chairman of the Manpower Committee, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City-70 MPC-1) which was essentially based on his ideas, because it was not yet possible to have a meeting of the whole Committee. In establishing a list of the resources of all the members and societies represented within IFIP, it is important to attempt to make a kind of classification based upon skills such as fields of technical specialization, allied skills, background in appropriate aspects of international activities, demonstrated competence in organizing activities relevant to the computer community, etc.

To obtain such a list, it is necessary to compile a list of those active in IFIP, those whose skills and interests are relevant to IFIP (this is recognized to be more difficult than the previous point) those names gathered from other sources such as registration forms at the IFIP Congress meetings and at the events of similar nature. It is recommended that IFIP prepare a concise document or brochure summarizing IFIP activities, its aims and objectives and a general description of its proposed future activities. In addition, a preliminary draft of a questionnaire would be useful, because it would serve as a basis for questions and solicitations to the societies represented within IFIP.

Mr. Chevion asked how the information, when gathered, would be updated. Mr. Tanaka answered that it was probably not worthwhile to try to update the information, because it very soon became too much and changed too quickly. It would seem better to gather information again after a certain time is elapsed.

Council approved the report of the Manpower Committee and requested this Committee to go on in its mission and to gather information using the help of the IFIP Secretariat when this is needed.

7. IFIP Conferences

7.1 IFAC / IFIP Traffic Control June 1970

Mr. Bobillier read the report from Mr. Santesmases (C1-Atlantic City-70 Traffic Control-1) which mentioned in addition to the information on submitted papers that Mr. Santesmases had suggested that the IFIP President be invited to come to the Symposium.

The President stated that it was not possible for him to attend.

The report mentioned that the Congress Building in Versailles, where the Symposium will be held, is new and ideally equipped for international meetings

Mr. Harder pointed out that participation of one IFIP person in such a seminar was fine, but more extensive participation in all phases of the project will be possible through TC-5. This is one of the functions of TC-5.

Council noted this remark.

Council approved the report on IFAC / IFIP Traffic Control 1970.

7.2 AICA / IFIP Hybrid Computing August 1970

In an oral report Mr. Bauer mentioned that the announcement had been sent out, that the programme was going to be printed and that abstracts for submitted papers would be sent out not later than June 15 to the participants. It is noted that the registration so far is very low (much less than expected).

Mr. Harder said that it was very difficult to decide whether to go or not based on the currently distributed information which did not contain the titles of the papers which will be presented.

Mr. Bauer proposed that a member of the Executive Body be present at the Conference.

Mr. Harder mentioned that Mr. Gill was still the official IFIP representative and that he would probably attend.

Council approved the report on IACA / IFIP Hybrid Computing 1970 and charged the Secretary to write to Mr. Gill and request confirmation of his representing IFIP at the Conference.

7.3 IFIP World Conference on Computer Education

Mr. Duyverman, Chairman of the Steering Committee, presented his report (C1-Atlantic City-70 World Conf. Comp. 70-1) describing the activity of the Steering committee, the organization of the Congress, the publicity made for the Congress and the budget.

In addition, a list of papers accepted by the Programme Committee was enclosed. It is a selection of the 300 abstracts of papers submitted. The total budget of the Conference is US\$ 83.500,00 on both income and expenditure sides. It is based on 800 participants in the Conference.

Mr. Bech asked what would happen with a possible loss or surplus.

Mr. Duyverman answered that in case of a surplus 50 % would be allocated to IAG and 50 % to TC-3 and that a possible loss was completely covered by guarantees.

Council approved the report on the IFIP World Conference on Computer Education.

7.4 IFAC / IFIP Computer Applications to Process Control 1971

Mr. Bobillier read the report written by Mr. Andersin (C1-Atlantic City- 70 Process Control 71-1) which repeated the Scope of the Conference, summarized the facilities available for the Conference at the new Campus of Helsinki Technical University, mentioned the deadline for submitting papers (May 15)., Up to the date of the writing of the report 20 abstracts have been received. The list of the members of the International Programme Committee is given with Mr. Santesmases (Vice-Chairman) and Mr. Andersin as IFIP representatives.

Mr. Harder made the same remark as for the Point 7.1, although in this case the situation is slightly better because Mr. Andersin is also member of TC-5.

Council approved the report on IFAC/IFIP Computer Applications to Process Control 1970.

7.5 Prolamat

Mr. Harder presented the report (C1-Atlantic City-70 Prolamat-1) indicating that the publication of the proceedings is coming in May. Every participant will receive a copy as its cost was included in the registration fee. The accounts show a surplus of US\$1.000,00 – 2.000,00 plus the royalties to come on the publication.

A next Prolamat Conference is under consideration by TC-5 and a possible location for it is Hungary.

Council approved the report on Prolamat.

8. Establishment of Committees and Appointment of Members

Mr. Bech proposed to create a committee for the study of patents relating to software.

Mr. Chevion agreed with such a proposal.

Mr. Zemanek mentioned that IFIP would then go into the business of the manufacturers with all the possible implications.

Mr. Steel suggested to organize a working conference with both lawyers and data processing people.

Council agreed to nominate Mr. Bech as ad hoc Chairman of a committee in charge of proposing the establishment of such a committee with the definition of its scope to the next Council and General Assembly meetings.

Although the establishment of new committees or the appointment of new members were not formally discussed at this point of the meeting, the following list summarizes all the decisions taken during the Council meeting regarding this topic.

Appointment of new members

Mr. Duyverman (Deputy Mr. Veenhuis)	to the	Committee for Liaison with International Professional Organizations
<u>Mr. Tanaka</u>	to the	IFIP Congress 74 Committee
<u>Mr. Veenhuis</u>	to the	Committee for Liaison with International Governmental Organizations
<u>Mr. Verhelst</u>	to the	Statutes and Bylaws Committee

Proposed new Committee

Standing Committee for Congress Organization

The proposed members are:

Mr. Freeman (Chairman)
Mr. Asmus
Mr. Coaten
Mr. Genuys
Mr. Glushkov
Mrs Williams-Popplewell

9. Future Meetings

The President announced the following dates for future meetings:

26 – 27 October 1970	Council	Amsterdam
28 October 1970	General Assembly	Amsterdam
29 October 1970	Anniversary Celebration	Amsterdam
30 October 1970	General Assembly	Amsterdam
1 – 2 March 1971	Council	Ljubljana
17 – 18 August 1971	Council	Ljubljana
19 August 1971	General Assembly	Ljubljana
20 August 1971	Excursion	Ljubljana
21 August 1971	General Assembly	Ljubljana
23-28 August 1971	IFIP Congress 71	Ljubljana

The dates and places of the future meetings have not yet been decided. Official invitations are requested for Spring 1972 Council meeting. An invitation has been sent to the President for holding the Council and General Assembly meetings of the fall 1972 in Bulgaria. Decisions will be made at the next Council and General Assembly meetings in Amsterdam.

Close of Meeting

There being no further business, the President closed the meeting by thanking all the participants for their active participation.

7 July, 1970
PAB/eb

LIST OF ACTION ARISING FROM COUNCIL MEETING

1. Secretariat

Mr. Bobillier

- To write to the General Assembly members offering the Preprints of the IFIP Congress 68 which are presently in the Secretariat (Minute 3a. of C1-Atlantic City 70-1)
- Print the IFIP Booklet with the Assistance of the Publications and Information Committee and Mr. Zemanek (Minute 3c. of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).
- To go on with establishment of the Secretariat along the lines presented in the report C1-Atlantic City- 70 Secr. 1 (Minute 3d. of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).
- To continue investigations for possible registration of IFIP in Switzerland and present a report for the General Assembly (Minute 3e. of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

Mr. Harder

To present a new budget in Amsterdam based on the solution which shall be found in the next months for the Secretariat (Minute 3 of C1-Atlantic City 70-1)

2. TC-1 Terminology

Mr. van Wijngaarden

To submit his oral report in writing for the Minutes (C1-Atlantic City-70 TC1-1) (Minute 4.1 C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

3. TC-2 Programming Languages

Mr. Steel

To send a copy of the WG 2.2 Bulletin to the Secretariat and ask in the next TC-2 meeting which members would like to receive this Bulletin and take thereafter the necessary action (Minute 4.2 of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

4. TC-5 Computer Applications in Technology

President

Letter nominating Mr. Williams officially as TC-5 Chairman (Minute 4.5 of C1-Atlantic City 70-1)

Mr. Williams

To draft letter of invitation to TC-5 meetings in Amsterdam and Ljubljana which shall be sent from the Secretariat. (Minutes as above).

Mr. Bobillier

To find out name, initials and address of Prof. Douglas, member of TC-5 (Minute as above).

5. IAG

Mr. Duyverman

Contract with North-Holland regarding the printing of the IAG Journal: Covering sheet should be changed, so that the contract is between IFIP and North-Holland (Minute 5 of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

President / Mr. Duyverman

To send a letter (to be prepared by Mr. Duyverman) to North-Holland delegating the right to sign the contract to the President and Secretary-Treasurer of IAG (Minute 5 C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

6. Statutes and Bylaws

To make a proposal including the necessary change of Statutes in order to solve the remaining contradiction with IAG Bylaws (Minute 6.2 C1-Atlantic City 70-1)

7. Situation concerning the increase of dues

Letter concerning increase of dues signed by the President has to be sent to all GA members from the Secretariat (Minute 6.3 C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

8. Foundations

Mr. Harder

To continue investigations along the lines discussed (Minute 6.3 C1 Atlantic City 70-1).

9. Admissions

Mr. Linsman

is requested to clarify the situation regarding Ghana, India, New Zealand, Papua and South Africa rapidly, so that to make proposals early enough to the next GA (Minute 6.4 C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

10. Nomination

President

To propose to the GA the nomination of individual members (Minute 6.5 C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

11. Publications and Information Committee

Mr. Kroneberg

To study the distribution of IFIP Publications and to negotiate with North-Holland in order to improve the distribution of books and to find the best compromise between distribution and revenue. To make a proposal to the Council within 6 months regarding the publication of the Proceedings of IFIP Congress 74 based on a thorough and detailed analysis of the possible solutions (Minute 6.6 C1- Atlantic City 70-1).

12. Congress 71

The Organizing Committee shall present to the next Council Meeting the official guarantee mentioned in document C71 OC.4 (Minute 7.2 C1-Atlantic City 70-1). It shall send a schedule of planned actions to the Secretariat by the end of May 1970.

Mr. Glushkov is charged to inform the Programme Committee that all papers will be presented in English and this will be recommended to the GA; is charged that the Programme Committee be informed of the decision to have experts for sessions where Russian and French speakers will present papers and be requested to take the necessary action to select the experts (Minute 6.7.2 of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

11. Establishment of a Standing Committee for Congress Organization

Secretary

is requested to find out the address and affiliation of Mrs. Williams-Popplewell) (Minute 6.7.5 of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

President to propose to the GA the establishment of such a Committee

12. Liaison with International Governmental Organizations

Secretary

shall arrange a meeting for CLIGO the day before the General Assembly in Amsterdam (Minute 6.8. of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

13. Liaison with International Professional Organizations

Messrs. Bauer, Zemanek and Carteron will be the IFIP delegates to the June meeting in Paris. They should prepare a document on the IFIP position regarding all the fields of activities of IFIP and represent IFIP's position at the meeting.

Secretary is charged to write to Mr. Ruppel informing him about the delegation of IFIP to the meeting (Minute 6.9 of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

14. Manpower Committee

is requested to go on in its mission and to gather information using the help of the IFIP Secretariat when this is needed (Minute 6.11 of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

15. AICA / IFIP Hybrid Computing August 1970

Secretary is charged to write to Mr. Gill and ask confirmation of his representing IFIP at the Conference (Minute 7.2 of C1-Atlantic City 70-1).

Note:

This is not intended as a complete list of action required from the Council Meeting, but is meant to be a guide to individuals.

7 July, 1970
PAB/eb