

MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING

HELD IN BLED, YUGOSLAVIA

MARCH 1ST AND 2ND, 1971

PARTICIPANTS IN COUNCIL MEETING :

<u>Members present:</u>	Messrs.	A. A. Dorodnicyn H. Zemanek P.A. Bobillier E. L. Harder D. Chevion A.S. Douglas R.I. Tanaka	President Vice-President Secretary Treasurer Trustee Trustee Trustee
<u>Members absent:</u>		S.Sem-Sandberg S.F. Beltran E. Goto I. Plander	Vice-President Trustee Trustee Trustee
<u>By invitation:</u>		S. D. Duyverman P. Dular H. Freeman V. Glushkov J. Kokosinek D. Kroneberg M. Osredkar T.J. Williams W.H. Wimmers	Chairman, I.A.G. General Secretary, Executive Committee, IFIP Congress 71 Chairman Committee for Congress Organization Chairman, Programme Committee IFIP Congress 71 Technical Secretary IFIP Congress 71 Chairman, Publications and Information Committee Chairman Executive Committee IFIP Congress 71 Chairman TC-5 North-Holland Publishing Company
<u>Invitees absent:</u>	Messrs.	I.L. Auerbach F.L. Bauer R.A. Buckingham F. Gremy T.B. Steel A. van Wijngaarden	Honorary member Chairman Committee for Liaison with International Professional Organizations Chairman TC-3 Chairman TC-4 Chairman, TC-2 Chairman TC-1
<u>Observers:</u>		V.A. Kovalevski S. Ristic A.A.M. Veenhuis A.P. Zeleznikar	Assistant to Chairman, Programme Committee IFIP Congress 71 Director, Yugoslav Centre for Industrial Organization and Development General Executive Secretary, IAG G.A. Member, Yugoslavia
<u>In attendance:</u>	Messrs. Mrs. Miss	W. Donat F.M. Schumacher V. Schimmel Erika Bosshard	Assistant to H. Zemanek IFIP Administrative Secretary Secretary to H. Zemanek Secretary to P.A. Bobillier

1. Opening of Meeting

The President opened the Council meeting and welcomed participants.

Council unanimously APPROVED the Agenda of the meeting.

2. Reading and approval of minutes (C.GA. Amsterdam-70)

The following change of reports by TC-5, page 15, in the C.GA. Amsterdam-70 minutes was requested by Mr. Harder.

“General Assembly APPROVED:

- the report including the scope of TC-5 (Appendix III of the report);
- and authorized the TC-5 Chairman to act as requested by TC-5, but to make no commitment regarding the organization of joint symposia until they have been cleared through FIACC”.

Council unanimously APPROVED the change.

3. IFIP Secretariat Geneva

Mr. Bobillier presented his report (C 1 – Bled – 71-Secr. 1).

The Administrative Secretariat was established in Geneva (with the organization Smith, Bucklin & Associates, S.A.) in temporary offices on October 16th, 1970. IFIP and SBA-GVA had agreed on a one-year period beginning October 15th, 1970. The agreement states that both parties can withdraw upon three months' notice. The final establishment of the IFIP Secretariat took place in January 1971 at 32, rue de l'Athenee in a modern building with up-to-date equipment, telex and switchboard installations. A meeting was held in Geneva on January 21st – 22nd with the IFIP Secretary, Mr. Bobillier, Mr. Hauck, Vice-President of SBA-USA, and Mr. Schumacher. It was agreed that in order to increase the efficiency of the Secretariat, a monthly progress report of activities under way and activities planned would be established.

Following the decision of the General Assembly in Amsterdam, the seat of IFIP was moved to Geneva.

The FIIG (Federation des Institutions Internationales Semi-Officielles et Privees etablies a Geneve) accepted IFIP as a member on January 8th, 1971. The Geneva authorities have confirmed that its affiliation to FIIG exempts IFIP from being submitted to the restrictions for foreign labour.

Mr. Zemanek noticed that the Secretariat should receive one copy of all letters sent out by IFIP people.

Mr. Bobillier drew the attention of the Council to the fact that the arrangement with IBM Switzerland (taking over the Secretariat for an interim period) had ended on December 31st, 1970, as a normal consequence of the transfer of the Administrative Secretariat to Smith Bucklin.

Mr. Harder expressed his appreciation to IBM Switzerland and to Mr. Bobillier for the good work performed during this period.

Council unanimously APPROVED the report.

4. Technical Committees

4.1 TC-1 Terminology

Mr. Bobillier reported that TC-1 had nothing to report. He transmitted to the Council the remark from Mr. van Wijngaarden that the rule according to which every meeting location must be reachable by plane had not been respected in the case of the Bled meeting.

4.2 TC-2 Programming

Mr. Zemanek, in the absence of Mr. Steel, presented the TC-2 report (C – Bled – 71-TC 2-1). No significant activities of TC-2 and its working groups have taken place since the last Council meeting. WG 2.1 will meet in Manchester on March 29th to April 2nd, 1971. WG 2.2 will meet this summer in Prague and WG 2.3 will meet in Warwick, England, from April 4th to 7th, 1971.

The President requested that Mr. Steel give the exact date of the WG 2.2 meeting in Prague.

Council NOTED the report.

4.3 TC-3 Education

The President indicated that TC-3 had no report to submit.

4.4 TC-4 Medicine

The President, in the absence of Mr. Gremy, presented the TC-4 report (C 1– Bled – 71-TC 4-1). No meeting of TC-4 had been held since the last Council and General Assembly meetings in Amsterdam. The main activities have been the preparation of the next Working Conference in Hanover on October 11th to 15th, and the maintenance of WG 4.1.

Council unanimously APPROVED the report and authorized Mr. Gremy to negotiate with WHO for the establishment of official relations but requested him to co-ordinate the activities with CLIGO.

4.5 TC-5 Computer Applications in Technology

Mr. Williams presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-TC 5-1). The Hungarian sponsoring organizations have submitted to TC-5, through Dr. Hatvany, a proposed draft of the budget and guarantee concerning the Prolamat II to be held in Budapest in April 1973. Some points still remain to be clarified.

Mr. Harder asked if the Academy of Sciences had established a guarantee for financial risks. Mr. Williams replied affirmatively.

Mr. Harder stated that three conditions should be enforced:

- 1) The registration fee should not exceed \$40.00;
- 2) There should be no financial risk for IFIP;
- 3) The pre-prints should be made in Hungary but the publication of the proceedings should be done possibly through North-Holland. The matter should be discussed with Mr. Kroneberg.

IFAC raised a serious objection to the proposed Symposium of TC-5 on Ship Automation, tentatively scheduled for July 3rd to July 7th, 1972, in Oslo, Norway. It was recommended that IFIP Council thoroughly review the agreements mentioned in the report and the scope of TC-5. (Document C 1– Bled – 71-TC 5.2).

The President appointed an Ad Hoc Committee to study the problem (IFAC letter concerning the Symposium on Ship Automation):

Mr. Zemanek (Chairman)
Mr. Douglas
Mr. Harder
Mr. Williams

Mr. Zemanek reported that, after consideration of all aspects of the problem, TC-5 should go ahead with the current plans after having reached an agreement with IFAC during the FIACC meeting.

Council unanimously APPROVED this proposal.

The President asked Mr. Williams whether clear co-ordination and information existed in the past between IFAC and IFIP.

Mr. Williams stated that such a co-ordination had existed.

The President stressed the importance of selecting a better name for the conference.

Mr. Williams said that the name of the conference, "Symposium on Ship Automation" had been proposed by the Norwegians.

Council unanimously APPROVED:

- the plans of Prolamat II with the request that the publication of the proceedings, possibly through North-Holland, be included. This point should be discussed with Mr. Kroneberg.
- the report on Ship Automation.

5. Special Interest Group – IAG

Mr. Duyverman presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-IAG 1,2,3).

The Council received an advance copy of the plans which will be submitted to the IAG Board of Directors regarding regional desks. Organizational details and budgeting provisions were discussed with the IAG representatives.

Mr. Tanaka requested details on the operations of the proposed desks.

Mr. Zemanek drew the attention to the resolution of the Amsterdam General Assembly concerning IAG desks.

The conclusion of the discussion was that the IAG document was not detailed enough to comply with the requirements of the General Assembly resolution. Moreover, the involvement of IFIP in the proposed overseas trips (Pp. 6 S12 (a)) was not clear.

Mr. Duyverman and Mr. Veenhuis assured the Council that:

- 1) Suitable plans were being prepared concerning the details of desk operations and would be submitted as soon as possible for IFIP approval;
- 2) No cost would fall on IFIP with regard to these visits, either directly or through any increased deficit in the 1971 IAG accounts.

Mr. Chevion asked to study the legal point of view before taking any decision on the reorganization of the IAG headquarters, possibly through a Foundation.

Mr. Duyverman proposed to ask the Foundations Committee to study the problem.

Upon agreement of the Council, the President appointed Mr. Duyverman as a new member, and Dr. Nyman as advisor of the Foundations Committee.

Council NOTED:

- the IAG proposal to have one IFIP representative included in each trip;
- the financial report of IAG, January 1st – December 31st, 1970 (provisional);
- the report, and recommended that IAG arrange meetings and discussions during Congress 71 in Ljubljana prior to sending an IFIP-IAG delegation to different countries.

6. Artificial Intelligence

Mr. Zemanek, in the absence of Mr. Bauer, presented an oral report. He stated that among the various groups in the world dealing with Artificial Intelligence, the International Joint Council on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI) had relatively the most concrete and technical scope of work. IJCAI was probably the most appropriate body with which IFIP could co-operate. The IJCAI already had a well-defined organizational structure. It would therefore be necessary to find a new organizational pattern within IFIP

if it was considered desirable to bring IJCAI under IFIP's umbrella. The IJCAI people had expressed their interest in a close co-operation with IFIP. The Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, sponsored by the IJCAI, was scheduled for September 1st – 3rd, 1971, in London. Mr. Zemanek requested that the Council decide whether IFIP should co-sponsor this Conference.

Mr. Tanaka stated that he had investigated since the last meeting and subsequently reported to Mr. Bauer that the climate was favourable and the timing right to create a new SIG. He suggested that IFIP sponsor the IJCAI London Conference.

Mr. Zemanek asked Mr. Tanaka whether this sponsorship should be financially supported by IFIP.

Mr. Tanaka replied that it would only be in the case of need.

The President suggested that these people be invited to the Ljubljana General Assembly meeting.

Mr. Chevion proposed that the Committee be requested to make a definitive recommendation to the next General Assembly meeting for the creation of a TC or a SIG.

Mr. Tanaka made three proposals:

- a) that the IFIP Council agree in principle that IJCAI be connected to IFIP at the highest possible level;
- b) that Council agree to sponsor the London Conference with a maximum of \$2,000, to be taken from the President's account;
- c) that the Committee for Artificial Intelligence be extended and that it make a final proposal in Ljubljana.

Mr. Chevion proposed to invite Mr. Walker to the Ljubljana General Assembly meeting.

Mr. Harder proposed to consider the \$2,000 as a loan to the IJCAI Conference.

Council unanimously APPROVED the proposals as mentioned above including the \$2,000 loan to be taken from the President's account.

The President then appointed three new members to the Committee: Mr. Hume of Canada, Mr. Zemanek, and Mr. Harder.

6.2 Design of National and International Data Transmission Networks

The President, in the absence of Mr. Sem-Sandberg, said that there had been no activity for this Committee. He would remind Mr. Sem-Sandberg that his Committee must present a report at the Ljubljana Council and General Assembly meetings.

6.3 Optimization

The President presented an oral report on optimization and made reference to a letter which was sent to him on February 4th, 1971, by Mr. A.V. Balakrishnan of the University of California, Los Angeles. He proposed to create an ad hoc committee on Optimization with Mr. Balakrishnan (Chairman), Professor Lions (France) and Professor Marchuk (U.S.S.R.), to study the possibility of creating a new Technical Committee.

Mr. Douglas indicated that other international bodies exist already in this field and recommended that firstly the exact situation be investigated. For instance, there is a working group in BCS and in the U.S.A. Moreover, if IFIP had no member in such a committee, it would have no control over its work.

After discussion, Mr. Douglas proposed to postpone the creation of the committee until Ljubljana and to try to bring the U.S.A. and U.K. groups into the IFIP framework.

Mr. Tanaka supported this proposal.

Council APPROVED the proposal.

The President charged Mr. Tanaka to investigate in the U.S.A., and Mr. Douglas in the U.K., and to report to the next Council meeting in Ljubljana. Mr. Douglas will present the report. The President will write to Mr. Balakrishnan to inform him that he will be contacted by Mr. Tanaka.

7. Committee Reports

7.1 Statutes and Bylaws

Mr. Bobillier presented an oral report and announced that Mr. Carteron, Chairman of the Committee, had recently written to the President to submit his resignation.

The President appointed Mr. Tanaka as Chairman, to replace Mr. Carteron, and Mr. Lehmann (German Democratic Republic) as new member of the Committee to replace Mr. Linsman. The Committee would therefore consist of:

Mr. Tanaka, Chairman
Mr. Bobillier
Mr. Chevion
Mr. Lehmann
Mr. van Wijngaarden
Mr. Donat, Advisor
Mr. Verhelst, Advisor

The President requested the Committee to study the question a two-thirds majority of all members in relation to other international organizations and to report to Ljubljana in August.

7.2 Finance

7.2.1 Report of the Finance Committee

Mr. Bobillier presented an oral report and made the following recommendations:

- that Council establish as a rule that 80% of Congress surplus must be transferred to IFIP within six months following the end of a Congress. It would be desirable that this procedure be applied to Congress 71 and that the Secretariat be requested to write to the Congress 71 Organizing Committee as regards this decision;
- that whenever the General Assembly appropriates or grants sums of money which are not provided for in the budget account, the corresponding budget be automatically modified accordingly.

Council unanimously APPROVED both recommendations.

7.2.2 1970 Audited Accounts

Mr. Harder presented the report (C 1– Bled – 71-T1) Provisional Accounts, 31st December 1970.

7.2.3 New Dues Situation

Mr. Harder presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-T 3)

The scheduled dues amounted to \$9,625 in 1970. The proposed new dues schedule for 1971 amounted to \$21,250, and the accepted dues schedule for 1971 amounted to \$17,625.

Council instructed the Secretariat to send out a letter to all General Assembly members with the list of new dues as proposed by the Executive Body and accepted by the National Societies.

7.2.4 Financial Summary (Up-dated to December 31st, 1970)

Mr. Harder presented the report (C 1– Bled – 71-T 2), which showed:

- a total income of	\$100,055	
-expenditure	28,942	
- net worth at year end	158,233	
- not taking into con- sideration an amount of approximately	12,000	(which represents IFIP Congress 68 surplus not yet transferred).

7.2.5 Loan and Grant Record

Mr. Harder presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-T 4)

Mr. Bobillier asked Mr. Veenhuis when the \$8,000 loan on World Conference on Computer Education would be reimbursed.

Mr. Veenhuis replied that \$4,000 would be reimbursed shortly and \$4,000 at the end of 1971.

7.2.6 IFIP 1970 Accounts (Approximate)

Mr. Harder presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-T 5), giving the balance sheet, receipt and expenditures for 1970 and dues outstanding on December 31st, 1970. These accounts were approximate and final accounts would be submitted in August.

Council NOTED the reports T 1 to T 5.

7.3 Admission

The President presented the reports (C 1– Bled – 71-AC 1 and AC 2) regarding requests for affiliation into IFIP, introduced by the National Computer Committee of the United Arab Republic and by the Computer Society of South Africa respectively.

The President drew the attention of the Council to Point 5 of Document AC 2, wherein: “The Society is not discriminatory when choosing its members ... Representatives of all races, resident in South Africa, are employed in computer installations....” (Extract of a letter signed by the President of the Society.)

Mr. Tanaka asked for the date of the letter signed by the President of the Society, and requested that this letter be added to Document AC 2.

Mr. Douglas was slightly concerned that IFIP did not have a standard by which to measure a society requesting affiliation into IFIP.

Mr. Bobillier replied that certain criteria were covered in the IFIP Statutes, Article 3.1 (a), and Bylaws, Article 2.1.

The President pointed out that the only factor which must be taken into consideration when admitting a new member was whether the society met the established criteria.

Mr. Tanaka suggested that a positive statement be worked out indicating requirements which must be fulfilled by a society prior to its affiliation with IFIP. He further proposed that the Statutes and Bylaws Committee prepare a draft of such a statement for the next Council meeting.

The President requested the Admissions Committee Chairman to bring all necessary documents to the next Council meeting and to be prepared to answer all questions pertaining to the requests for affiliation submitted by the societies of the United Arab Republic and South Africa.

The President appointed Mr. Renard as the new Chairman of the Admissions Committee in replacement of Mr. Linsman.

Council NOTED the report and the fact that the two Societies did meet the requirements, and REQUESTED the Chairman of the Admissions Committee to bring the relevant documents to the next Council and General Assembly meetings.

Mr. Bobillier called the attention to the case of Brazil. Mr. Koschnitzki, General Assembly member, has not answered IFIP's letters during approximately the last two years. In addition, the Brazilian Society is in arrears for six years with the payment of dues.

After discussion, Council DECIDED that a letter would be sent to Mr. Koschnitzki requesting that his Society pay the back dues in the near future; drawing his attention to the articles of the Statutes and Bylaws which deal with termination of membership of members in arrears with the payment of dues; and to the fact that the question shall be discussed at the General Assembly meeting.

7.4 Publications and Information

Mr. Kroneberg presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-PIC 1) and announced that North-Holland had entered into negotiations with AFIPS press a few weeks ago in order to improve the distribution of IFIP publications in North America. It would be premature to report any results but the situation looks rather positive. Some recent sales figures have appeared.

Mr. Kroneberg reported that he and Mr. W.H. Wimmers (North-Holland Publishing Company) had had a meeting on Monday morning, March 1st, 1971, with a printing company in Ljubljana, and they expressed the opinion that it would be feasible to collect all submitted and invited papers at North-Holland. The papers would be typed and filmed in Amsterdam. Films would be sent to the printing company in Ljubljana and reproduced there. Complete and modern equipment is available on the premises. Following this procedure will avoid sending over twelve tons of documents from Holland to Yugoslavia. The price would even be slightly less expensive.

Mr. Tanaka asked what the cost would be for pre-prints of 3,000 copies.

Mr. Wimmers replied that the cost of the pre-prints would be \$26,000 for 3,000 copies and \$29,000 for 4,000 copies. Mr. Wimmers also added that the Congress Proceedings price would be between \$100 and \$120 for 1,500 copies, as opposed to \$90 for Congress 68. A reduction will be offered to the Congress participants who will order the Proceedings during the Congress.

Mr. Zemanek asked Mr. Tanaka if he could request that AFIPS not discriminate against certain IFIP books.

Mr. Tanaka answered that he would do all he could to improve the diffusion of IFIP books in the U.S.A.

Council unanimously APPROVED the report.

7.5 Nominations Committee

No report was presented as the Nominations Committee are presently working.

7.6 IFIP Congress

7.6.1 Congress 71 Organizing Committee

Mr. Osredkar presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-C 71 OC 1). From mid-November until the end of February, 25,000 Action Folders had been distributed. The response obtained was rather unsatisfactory. Only 60 applications had been received from 10 countries by the end of February. The Committee considered that the support from National Correspondents was insufficient, and suggested that Council consider a further promotion of the Congress in member societies. Computers will handle registration as well as information regarding the Congress and its participants. A total of 5,200 beds have been reserved for the participants in Ljubljana, Bled and the surrounding area. The state of the budget remained unchanged. The sale of exhibition space has reached its goal, as set in Atlantic City.

Mr. Osredkar asked the Council:

- i) Could the invited speakers be exempted from the registration fee ?

The answer was affirmative, formulated by a vote: 5/2/0

- ii) Is payment approved for the expenses incurred by providing approximately 250 (working) lunches for the Programme Committee.

Council unanimously APPROVED payment providing the number of participants at Congress 71 would be 3,000 and that expenditure did not exceed income.

- iii) Can the costs for the Programme Committee meeting in Piran be charged to the \$5,000 which had been approved by IFIP for the Programme Committee's work and which the Executive Committee must return?

The answer was negative. The Programme Committee budget is fully committed.

Mr. Freeman asked whether this item was budgeted.

Mr. Harder said no and asked Mr. Osredkar if he could take these expenses in the Congress budget and earmark this item in the case that the break-even point was not reached. In this event, IFIP would pay the bill.

Mr. Osredkar said that he would try to absorb the expenses of the Programme Committee meeting in the Congress 71 budget, and would report to the next meeting.

The President requested that Mr. Osredkar report to the next Council meeting on this point.

- iv) Can we ratify BPd700 for the Steering Committee's work in England?

Mr. Douglas stated that a budget had been submitted and BPd200 had been approved. However, no expenses had yet been incurred.

Mr. Tanaka proposed that the Council be better documented on Point (iv) before any discussions took place.

The President asked Messrs. Harder, Osredkar and Douglas to report to the next Council meeting.

Council unanimously APPROVED the report.

7.6.2 Congress 71 Programme

Mr. Glushkov presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-PC 1). The number of invited papers was 32. The number of submitted papers from 35 countries was 588 out of which 218 were selected. The average ratio of acceptance was 37%.

Letters to authors of accepted papers are ready and will be distributed by North-Holland.

The Committee had decided that every speaker who did not present the final version of a submitted and accepted paper by May 1st – and for an invited paper by April 15th – would be automatically excluded.

Session sheets were distributed to Council members. A preliminary programme will be printed by May 1st and distributed amongst IFIP members.

As Council decided not to have simultaneous interpretation, the Vice-Chairmen, and in addition, volunteers, will translate questions where necessary.

Regarding the Symposia, Council charged Messrs. Freiman and Gotlieb to find reporters and define the time schedule for the editing of the papers which will have to be submitted to the Symposia Chairmen before publication.

Mr. Bobillier stated that payment of the registration fee in local currency is not a matter for the Council, but purely for the Congress Committee (Appendix 3 of document).

Mr. Ristic, Director of the Yugoslav Centre for Industrial Organization and Development, expressed his regret that the Dubrovnik Symposia had been planned at the same time as the IFIP Congress 71. He assured the Council that every effort was being made to advance this Symposia by one week so that it would take place the week before the Congress.

Mr. Osredkar asked how many languages would be used during the IFIP Congress.

The President replied that four of the U.N. languages would be used, namely, English, French, Spanish and Russian, plus Serbo-Croatian.

Council unanimously APPROVED:

- the report
- that discussions will not be printed, as not being worth the effort.

7.6.3 Committee for Congress Organization

Mr. Freeman presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-Congress Organ.). This newly created Committee for Congress Organization was created by the General Assembly at the Amsterdam 70 meeting. This Committee is to provide continuity in the planning of future IFIP Congresses. No Committee meetings have been held so far. An initial discussion was held with Mr. Sem-Sandberg in Houston, Texas, U.S.A. in November 1970.

The Committee proposes to prepare an IFIP Congress manual containing guidelines for Congress planning, information regarding previous Congresses (clearly defined by the 10 points in the above-mentioned report), and as suggested by Mr. Douglas, a last point on geographical distribution of Congress sites. Furthermore, the report illustrates by the 10 additional points mentioned therein, the procedure to be followed for the selection of future Congress sites. This new procedure should be implemented for Congress 77.

Mr. Bobillier suggested that the IFIP planning cycle be reconsidered; it was noted in Amsterdam that 1970 was almost too late for the site selection of the Congress 74.

Mr. Freeman stated that some organizations plan up to ten years in advance and proposed to plan for Congress 77 and 80.

The President agreed to plan in advance but noted that as changes can occur, only the highlights should be established well in advance. He was in favour of appointing a committee in Ljubljana (August 1971) for the selection of the site of Congress 77 but not of Congress 80.

Mr. Tanaka made two suggestions. Firstly, the Committee should collect information on past Congresses to help others avoid the same mistakes. Secondly, there should be a policy concerning site selection. Mr. Tanaka would prefer that the Committee for Congress Organization be concerned with organizational problems.

Mr. Freeman indicated that his Committee will make all material available to the Council and General Assembly and will include recommendations regarding the site selection.

Mr. Freeman announced that Mr. Asmus had resigned from this Committee and would be replaced by Mr. Richard Welch, UNIVAC, Division of Sperry Rand Corporation, P.O. Box 500, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19422, U.S.A.

Council unanimously APPROVED the report.

7.7 Liaison with International Governmental Organizations (CLIGO)

Mr. Douglas, in the absence of Mr. Auerbach, presented an oral report. There had been no meeting of the Committee since immediately prior to the General Assembly in October. Nevertheless, Mr. Douglas was able to report activities undertaken by the Chairman and himself in the interim period as follows:

- The Chairman had written to the Secretary General of the United Nations informing him of the resolutions passed at the last General Assembly concerning IFIP support for U.N. Document E-4800;
- Copies of this letter had been distributed to the Heads of the Permanent Missions to the U.N. and to various interested international bodies, including FIACC and its Constituent Associations. Acknowledgement had been received from five missions and from IFAC, whose Council had joined IFIP in offering support for the proposals in E-4800;
- Mr. Douglas, the Secretary of CLIGO, had attended the General Assembly of the IBI-ICC in Rome as an observer on behalf of CLIGO. Some notes on the status of that organization prepared by Mr. Douglas were circulated to the Council (C 1– Bled – 71-CLIGO 1).

Mr. Chevion suggested that IFIP should establish a plan to present to UNESCO which would indicate to UNESCO the functions and possibilities of IFIP.

The President stated that CLIGO should continue to develop contacts with UNESCO rather than with ICC and requested that Council be kept fully informed of any relevant developments in CLIGO activities. He raised the problem of IFIP activities in developing countries and suggested that IFIP study holding meetings there (on the occasion of a local event in computer science), inviting delegates to congresses, etc.

Mr. Douglas stated that CLIGO will look into the matter including its financial aspects.

Council unanimously APPROVED the report.

7.8 Manpower Committee

Mr. Tanaka presented his report (C 1– Bled – 71-MPC 1).

The Secretariat was requested to present to the next Council meeting an estimate of the time/problems involved in gathering the information mentioned hereafter:

- a) A description of IFIP activities which would be useful for providing a convenient summary when people are contacted and asked to work on behalf of IFIP;
- b) A historical summary of all IFIP participants. This could list, for example, those who have been: General Assembly members, members of Committees and Working Groups, Committee members responsible for the various Congresses and other allied Conferences, and Committee members responsible for IAG activities;
- c) A list which would include those in key positions in activities within the member nations whose interests and skills demonstrate capabilities relevant to IFIP-related work, but who may not yet be active with IFIP.

Mr. Tanaka stated that he would draft a letter to be addressed to General Assembly members asking them for information.

Council unanimously APPROVED the report and charged the Secretariat to report to the next General Assembly meeting with regard to Point (b).

8. IFIP Conferences (new proposals)

No formal proposal was made.

Mr. Tanaka stated that preliminary discussions were being held between AFIPS and several computer-related societies in Japan regarding the possibility of a computer conference in Tokyo in the autumn of 1972 or the summer of 1973. (The specific contact in Japan is the IFIP member, the Information Processing Society of Japan).

Mr. Tanaka promised that IFIP would be kept informed. If the plan materialized, the conference would be jointly sponsored by AFIPS and the Japanese societies, and would be scheduled so that it would not conflict with any IFIP activities.

Mr. Zemanek reported orally on the International Seminar on Digital Processing of Analog Signals, held in Zurich, March 1970, which had been very successful. A second similar seminar on Integrated Systems for Speech, Video and Data Communications was being planned for March 15-17, 1972 at Zurich. Following an invitation by the organizing committee, IFIP should cosponsor this seminar.

Council unanimously APPROVED the proposal.

9. Other Council Business

9.1. IFAC Congress 72, International Programme Committee, IFIP Representative

The President appointed Mr. Williams as the IFIP representative to the IFAC Congress 72 International Programme Committee.

9.2 FID Round-Table Meeting

The President suggested to accept the proposal of FID to have an IFIP delegate at the FID Round-Table in Den Haag, and appointed Mr. Duyverman as delegate.

9.3 Establishment of Committees

No new committee was established, but the committees which have been modified or extended during the Council meeting are listed hereafter:

9.3.1 Admissions Committee

Mr. Renard was appointed Chairman to replace Mr. Linsman.
The Committee now consists of:

P. Renard (Chairman)
E. Goto
I. Plander

9.3.2 Statutes and Bylaws Committee

Mr. Tanaka was appointed Chairman to replace Mr. Carteron.
Mr. Lehmann was appointed Member to replace Mr. Linsman.
The Committee now consists of:

R.I. Tanaka, Chairman
P.A. Bobillier
D. Chevion
N.J. Lehmann
A. van Wijngaarden
W. Donat, Advisor
M. Verhelst, Advisor

9.3.3 Committee for Congress Organization

Mr. Richard Welch was appointed Member to replace Mr. Asmus.
The Committee now consists of:

H. Freeman, Chairman
Mr. Coaten
F. Genuys
V.M. Glushkov
D. Kroneberg
R. Welch

9.3.4 Foundations Committee

Mr. Duyverman was appointed New Member and Dr. Nyman was appointed Advisor.
The Committee now consists of:

E.L. Harder, Chairman
N.I. Bech
S.D. Duyverman
O.H. Nyman, Advisor

9.3.5 Artificial Intelligence Committee

Mr. Hume, Mr. Zemanek and Mr. Harder were appointed New Members.
The Committee now consists of:

F.L. Bauer, Chairman
E.A. Feigenbaum
E.L. Harder
J.N.P. Hume
V.A. Kovalevski
H. Zemanek

9.4 Future Council Meetings

The first Council Meeting of 1972 will be held in Vienna, Austria, from April 5th to 7th, 1972, as per decision in Amsterdam. The second Meeting is tentatively planned for October 23rd to 24th, 1972, in Sofia (Bulgaria) and will be confirmed later.

Close of Meeting

There being no further business, the President closed the Meeting by thanking all participants for their active co-operation and the local Organization Committee for its hospitality.

May 10th, 1971
FMS/scp/608