

# IFIP

Minutes of the Council Meeting

12 – 14 MARCH 1980

SOFIA, BULGARIA

---

COUNCIL MEETING

Sofia, Bulgaria - 12 - 14 March 1980

INDEX

HIGHLIGHTS AND EVENTS APPROVED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACTION POINTS

## COUNCIL MEETING

Sofia, Bulgaria - 12 - 14 March 1980

HIGHLIGHTS

- ° R.E. Kalman appointed Chairman of the IFIP Committee: Informatics for Development – (ICID)
- ° Working Group Chairmen Nominations:
  - WG 2.3      M. Woodger      to succeed J.J. Horning
  - WG 7.2      J.P. Yvon        to succeed J.L. Lions
  - WG 9.1      U. Briefs        to succeed S. Jonasson

EVENTS APPROVED

- TC 6 - co-sponsorship of CSI Symposium on “Networks”  
(India, January / February 1984)
- TC 7 - co-sponsorship, together with TC 10,  
of Second International Conference on „Distributed Computing Systems“  
(Paris, 1-3 April 1981)
  - WC on “Mathematical Problems in Immunology and Optimization of Complex Systems”  
(Novosibirsk, June 1982)
  - note timing of the previously-approved 10<sup>th</sup> General Conference on  
“Optimization Techniques” (New York) – namely, 31 August – 4 September 1981
- TC 10 - co-sponsorship of a follow-up of the European Conference on “Parallel Processing”  
(London, 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 1982)

REMINDER

- ° Congress 80  
Early Bird Registration valid if fee received before 30 June 1980.
- ° Schedule – Executive Body, Council and General Assembly Meetings, October 1980

Executive Body	-	Tokyo, Friday evening 3 October 1980
Council	-	Tokyo, Saturday and Sunday 4 and 5 October 1980
General Assembly	-	Melbourne, Saturday – Monday 11 – 13 October 1980

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## ATTENDANCE

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
3. APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES (C2-LONDON-79)
4. EXECUTIVE BODY REPORTS
  - 4.1 Report of the President
  - 4.2 Report of the Secretary
  - 4.3 Report of the Treasurer
5. TECHNICAL COMMITTEES, IAG, IMIA, IAPR, IASC
  - 5.1 TC 2 (Programming)
  - 5.2 TC 3 (Education)
  - 5.3 TC 5 (Computer Applications in Technology)
  - 5.4 TC 6 (Data Communication)
  - 5.5 TC 7 (System Modelling and Optimization)
  - 5.6 TC 8 (Information Systems)
  - 5.7 TC 9 (Relationship between Computers and Society)
  - 5.8 TC10 (Digital Systems Design)
  - 5.9 IAG
  - 5.10 IMIA
  - 5.11 IAPR
  - 5.12 IASC
6. COMMITTEE REPORTS
  - 6.1 Activity Planning Committee
  - 6.2 Admissions Committee
  - 6.3 Congress Guidelines Committee
  - 6.4 Finance Committee
  - 6.5 Future Policy Committee
  - 6.6 IFIP Committee for International Liaison
  - 6.7 IFIP Committee: Informatics for Development
  - 6.8 Publications Committee
  - 6.9 Public Information Committee
  - 6.10 Regional Conference Policy Committee
  - 6.11 Statutes and Bylaws Committee
  - 6.12 Ad Hoc Committee to revise "IFIP Guide...."
  - 6.13 Ad Hoc Internal Awards Committee
  - 6.14 Ad Hoc Site Selection C86 Committee
7. IFIP CONGRESS / MEDINFO
  - 7.1 Congress 80 OC – Australia
  - 7.2 Congress 80 OC – Japan
  - 7.3 Congress 80 Programme Committee
  - 7.4 MEDINFO 80 OC
  - 7.5 MEDINFO 80 PC
8. CONFERENCES
  - 8.1/2 Euro – IFIP 79 - Final Report
  - 8.3/4 3<sup>rd</sup> WCCE 81

9. OTHER COUNCIL BUSINESS
  - 9.1 South East Asia Regional Computer Confed.
  - 9.2 Congress 83
  - 9.3 IFIP Foundation
10. FUTURE COUNCIL AND GENERAL ASSEMBLY MEETINGS
11. CLOSING OF MEETING

## COUNCIL MEETING

Sofia, Bulgaria - 12 - 14 March 1980

ATTENDANCECOUNCIL Members Present

P.A. Bobillier	President
A. A. Dorodnicyn	Vice-President
K. Ando	Vice-President
J. Tuori	Vice-President
K. Hernaes	Treasurer
O. Zich	Secretary
R. Narasimhan	Trustee
R. Piloty	Trustee
J.M. Bennett	Trustee
G.N. Boyd	Trustee
L. Iliev	Trustee
G.J. Morris	Trustee
P. Renard	Trustee

COUNCIL Members Absent

R.C. de Oliveira	Trustee
------------------	---------

Committee Chairmen / Officers Present

G.D. van der Veer	Activity Planning Committee
R.I. Tanaka	IFIP Committee for International Liaison
	IFIP Committee: Informatics for Development
R.E. Kalman	IFIP Committee: Informatics for Development
H. Zemanek	Publications Committee
A.W. Goldsworthy	Congress 80 Organizing Committee - Australia
M. Ozeki	Congress 80 Organizing Committee - Japan
A. Okamura	Congress 80 Organizing Committee - Japan
F.H. Sumner	Congress 80 Programme Committee

Observers Present

Y. Parker	UNESCO representative
A. Solvberg	Activity Planning Committee – Member
B. Sendov	Vice-President, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
D. Dobrev	Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
A. Eskenazi	Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
B.I. Penkov	IFIP TC 3 representative - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
V. Spiridonov	IAG representative - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

In Attendance

P. Doumkov	Assistant to L. Iliev
Gwyneth Roberts	IFIP Secretariat, Geneva

Secretariat

Banca Ramadanova	Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
I.-P. Ramadanov	Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Local Arrangements

P. Yordanov	Head of Foreign Relations Dept. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
V. Andreev	Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

(n.b. certain participants were not in attendance full-time)

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

The President opened the Council Meeting and welcomed all participants. He introduced Mr. Sendov, Vice-President of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences.

The President conveyed his appreciation to Messrs. Sendov and Iliev and their colleagues for the excellent Meeting arrangements and their hospitality. He considered it very positive for IFIP that no restrictions had been imposed regarding the participation of invitees.

Mr. Sendov said it was a great pleasure and honour for him to greet the IFIP Council participants in Bulgaria and to say a few words on behalf to the President of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences who, unfortunately, was not able to open the event. Mr. Sendov recalled that Bulgaria had once hosted a General Assembly Meeting and was very active in IFIP. He underlined that such meetings of scientists and businessmen who are working for the people were very important and Bulgaria measured this activity, not only for the so-called 'dry product', but for the future development of the society in our world. Mr. Sendov wished the President and the participants a very successful Council Meeting.

The President announced that apologies for non-attendance had been received from Messrs. de Oliveira, Lehmann, Shires and Sibley.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Council unanimously APPROVED the Agenda.

3. APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES (C2-LONDON-79)

Council unanimously APPROVED the London Minutes.

4. EXECUTIVE BODY REPORT

4.1 Report of the President

The President indicated that a lot of activity had been undertaken by the ICIL and ICID Committees since the last Council Meeting which would be presented under the relevant Agenda items 6.6 and 6.7. He mentioned that the World Health Organization periodically reviewed its official relations with non-governmental organizations. Last year, IFIP had been invited to answer an extensive questionnaire and, based on the answers, WHO had confirmed its intention to continue relations with IFIP.

The President advised that, after consultation with various people, he had been asked to represent IFIP at the 2<sup>nd</sup> IIASA Conference taking place in Vienna in May 1980.

He said IFIP had received an invitation from IBI to participate in its World Conference on "Transborder Data Flow Policies" in Rome in June 1980 and the Executive Body – which had met prior to the Council Meeting – had designated Mr. Renard to attend.

Action

The President added that he would consult with the TC 6 and TC 9 Chairmen in case they wished to send additional personnel.

Referring to the Executive Body Meeting, the President stated that certain decisions taken would be presented to the Council under the relevant Agenda items.

4.2 Report of the Secretary

Action

Mr. Zich felt it was imperative that the Council make increased use of its rights and take more decision, thus alleviating the General Assembly from entering into unnecessary, tedious, administrative discussions. He pointed out that this would not conflict with the Standing Orders.

Action

Mr. Zich said it would be helpful if motions were submitted in written form and suggested that all reports presented to the Council and/or to the General Assembly – requiring approval(s) – contain a motion.

Mr. Zich presented a historical chart of IFIP General Assembly Members, TC Chairmen, etc., painstakingly produced by Mr. Zemanek, which will be of assistance in controlling the terms of office.

Action

Mr. Zich referred to the telephone/telex/cable index contained in the latest IFIP Information Bulletin (no: 14) and requested everyone to inform the Secretariat of any future changes.

4.3 Report of the Treasurer

Mr. Tuori said he would comment on the 1979 fiscal reports inasmuch as he had been responsible for the accounts to year-end.

He referred to TREAS-1, the Statement of Receipts and Expenditures for 1979, and to TREAS-2, the Auditor's report. Mr. Tuori indicated they were for information purposes only and would be presented to the General Assembly in Melbourne for approval.

Mr. Tuori drew attention to TREAS-3, 1979 Income/Expense versus Budget, showing total expenditures of SFr. 225,160.74 against a total income of SFr. 240,027.41. He said the income side was a disappointment; two major events were envisaged to produce substantial surpluses, but had failed to do so. Teleinformatics 79 had realized a surplus of approximately SFr. 16,000 of which half would come to IFIP. The Euro-IFIP 79 surplus of approximately SFr. 10,000 would come entirely to IFIP. Mr. Tuori advised that the expense side was in keeping with predictions, but pointed out that the cancelled TC 4 / IMIA WC on "Statistical/Medical Computing Services" had received grant funds of SFr. 3,000. He underlined the efficacy of preparing the budget in two parts: meaningful and discretionary (President's Reserve) and mentioned that only two Technical Committees had found it necessary to request Reserve funds.

Mr. Tuori presented TREAS-4, Member Dues Situation as at 7 March 1980 and indicated that all countries had paid in 1979 with the exception of Algeria and Yugoslavia. Yugoslavia was also delinquent in respect of 1978.

Action

Mr. Hernaes agreed to follow up on the Yugoslav situation.

1980 Budget - although these items were discussed later in the Meeting, they are reported here for coherence with the financial reporting.

Mr. Hernaes proposed that the 1980 Budget be amended to include:

- SFr. 10,000 additional for the Internal Awards Committee
- SFr. 1,000 for the Publications Committee
- SFr. 650 for Working Group 5.4

Council unanimously APPROVED the proposition.

5. TECHNICAL COMMITTEES, IAG, IMIA, IAPR, IASC

5.1 TC 2 (Programming)

Mr. Bennett said there was no report from Mr. Paul, but advised that Mr. Woodger had been appointed Chairman of WG 2.3 (Programming Methodology), which position he had already held from 1969 to 1976.

Council NOTED the comments.

5.2 TC 3 (Education)

Mr. Morris announced that the Annual Meeting of TC 3 would be taking place in Paris in April and, consequently, there were no matters requiring approval at this time.



He drew attention to the imminent WG 3.1 WC in Paris on “Micro-computers in Secondary Education in Developed and Developing Countries” and confirmed that, during the last six months, a lot of work had been done in updating curricula material under the leadership of Mr. Buckingham.

Mr. Morris recalled that TC 3 was preparing a report for UNESCO on “a Modular Curriculum for Informatics at University level”, with particular reference to developing countries. A meeting had taken place in November. Mr. Parker confirmed that UNESCO had received the first draft which would be fairly widely distributed in the concerned regions for comment. A further meeting was planned in June in order to draw up a final version of the report.

The President expressed his pleasure with the cooperation between UNESCO and TC 3.

Council NOTED the verbal report.

### 5.3 TC 5 (Computer Applications in Technology)

Mr. Boyd referred to a letter he had received from Mr. Vlietstra containing some items for presentation to the Council.

TC 5 requested an additional budget of SFr. 650 for WG 5.4 to cover expenses of the past Chairman which had been received after the close of the corresponding fiscal year.

Council APPROVED the request. (See Agenda item 4.3)

#### Action

New TC 5 members had been appointed for Belgium, France and Sweden and Mr. Vlietstra urgently called upon Denmark and Italy to replace their representatives. New members were sought in the following countries: Brazil, Canada, GDR, USSR and Yugoslavia.

#### Action

Mr. Boyd requested Member Societies to look into this matter.

Mr. Boyd indicated that efforts to revive WG 5.5 (Continuous Process Manufacturing) had been terminated and WG 5.5 would, therefore, not be resurrected.

Mr. Boyd drew attention to two successful events: the IFAC / IFIP Symposium on “Control Problems and Devices in Manufacturing Technology” (Stuttgart, October 1979) and the 3<sup>rd</sup> IFIP / IFAC International Symposium on “Ship Operation Automation – ISSOA 79” (Tokyo, November 1979). He added that the 4<sup>th</sup> “ISSOA” was planned for 1982 in Genoa, Italy.

Mr. Boyd remarked on the excellent content of the new TC 5 Journal “Computers in Industry”. Three issues would be available in 1980 after which the Journal would be established as a quarterly publication. It was mentioned that other Technical Committees had expressed the desire to get into a similar publication framework.

Council NOTED the verbal report with thanks to Mr. Vlietstra.

### 5.4 TC 6 (Data Communication)

Mr. Bennett presented his report (C1 Sofia 80 / TC 6-1) and stated that WG 6.4 (Local Computer Networks) wished to publish a collection of papers on ‘Local Networks’. The North-Holland price was considered too high so it was proposed that the publication be given to IEEE. Mr. Zemanek pointed out that if the papers had already been printed elsewhere, IFIP would be deprived of copyright and royalties. However, if they were original papers, IFIP should insist on the copyright.

#### Action

Mr. van der Veer felt it was important that a suitable, cheap channel to publicize Working Group documents be located and the Publications Committee was asked to look into this matter.

Mr. Bennett stated there was some concern within TC 6 as to whether the activities of WG 6.3 (Human-Computer Communications) were appropriate. TC 6 had established its own internal Review Committee and a number of recommendations had evolved, including the need for a much wider consultation with other bodies having similar interests – especially on the human-engineering side.

TC 6 wished to hold its 1981 Annual Meeting in Sofia and Mr. Iliev advised that the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences would plan to act as host.

Mr. Bennett announced that "Networks 80", jointly organized by the Computer Society of India and TC 6 in Bombay in February 1980 had been a success with over 350 participants.

The President congratulated the Computer Society of India and TC 6 for organizing this event and drew attention to the vast amount of work involved therein.

Mr. Bennett advised that Mr. Gupta, the CSI President, requested approval to hold a similar conference in India in January / February 1984 which was strongly supported by the TC 6 Chairman.

Council FAVOURED IFIP TC 6 co-sponsorship of this event.

Action

The President said he would inform Mr. Gupta accordingly and APC was asked to include details of same in the IFIP 6-Year Plan.

Council NOTED the report.

#### 5.5 TC 7 (System Modelling and Optimization)

Mr. Dorodnicyn said he had received information on TC 7's activities from Mr. Stoer and drew attention to the success of the WG 7.2 co-sponsored, December 1979 IRIA Conference in Paris on "Methodes de Calcul Scientifique et Technique" with 304 participants and 45 invited lectures.

Mr. Dorodnicyn added that preparations were progressing satisfactorily for the May 1980 WG 7.3 International Symposium on "Computer Performance Modelling, Measurement and Evaluation" (Toronto). He confirmed that first announcements had been mailed in respect of the WG 7.1 September 1980 Working Conferences on "Global Modelling" (Dubrovnik) and "Stochastic Differential Systems" (Visegrad).

Mr. Dorodnicyn stated that TC 7 sought approval for a co-sponsorship by WG 7.3 of the Second International Conference on "Distributed Computing Systems", scheduled for 1-3 April 1981 in Paris. Mr. Gelenbe had been nominated as Conference and Programme Committee Chairman. No financial support was requested from IFIP and, so far, no decision had been taken concerning publication of the Proceedings. Mr. Piloty advised that TC 10 had also been asked to co-sponsor this Conference and Mr. van der Veer felt that WG 6.4 should be involved.

Action

It was moved that WG 7.3 co-sponsor the Conference together with TC 10. However, should cases arise in the future calling for co-sponsorship by more than one Technical Committee, IFIP sponsorship should be requested.

Council unanimously APPROVED the motion.

Action

Mr. Bennett confirmed he would advise TC 6 of this Conference.

Action

Mr. Dorodnicyn promised to follow up on the question of Proceedings and advise the PC Chairman accordingly.

Mr. Dorodnicyn said that TC 7 requested approval of a Working Conference on "Mathematical Problems in Immunology and Optimization of Complex Systems", scheduled for June 1982 in Novosibirsk. Mr. Machuk was one of the organizers of the technical programme and approximately 80 participants were anticipated. The Proceedings would be published by Springer.

Council unanimously APPROVED this event.

Referring to the 10<sup>th</sup> General Conference on "Optimization Techniques" (New York) – approved at the London 1979 General Assembly Meeting, Mr. Dorodnicyn confirmed that the dates had been fixed: namely, 31 August to 4 September 1981 and the first Call for Papers would be mailed in April 1980. The Proceedings would be published by Springer.

Council NOTED the timing of the Conference.

Mr. Dorodnicyn indicated that WG 7.2 had nominated Mr. Yvon to succeed Mr. Lions as Chairman, which TC 7 endorsed. Mr. Yvon would submit a completed list of WG 7.2 members in due course. Mr. Gelenbe, WG 7.3 Chairman, had proposed some membership changes within his Group and pointed out that Mr. Atwood had erroneously been included as a member.

Council NOTED these changes.

#### 5.6 TC 8 (Information Systems)

Mr. Iliev presented his report (C1 Sofia 80 / TC 8-1) and sadly announced the death of Mr. Inada, the TC 8 Japanese observer. Mr. Hanada has been appointed as the regular Japanese representative and the Cuban representative has been dropped due to inactivity.

Mr. Iliev mentioned that a list of new French participants had been proposed for the Working Groups. According to the strict policy adopted by WG 8.1, some had been accepted and others considered as candidate-members pending contributions to the activity. WG 8.2 was expected to act likewise.

Mr. Iliev remarked that TC 8 would be meeting on 18 June 1980 in Jouy-en Josas (near Paris), preceded by a WG 8.1 meeting on 16-17 June. WG 8.2 was anticipated to meet in Grenoble on 19-20 June.

Preparations for the Working Conference on "Evolutionary Information Systems" (September 1981, Budapest) were underway and the Call for Papers had been drawn up.

Mr. Iliev concluded that the three Task Groups approved at the London 1979 General Assembly Meeting – "Information Systems in Developing Countries", "Information Systems Engineering" and "Decision Support Systems" – had been launched, but it was too early to expect any definite results.

Council NOTED the report with thanks to Mr. Iliev and to Mr. Doumkov.

#### 5.7 TC 9 (Relationship between Computers and Society)

Mr. Boyd said he had telephoned Mr. Gotlieb prior to leaving Canada and the points he wished raised were contained in document (C1 Sofia 80 / TC 9-1)

Mr. Boyd stated that Mr. Gotlieb had been Chairman of TC 9 since its inception in 1976 and wished to step down at the meeting scheduled for October 1980 in Melbourne. He had already conveyed his intention to the President.

#### Action

It was now urgent to find a knowledgeable successor who could take over the Chairmanship following the Melbourne meeting.

Mr. Boyd reported that Mr. Margulies was currently finalizing the accounts of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Conference on "Human Choice and Computers" and there was a good chance that the first instalment of the IFIP loan would be repaid. (The second instalment had not been requested.) The status of the Proceedings, being published by North-Holland, was unknown, but Mr. Gotlieb felt they would shortly be available.

Arrangements for the 2-3 April 1980 meeting in Yugoslavia of TC 9 and its Working Groups were established and the agenda had been issued. Mr. Margulies would chair the meeting in the absence of Mr. Gotlieb.

Mr. Boyd remarked that Mr. Jonasson was retiring as WG 9.1 Chairman at the Yugoslav meeting and he would be succeeded by Mr. Briefs (FRG). Mr. Gotlieb wished to convey his personal appreciation and that of the TC and WG members to Mr. Jonasson for a job well done.

Council gave a VOTE OF THANKS to Mr. Jonasson.

Mr. Boyd mentioned that Issue No. 7 of the TC 9 Newsletter had appeared in December 1979. He confirmed that the rotating editorship plan was working well which was evidenced by the quality of the Newsletter.

The President asked Mr. Boyd to extend Council's thanks to Mr. Gotlieb and Council NOTED the report.

## 5.8 TC10 (Digital Systems Design)

Mr. Piloty said his verbal report would reflect the results of the last TC 10 meeting which took place immediately after the London 1979 General Assembly.

Mr. Gelenbe, Chairman of WG 7.3 (Computer System Modelling) had participated as a guest and reported that members of this Group had asked him to explore the possible transfer of WG 7.3 to TC 10. Mr. Piloty stated it had been agreed that WG 7.3 would find a better environment in TC 10 under the condition that it had a member in TC 10 for liaison purposes. This could be achieved by nominating a WG 7.3 member to a vacant TC 10 representation and it had been suggested that, via CIPS, the WG 7.3 active Canadian member be approached in this respect. If this can be achieved, the Mr. Gelenbe would be prepared to recommend the transfer of this Group.

Mr. Piloty indicated there was sufficient evidence to warrant the creation of a further TC 10 Working Group on "Reliable Computing and Fault Tolerance". He drew attention to the very successful Working Conference on this subject which had taken place in conjunction with Euro-IIFP 79 under the organization of Mr. Avizienis. As a result Mr. Avizienis was encouraged to propose a draft of the Aims and Scope for such a Working Group to the TC 10 La Baule meeting in May 1980. Subsequently, the General Assembly would be approached.

### Action

The President underlined the importance of keeping the APC Chairman informed on these two aforementioned items.

Mr. Piloty said TC 10 sought approval to co-sponsor the following events;

- 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on "Distributed Computing Systems", 1-3 April 1981, Paris.
- a follow-up of the European Conference on "Parallel Processing", 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 1982, London

With regard to the latter, Mr. Piloty mentioned the U.S.A. Belair series of Conferences on this subject and the lack of success in trying to negotiate for such Conferences to take place in alternate years - one year in the U.S.A., following year in Europe. Mr. Dorodnicyn felt TC 2 should be involved with Conferences on "Parallel Processing". Mr. Piloty said he had had no contact with TC 2 on this matter, but it was aware of this Conference series.

It was moved that Council approve the co-sponsorship by TC 10 of the abovementioned Conferences.

Council unanimously ADOPTED the motion.

Mr. Piloty referred to the WG 10.2 AND WG 10.3 Workshops taking place in La Baule in May 1980 in conjunction with the International Symposium on "Computer Architecture" and confirmed that other Workshops were in the planning stage. A list of future activities would be presented to the Melbourne General Assembly for approval.

The President thanked Mr. Piloty for his detailed verbal report which Council NOTED.

## 5.9 IAG

Mr. Tuori advised that, in the absence of a report from IAG; he had prepared a short document (C1 Sofia 80 / IAG-1), but felt it necessary to await the findings of the IAG Review Committee before making any precise decisions.

Mr. Tuori drew attention to the decreasing Partner situation – 123 as at 7 February 1980 in comparison to 223 in November 1979. In explanation, 3 new Partners had been acquired, but 13 others had resigned.

Since the London 1979 General Assembly, an NIAG Conference on "Managing and Controlling EDP in the 80's" had taken place in Amsterdam with a participation of approximately 90 persons instead of the anticipated 250. Nonetheless, the financial result had been positive. A Workshop had also been conducted in Paris on "The Economics and Technology of Standardization".

Mr. Tuori remarked that, according to the unaudited accounts, there would be a 1979 fiscal deficit of approximately Guilders 12,000 which would result in the cumulative surplus being reduced to approximately Guilders 13,000. Mr. Tuori referred to the IFIP Foundation deficit of approximately Guilders 18,700.

Mr. Tuori drew attention to IAG's programme of activities annexed to his report.

The President thanked Mr. Tuori for his observations and Council NOTED the report.

Mr. Morris, Chairman of the IAG Review Committee comprising Messrs. Tuori, Renard, Sibley, Sjoner and Glaser, reported that an unofficial, preliminary meeting of part of RC had been held in November 1979 in Amsterdam and had received valuable input from some of the IAG Board Members. He added that a full meeting of the Committee took place on 9 February 1980 in Amsterdam and the outcome was a 12-page draft report, copies of which had been sent to the President and to the APC Chairman.

Action

Mr. Morris confirmed that a letter had been addressed to all GA Members seeking their constructive input. It was accompanied by a valuable history of IAG prepared by Mr. Gundersen. Mr. Morris hoped to receive this input by the beginning of May.

Action

The President felt it was important to get feed-back from ICID and FPC and mentioned that a possible, future activity for IAG could be in developing countries with "IAG Desks" where there was no feasible IFIP activity.

Mr. Morris indicated that RC had set a target to produce its final report prior to the Melbourne General Assembly.

Action

The President thanked Mr. Morris and the members of the IAG Review Committee and urged everyone to support its task.

Council NOTED the report.

#### 5.10 IMIA

Mr. Renard said it had not been possible for the written report to arrive in time, but IMIA was continuing its work under the direction of three main people: Messrs. Roukens, Shires and Fernandez Perez de Talens.

Referring to the IMIA General Conference held in Berlin in September 1979, Mr. Renard advised that the National membership at that time was 14 who had accepted to pay specific IMIA Dues. He added that a new category of membership had been established, namely – 'Institutional Membership'. Eligible for this form of membership were organizations or institutions that exclusively or partly were active in the fields of health care, medicine or biology. These organizations would be requested to pay a relatively small annual fee which would entitle them to receive IMIA publications at reduced prices, the regular IMIA Newsletter, decision of IMIA General Conferences and Board Meetings, the right to propose candidates to attend IMIA Working Conferences and Working Groups, etc.

Action

Mr. Tuori queried the regularity of this decision and Mr. Renard agreed to follow up and to present amended IMIA Bylaws, if necessary, to the General Assembly.

Mr. Renard advised that, in addition to Medinfo 80, IMIA had four Working Conferences planned for 1980 in Hannover, Amsterdam, Rome and Geilo (Norway). Also, IMIA was trying to organize a regional group in order to establish a closer relationship with EFMI (European Federation for Medical Informatics).

Mr. Renard recalled that the IMIA 1980 budget had been approved at the London 1979 General Assembly, its main income being the IFIP SFr. 30,000 establishment grant.

Action

Mr. Renard advised that IMIA was utilizing the IFIP Foundation services for a contracted fee of SFr. 2,000. This amount was considered inadequate for the work planned and Messrs. Renard and Hernaes were requested to negotiate for a higher figure after having received t from Ms. Lucas the to-date status of expenses for services rendered to IMIA.

Mr. Renard felt IMIA's efforts and activities should be fully encouraged.

Council NOTED the verbal report.

There was nothing to report on the following Agenda items:

5.11 IAPR

5.12 IASC

6. COMMITTEE REPORTS

6.1 Activity Planning Committee

Mr. van der Veer indicated that the main, recent activity of APC was linked to the APC / FPC Meeting which had just taken place and this would be reported on separately by Mr. Narasimhan (see Agenda item 6.5).

Action

Mr. van der Veer confirmed that APC would look into the possibility of establishing a Software Engineering Group and felt a meeting could eventually be arranged between TC 2, TC 8 and TC 10 within the framework of the Melbourne segment of Congress.

Action

It was also agreed that APC would investigate the setting up of a Task Group on "Office Automation".

Mr. van der Veer mentioned that he would be taking over the preparation of the 6-Year Plan from Mr. Renard and thanked the latter for all his help and assistance in this respect.

6.2 Admissions Committee

The President presented Mr. Melbye's report (C1 Sofia 80 / AC-1) and indicated that, since the London 1979 General Assembly, information had been sent to Roumania, Saudi Arabia, Thailand and Venezuela in reply to requests expressing interest and eventual membership in IFIP. To-date, nothing further had been received from these countries. He confirmed that Nigeria had been sent additional information and advised on the change of AC Chairman.

The President remarked that following the application for membership from the Asociacion de Tecnicos de Informatica (June 1979), he had been trying to solve the matter via negotiation with Mr. Eced. He added that there was a plan in Spain to create a Federation of Processing Societies.

Mr. Melbye indicated in his report that he had taken no action with regard to the pending Affiliate membership application of the Very Large Data Base Organization (VLDB). Mr. Narasimhan, past AC Chairman, advised that some delay had elapsed since the decision taken at the London 1979 General Assembly to defer consideration of this application pending receipt of VLDB's Charter. He had advised the VLDB Chairman accordingly in February, with copies to the President and Mr. Melbye. Mr. van der Veer said that during the APC/FPC Meeting, it had been decided to appoint Mr. Solvberg as Liaison Officer between IFIP and VLDB and he would duly coordinate with AC.

Council NOTED the report.

6.3 Congress Guidelines Committee

The President remarked that he had recently met in Geneva with Mr. Genuys. He recalled that the Guidelines had two parts for each Chapter – policy and details, and confirmed that the policy sections were completely finished. Mr. Morris had kindly reviewed and edited same and the President thanked him for this work.

The President said it had been decided to ask the IFIP Foundation, Amsterdam to type the Congress Guidelines manuscript and be responsible for its updating and distribution to interested parties. It was expected that the initial typing would be completed within a few weeks, at which time the availability of the document would be announced.

Action

The President underlined the necessity of its use by members of Site Selection Committees.

#### 6.4 Finance Committee

Mr. Tuori announced that FC had met in Sofia on 11 March and the issues discussed were contained in his report (C1 Sofia 80 / FC-1).

He confirmed that FC had studied and found the 1979 Accounts to be satisfactory.

In accordance with the 1976 Tashkent General Assembly decision and the approximate rise of 8% in the Swiss cost-of-living index during the past three years, Mr. Tuori said he had informed all General Assembly Members at the end of 1979 to advise their respective Societies of a Dues increase for 1981 – 1983. The range would increase as follows: SFr. 700 to 800, 1,400 to 1,550, 2,800 to 3,100, 4,200 to 4,600, 5,600 to 6,100 and 10,400 to 11,300. Mr. Tuori recalled that the three criterion points for the range were: the economic power of the country itself, the strength of the IP industry in the country and the resources and possibilities of the National Society.

Mr. Tuori advised that FC had thoroughly discussed the current investment policy. It was noted that in spite of the recent increase of interest rates, FC did not favour changing the policy at this time. Mr. Tuori confirmed that IFIP invested in short-term deposits which were more rewarding than long-term obligations.

The IFIP Foundation had been discussed, but FC would comment on this subject under Agenda item 9.3.

#### Action

In examining the question of how to divide any surplus ensuing from the 2<sup>nd</sup> Conference on “Human Choice and Computers”, FC decided that it would review the Financial Standing Orders and Working Conference Guidelines in order to clarify the procedures regarding the financial result of Working Conferences. It should be agreed with the local organizers from the outset how to act in the event of a possible financial loss or surplus.

#### Action

Mr. Tuori confirmed that the Treasurer, Mr. Hernaes, would prepare a 6-Year Financial Forecast prior to the Melbourne General Assembly.

The President thanked Mr. Tuori and his Committee for their precious collaboration in directing IFIP's financial affairs and Council NOTED the report.

#### 6.5 Future Policy Committee

Mr. Narasimhan recalled the London 1979 General Assembly decision to set up a combined APC / FPC Meeting which had just taken place with the additional participation of Executive Body members and some Committee Chairmen.

Mr. Narasimhan referred to the very extensive questionnaire Mr. van der Veer had addressed to all General Assembly Members at year-end, which input served as a basis for the APC / FPC Meeting's discussion.

After two days of deliberations, a Summary of Conclusions was established as listed in document (C1 Sofia 80 / APC / FPC -1) covering: Scope of IFIP, Membership, Activities, Organization and Structure, Publications and Communication.

This document was thoroughly discussed in Council and the following action was requested:

#### I) Scope of IFIP

#### Action

- APC to study the phraseology of the Scope of IFIP as spelled out in the Statutes and Bylaws to see if it could be better articulated.

#### Action

- APC and TC's to take cognizance of application areas well-developed by non-IFIP groups and attempt to forge appropriate links with such groups.....

#### II) Membership

#### Action

- FPC and SBC to study the introduction of a new class of Members called 'Corresponding Members' ....., and the question of 'Regional Groups' .....

- FPC and SBC to examine the question of 'Individual Membership'.... Action
- III) Activities
  - APC and TC's to study the possibility of the development of application oriented activities .... Action
  - APC and TC's to examine structuring a balanced programme of TC activities.... Action
  - APC, TC's and WG's to look into the question of Working Conferences with restricted invited participation..... Action
  - General Assembly Members to encourage their Societies to set up working level contracts with TC's and APC..... Action
  - APC to monitor the development of further Triennial World Conferences, open Technical Conferences and Regional Conferences..... Action
- IV) Organization and Structure
  - FPC and SBC to examine if SIG's should more appropriately become Affiliate Members..... Action
  - Executive Body to encourage the Council to become a more active decision-making body. Action
- V) Publications and Communication
  - PC to examine possibility of cheaper IFIP books with a wider circulation.... Action
  - PC to ensure that Member Societies are kept informed about IFIP publications which, in turn, should advertise same..... Action
  - PC to improve internal communication and obtain feed-back from TC and WG Chairmen for publication. Action
  - PC to study the question of a quarterly IFIP Bulletin with a professional publication set-up..... Action

Mr. van der Veer suggested that document (C1 Sofia 80 / APC / FPC -1) be further elaborated and distributed to General Assembly Members as soon as possible, he added that the results of the actions to be undertaken should be reported on at the Tokyo Council Meeting and subsequently presented to the Melbourne General Assembly.

Action

Mr. Narasimhan agreed to complete the document and arrange for its distribution to General Assembly Members, APC and FPC Members, TC and WG Chairmen via the Secretariat.

The President thanked Messrs. van der Veer and Narasimhan for their united efforts in setting up the APC/FPC Meeting and expressed his gratitude to all those who had contributed to the fruitful discussions.

#### 6.6 IFIP Committee for International Liaison (ICIL)

Mr. Tanaka presented his report (C1 Sofia 80 /ICIL-1) and mentioned there had been a number of contacts from outside organizations which had been handled either by ICIL, the appropriate Technical Committee or SIG:

Mr. Tanaka said, at the invitation of UNESCO, he had attended a meeting in Paris on 4 February 1980 with UNESCO, IBI and ITU representatives to help prepare for a UNISIST Working Group meeting on "Technology of Systems Interconnection", scheduled for 1-5 December 1980.



He recalled that the first meeting of the Working Group had taken place in November 1976 for which TC 6 (Mr. Sem-Sandberg principally) had prepared a report on "Information networks".

The intent of the December meeting is to provide recommendations which could be used in implementing a specific programme of action. IFIP has been given the responsibility to prepare some background and tutorial papers in the areas of "computer networks" and "Data base/retrieval systems"; IBI will report on "computer techniques" – particularly as relevant to the developing countries and ITU will prepare material on "techniques for transporting information".

Mr. Tanaka indicated that a copy of the report of the February 1980 meeting outlining the requirements for this activity, to be funded by UNESCO if necessary, would be sent direct to the IFIP President and the Chairmen of TC 6, TC 8 and IAG: Mr. Tanaka handed over some background information to the Cognizant Officers, Executive Body and APC Chairman which he had prepared for the TC and IAG Chairmen.

Action

Mr. Tanaka concluded that UNESCO required a first draft of the various contributions by June 1980 and he was hoping that TC 6, TC 8 and IAG in particular would take on the assignment. Also, that someone would volunteer to coordinate the activity inasmuch as IFIP had a definite commitment towards UNESCO.

Action

Mr. van der Veer and Mr. Bennett volunteered to assist in trying to find suitable IFIP participation.

#### 6.7 IFIP Committee: Informatics for Development (ICID)

Mr. Tanaka, Acting Chairman, said he had pleasure in announcing that Mr. Kalman would take over the Chairmanship of ICID at the end of the Council Meeting. The President stated that ICID would report to ICIL as part of the plan to establish the ICID programme of activity.

Mr. Tanaka presented his report (C1 Sofia 80 /ICID-1) and said that ICID held a meeting in London in September 1979 and that document (ICID-2) gave a full report on the meeting. Two objectives had been to revise the proposed 1980 programme established at the June 1979 meeting and prepare a tentative, general estimate for activity during 1981-1983.

Mr. Tanaka drew attention to document (ICID-3) containing details of the actual UNESCO support for ICID in 1980. This budget represented an increase over 1979, but, unfortunately, was far less than the amount which had originally been discussed.

Mr. Paker mentioned that UNESCO itself had had to make some cut-backs. Mr. Tanaka confirmed that UNESCO was exceedingly interested in the ICID activity and that Mr. Paker would participate in the ICID meeting taking place in Ankara following Council.

Mr. Tanaka indicated that discussions with IBI were in progress on cooperation with ICID and their representative, Mr. Rateau, had been participating in the meetings.

A long discussion ensued on the financial support Congress 80 would receive from UNESCO via ICID and possibly other sources. It was acknowledged that the \$ 4,000 contribution was very limited.

Mr. Goldsworthy proposed a reduction of 50% on registration fees for delegates from developing countries, other ideas included block registrations with a substantial reduction.

Mr. Goldsworthy was particularly interested in support for the ancillary activity Seminar on "Computers in Developing Nations". Approximately 15-20 speakers from developing countries had asked for financial assistance.

Action

It was suggested that IBI be called upon for assistance and eventual co-sponsorship of the Seminar. Messrs. Tanaka and Kalman said they would contact IBI and immediately inform Mr. Goldsworthy of the outcome of any negotiation.

Action

Mr. Tanaka also proposed contacting the World Bank via Mr. Muller.

Concluding, Mr. Tanaka said he felt that ICID's aims and objectives were strongly appreciated and understood by everyone and it was now a question of trying to implement same with the resources available and possibly forthcoming.

## 6.8 Publications Committee

Mr. Zemanek mentioned that PC had met in Geneva on 31 January 1980.

He drew attention to the list of publications in Information Bulletin no. 14 – approximately 85 books. Mr. Zemanek said PC was investigating the possibility of having less expensive books similar to the Springer Lecture Notes. However, the return on such a series was much smaller.

Mr. Zemanek confirmed that a copyright declaration would be asked from every author of papers published via IFIP from January 1980 onwards, and presented a compact version of the 'IFIP Working Conference Publications Policy'. (Copies of the latter and of the IFIP Copyright Transfer Form are available from the IFIP Secretariat.)

Mr. Zemanek advised that North-Holland was organizing a pilot project of the much discussed Compact Journal without any financial obligation on the part of IFIP. The necessary software was being prepared by Mr. Koster at the University of Nijmegen and a demonstration of the possibilities would be given within the framework of the Tokyo segment of Congress 80.

### Action

Mr. Renard suggested that PC produce a publications status report for each General Assembly, indicating numbers sold and royalties received during the previous twelve months.

Council ADOPTED this suggestion, with one abstention.

Mr. Zemanek said he was already working on this and hoped to present a status report at the Melbourne General Assembly.

The President expressed his thanks to Mr. Zemanek and pointed out to the Council the enormous amount of work that is going on 'behind the scenes' in the area of IFIP publications which is so important for IFIP.

## 6.9 Public Information Committee

Mr. Morris presented his report (C1 Sofia 80 /PIC-1) and mentioned that IFIP NEWS 4, principally concerned with reports on the London General Assembly, had been distributed in November 1979. The next issue, concentrating on a report of this Council Meeting and on Congress 80, would be available end April.

Mr. Morris referred to the January 1980 edition of the Information Bulletin (no. 14), produced under the editorship of Ms. Roberts, and pointed out that it involved a massive amount of very detailed work.

Mr. Morris stated that preliminary editing had been done on Mr. Tanaka's proposal for an IFIP publicity folder and presented the first proof to Council Members for their comments, criticisms, etc.

It was proposed that the content of this folder, including the suggested modifications, be incorporated in the Congress 80 Publicity Brochures.

Council unanimously APPROVED the proposition.

### Action

Mr. Morris agreed to get the final text to Messrs. Ando and Goldsworthy by mid-April. He added that the folder would also be printed in Geneva for use by the Secretariat.

Mr. Morris discussed the information contained in the Information Bulletin and in the Summary and declared it was PIC's recommendation that the Summary be abandoned and the content of the Bulletin reviewed before the next issue. This review would be submitted to the Melbourne General Assembly.

In the ensuing debate, concern was expressed regarding the publication of the Aims and Scopes of TC's and WG's and the President drew attention to Bylaws 4.2.1 and 4.2.7 in this respect. Mr. van der Veer felt the Summary could be dispensed with subject to the Aims and Scopes of TC's and WG's appearing in the Bulletin.

### Action

Mr. Morris confirmed he would make an appraisal of what should go into the Bulletin and present this, together with approximate costs, to the Tokyo Council Meeting.

It was moved that the Council approve the replacement of the IFIP Summary by an extensive annual edition of the Bulletin.

Council unanimously ADOPTED the motion.

Mr. Morris added he would give some thought to a possibly more luxurious cover for the Bulletin and to a possible title change.

The President thanked Mr. Morris, Mr. Tanaka and Ms Roberts for their contributions.

#### 6.10 Regional Conference Policy Committee

Mr. Bennett presented his report (C1 Sofia 80 /RCPC-1) and confirmed that the second draft of the Regional Conference Guidelines had been completed, with the exception of the Chapter on Proceedings, and mailed to Council Members, Mr. Genuys, Euro-IFIP 70 and Congress 80 OC and PC Chairmen, etc.

#### Action

Mr. Bennett called for comments to reach him by the end of May which would be taken into account in preparing the final draft for submission to the Council and the General Assembly.

Mr. Bennett indicated that RCPC would have completed its task by the time of the Melbourne General Assembly and could subsequently be disbanded.

Mr. Bennett raised the question of the reproduction, distribution and updating of the Guidelines. The general consensus was that these Guidelines could be handled by the IFIP Foundation, Amsterdam inasmuch as it would also be responsible for the Congress Guidelines.

The President thanked Mr. Bennett and his Committee for all the work undertaken and Council NOTED the report.

There was nothing to report on the following Agenda items:

#### 6.11 Statutes and Bylaws Committee

#### 6.12 Ad Hoc Committee to revise "IFIP Guide...."

#### 6.13 Ad Hoc Internal Awards Committee

Mr. Zich announced that the subject under discussion was the Silver Core and presented a list of possible candidates together with a suggestion for amending the Rules.

The list was duly discussed and amended and subsequently unanimously APPROVED by the Council.

#### Action

It was moved that the proposed list of candidates be circulated to the General Assembly Members, together with the recommendations of the Council, and that they be asked to indicate whether they will support the proposal at the Melbourne General Assembly Meeting where formal approval will be requested.

Council ADOPTED the motion, with one abstention.

#### Action

Mr. Zich discussed the suggested amended Rules and proposed that they be recommended to the General Assembly.

Taking into account certain suggested modifications, Council unanimously APPROVED the suggestion.

#### Action

It was borne in mind that the Standing Orders would also require an amendment and Mr. Zich confirmed he would send the proposed wording to Mr. Lehmann.

Mr. Zich moved that the Council approve the substitution of the current framed Silver Core Certificate by the presentation of a Certificate with appropriate wording and a Silver Pin of appropriate design to be approved by the Executive Body.

Council ADOPTED the motion, with one abstention.

#### 6.14 Ad Hoc Site Selection C86 Committee

##### Action

Mr. Ando confirmed that Brazil was extremely interested in submitting its candidature to host Congress 86 and mentioned that a Ministry of Informatics had recently been established in that country. Nevertheless, as agreed to in Executive Body, he would write to every General Assembly Member to enquire if their particular country would be interested to bid for Congress 86.

##### Action

The President added that Executive Body felt a Site decision should be taken at the 1981 General Assembly to allow for a 5-year preparation period.

#### 7. IFIP CONGRESS / MEDINFO

##### 7.1 Congress 80 OC – Australia

Mr. Goldsworthy presented his report (C1 Sofia 80 /OC-A) and said he had very useful discussions with his Japanese colleagues in Tokyo the previous month. He added that the Chairman of the U.S. Committee for C80, Mr. Hutt, had visited Australia in December 1979 and further cemented the good working relationship between the U.S. Committee and C80 OC-A.

Mr. Goldsworthy gave a run-down of the publicity issued to-date, including the Advance Registration Circular which had just been printed and distributed world-wide by the Japanese OC. He underlined the effort and expense put into the publicity material and urged everyone to check that it was being received and disseminated locally.

##### Action

The President requested the Council Members to check if they had received their copies of this latter Circular and to simultaneously check with their Liaison Officers and National Societies.

##### Action

In case of non-receipt, the President asked them to immediately write to Mr. Goldsworthy with a copy to Mr. Ozeki.

##### Action

Mr. Parker said UNESCO would be willing to help disseminate C80 publicity throughout its Regional Offices and requested 500 copies of the Advance Registration Circular.

Mr. Goldsworthy confirmed that Circular 11, the final Registration Brochure, would be circulated end April / early May and include details of the Technical Programme. He recalled that the registration fee was subject to a 10% discount if paid prior to 30 June 1980.

Mr. Goldsworthy announced that a coordinated programme of Press Releases had been arranged between Japan and Australia involving a Release each month, alternatively prepared by the two OC's. They would be addressed to member Societies, relevant professional journals, other appropriate media – in fact, to the computer community throughout the world.

##### Action

Mr. Goldsworthy recommended that the President write to every General Assembly Member and Liaison Officer exhorting them to use every means at their disposal to publicize the Congress.

It was confirmed that the Governor-General of Australia would officially open the Congress in Melbourne.

Mr. Goldsworthy referred to the ancillary activities and stated that the Programme was almost finalized for the Monday, 13 October Seminar on "Computers in Developing Nations". There would be no charge for attendance; income would be derived from selling the Seminar Proceedings.

Mr. Goldsworthy indicated that several speakers had asked for financial assistance and ICID had been approached as well as one or two other bodies.

He mentioned that the Australian Minister for Foreign Affairs had agreed to give the closing address.

Mr. Goldsworthy referred to the second Seminar taking place on Monday, 13 October – “Computers in Mining” and said there would be an Australian \$100.—attendance fee. The Programme had been finalized, brochures printed and it was hoped to attract many local and overseas delegates from the mining and energy industries.

Commenting on the Youth Congress with the theme of “My Future with Computers”, Mr. Goldsworthy said it was hoped to conduct the Programming Tournament in conjunction with the WCCE 81 competition and possibly announce the winner of the Australian segment during Congress week.

Mr. Goldsworthy remarked that the Exhibition had surpassed all expectations and to-date, 70,000 square feet had been sold.

Mr. Goldsworthy explained that by printing the Proceedings in both Japan and Australia, a great deal of expense would be avoided, mainly in respect of airfreight charges. He pointed out that participants at both segments would be given the Proceedings in Japan.

Action

Mr. Goldsworthy said OC had taken the initiative to appoint official travel agents and they were the best people to contact for “travel deals”.

Action

Mr. Goldsworthy added that if the Liaison Officers would arrange to notify him of the “travel deals” in their respective countries, OC would re-distribute this information.

Mr. Goldsworthy indicated there had been a significant improvement in the finances since September 1979 when the last budget was presented and OC-A was confident that this budget would be met and the surplus would be in the magnitude of that report.

Mr. Goldsworthy concluded by placing on record the voluntary effort that IFIP received from people and organizations for its Congresses. He felt the enormous amount of time given by so many people was not fully appreciated by IFIP and suggested that the President pay a tribute to the unknown and not-named volunteers who make a Congress such a success.

Action

On behalf of IFIP, the President expressed thanks to Mr. Goldsworthy and the members of his Committee for their arduous efforts. He asked the President to pass this message on to all persons concerned.

## 7.2 Congress 80 OC – Japan

Mr. Ozeki introduced Mr. Okamura of the local Organizing Committee and presented his report (C1 Sofia 80 /C80 OC-J) and said that OC-J held monthly meetings. He referred to the visits of Mr. Goldsworthy, members of the U.S. Committee and assistance offered by the Canadian Committee which collaboration OC-J greatly appreciated.

Mr. Ozeki said he would not discuss the publicity, which subject had been adequately covered by Mr. Goldsworthy.

He mentioned that the Proceedings for the Japanese segment registrants would be printed in Japan, using an edited film sent from North-Holland. The cover design would be sketched by OC-J and finalized with the approval of the Editor.

Mr. Ozeki gave a briefing on the planned special events and announced that the Opening Ceremony would take place at the National Theater, probably in the presence of the Crown Prince.

As to the “Information processing Joint Exhibition 80”, Mr. Ozeki indicated that by end February 1979, 9,400 square meters had been sold to approximately 130 exhibitors.

Mr. Ozeki concluded that the activities of OC-J were proceeding in accordance with the previously-submitted budget.

Action

The President expressed IFIP’s thanks to Mr. Ozeki and asked him to extend them to the members of his Committee.

### 7.3 Congress 80 Programme Committee

Mr. Sumner announced that the Programme Committee had met in Amsterdam for the whole of the previous week and produced a Programme, details of which were contained in document (C1 Sofia 80 /C80-PC).

Mr. Sumner advised that, in consultation with the two OC's, PC had decided to increase the number of invited speakers above previous Congresses. Out of the 40 invited speakers, 36 had agreed to speak at both sites.

Mr. Sumner drew attention to the approximately 550 submitted papers emanating from 47 countries of which 113 had been accepted. He commented on the Panel Meetings and said PC was in the process of asking the Panel Chairmen to write a synopsis on their Panel aims and general direction. These would be printed in Japan and made available to delegates prior to the Meetings.

Mr. Sumner said that Mr. Zemanek had kindly agreed to present a closing paper on "Dixit Algorizmi" which it was felt would hold the Congress together to the end. PC was extremely grateful to Mr. Zemanek.

Mr. Sumner confirmed that the Proceedings would be in a hard-cover, single volume.

Mr. Sumner raised the question of free registration fees for Panel Chairmen. Discussion ensued indicating that this suggestion was disfavoured. Mr. Renard moved that Panel Chairmen be allowed to benefit from the Early Bird registration fee.

Council ADOPTED the motion, with two objections and one abstention.

Mr. Sumner underlined the importance and efficiency of Ms Lucas' work for the Programme Committee which felt her presence was vital in both Tokyo and Melbourne. The President said the costs would be covered by PC. Executive Body had discussed this issue and unanimously recommended to Council that Ms Lucas accompany the Programme Committee to Tokyo and Melbourne.

Council unanimously ACCEPTED the recommendation.

The President expressed his gratitude to Mr. Sumner and his Committee for their hard and efficient work in producing such an excellent Technical Programme.

### 7.4 MEDINFO 80 OC

Mr. Renard referred to the document (C1 Sofia 80 /Medinfo 80) prepared by Mr. Oshima, OC Chairman, and confirmed that all problems were under control.

He advised that WHO had decided to sponsor Medinfo 80 and would send a representative to the Opening Ceremony. Two Workshops were also being organized with the cooperation of WHO.

Mr. Renard advised that a third announcement, containing the Technical Programme, would be distributed in May 1980.

### 7.5 MEDINFO 80 PC

Mr. Renard presented the PC Chairman's report and indicated that 362 papers had been submitted from 29 countries for 28 scientific sessions. They were now being reviewed and a final selection would be made at the next Programme Committee meeting in April 1980.

Mr. Renard said that the Editor had made an agreement with North-Holland for the publication of the Proceedings.

## 8. CONFERENCES

### 8.1/2 Euro – IFIP 79 - Final Report

Mr. Morris presented Mr. Fisher's report (C1 Sofia 80 /EI 79/OC) and indicated that the final results were a mixture of satisfaction and disappointment. The overall attendance came to 975 including 479 U.K. attendees and 496 participants from 41 other countries.

Euro-IFIP 79 did not make a loss, but the very small surplus of approximately SFr. 10,000 (£stg. 2,300) would go to IFIP. Mr. Morris pointed out that the Exhibition had not turned out as planned and was mainly responsible for the poor financial result.

On the Technical side, the Programme was considered very good with some excellent papers. 21 invited speakers and 73 speakers of submitted papers had contributed to its success.

The Social Programme appeared to be favourably received although this also resulted in a slight loss.

Mr. Morris said Mr. Fisher wished to convey his thanks to Mr. Overgaard, PC Chairman, the IFIP Foundation and all those who contributed to put together Euro-IFIP 79.

The President added that the Council would also like to express its thanks to Messrs. Fisher and Overgaard, as well as to Mr. Morris.

In conclusion, the President drew attention to certain hazards in organizing a Conference and underlined the importance of ensuring that Call for Papers and all relevant publicity was conscientiously distributed. Also, that the question of holding an Exhibition be thoroughly looked into and not included in the balance sheet if uncertain – as per the policy adopted by the WCCE 81 OC.

### 8.3/4 3<sup>rd</sup> WCCE 81

The President indicated that there were no reports from Messrs. Immer and Levrat, but confirmed that everything was developing smoothly. He recalled they had received certain directives at the London 1979 General Assembly and were working along those lines.

Mr. Ando presented the report of Nishimura, TC 3 member of Japan, (C1 Sofia 80 / WCCE 81 PC), clearly outlining the plans of a Youth World Computer Programming Tournament in Japan, similar to the WCCE 81 competition, and added that a candidate for the latter would be chosen out of the winners of the Japanese event.

The President welcomed this type of Tournament to encourage the youth of today and wished Mr. Ando and his colleagues every success with the organization of same.

## 9. OTHER COUNCIL BUSINESS

### 9.1 South East Asia Regional Computer Confederation

Mr. Ando presented his report (C1 Sofia 80 /SEARCC) and stated that preparations for SEARCC 80, taking place 21-24 October 1980 in Jakarta, were well underway. The Conference theme was "Computer Technology and Management of Information for National Development and Regional Cooperation".

Mr. Ando said that SEARCC urged Congress 80 attendants to take advantage of the close travelling distance between the two venues and also participate in SEARCC 80.

#### Action

Mr. Bennett proposed that details of SEARCC 80 be advertised in Member Society local journals and Mr. Ando confirmed he would follow up on this matter.

Mr. Ando briefly referred to 1980-related National activities of SEARCC Members, namely: "CHIPS 80" / Philippines Computer Society, "SIBUS 80" / Singapore Computer Society and an April Annual event organized by the Hong Kong Computer Society.

Mr. Ando underlined SEARCC's continued interest in an Affiliation with IFIP and presumed that, based on the outcome of the APC/FPC discussions, it would become a Regional Group and elect one representative to IFIP.

The President thanked Mr. Ando for his comments and requested him to kindly convey to SEARCC the spirit of the APC /FPC discussions. Action

## 9.2 Congress 83

Mr. Renard recalled that the 1979 London General Assembly had selected France (AFCET) to host Congress 83, but had not approved the proposed budget. He added that, based on the information received from the Japanese and Australian OC Chairmen, a revised budget would be presented to the Melbourne General Assembly by the Congress Chairman, Mr. Carteron (the previous French General Assembly Member).

Notwithstanding, Mr. Renard introduced document (C1 Sofia 80 /C83-1) – being a comparison of budget between the Toronto Congress 77 and expected Paris Congress 83. In explanation, he advised that the Congress would be organized by the professional staff responsible for the Annual Software Conference "Convention Informatique". He pointed out that the Paris budget included expenses for lecture halls and indicated that the French printing and mailing costs would be double those of Canada.

Mr. Renard advised that the registration fee would be FF. 1,175, or approximately SFr. 450 (at 1979 rate), based on an expected attendance of 3,000 persons. All financial figures would be modified according to inflation, but Mr. Renard confirmed that SICOB had guaranteed an amount of FF. 300,000 (approximately SFr. 120,000) – whatever the outcome of the Exhibition.

A lengthy discussion ensued resulting in the following motion:

The budget, as presented, did not appear acceptable. Therefore, Council proposed to the French Committee that -

- i) The IFIP surplus, based on 3,000 participants, should be SFr. 200,000 (1979 value).
- ii) The registration fee should not exceed FF. 1,200 (1979 value).
- iii) Mr. Goldsworthy will be requested by the President to discuss certain aspects personally with the French OC before the revised budget is presented to the General Assembly. Action

Council ADOPTED the motion, with one objection and one abstention.

The President thanked Mr. Renard for the hard work he was doing in relation to the Congress 83 preparations.

## 9.3 IFIP Foundation

Mr. Tuori indicated that the situation was critical The Foundation had first-class facilities and gave excellent services by two highly qualified people – Ms Lucas and Mr. Gundersen, but there was very little work and subsequent income. It was recalled that Ms. Lucas had resigned, but, after the appointment of Mr. Gundersen, had been invited to stay on, in view of her long experience with IFIP-related matters, until all possibilities have been investigated.

Mr. Tuori pointed out that up until 1978 IAG had covered most of the costs of the Foundation, but it would be unfair to continue in this way as IAG could not use the services of two people.

The President underlined that every effort was being made to find organizations analogous to IFIP to utilize the Foundation's services on a fee basis. He added that the Foundation currently had contracts with IAG, NIAG, IMIA, C80 PC and ACM, but the resulting income was a long way from meeting the overheads.

The President advised that a Foundation Review Committee had been created to look into the problem under the Chairmanship of Mr. Hernaes with Messrs. Tuori and Kienhuis as members.



It was also mentioned that Mr. Kienhuis, having a conflict of interest as Treasurer of the Foundation and of IAG; would resign as IAG Treasurer and continue to handle only the Foundation's finances.

10. FUTURE COUNCIL AND GENERAL ASSEMBLY MEETINGS

The President recalled that there was an open invitation from the U.S.A. to host a Council Meeting in Anaheim. Mr. Piloty announced that the Federal Republic of Germany would be happy to host a Council Meeting in Frankfurt and Mr. Tuori indicated there was also an invitation from Finland to host council in Helsinki.

The President thanked Messrs. Piloty and Tuori for their gracious invitations and said Council would NOTE same and make a decision at a later stage.

11. CLOSING OF MEETING

The President thanked the Council Members and other participants for their contributions and again expressed Council's appreciation to the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, to Messrs. Sendov and Iliev and their colleagues for their warm hospitality, excellent facilities and all the arrangements made on Council's behalf

The President declared the Meeting closed.

ACTION POINTS

<u>Agenda item</u>	<u>Action Required By</u>
4.1 .....	President
4.2 .....	Council Members
4.2 .....	Everyone
4.2 .....	Everyone
4.3 .....	Mr. Hernaes
5.3 .....	Member Societies: Denmark / Italy
5.3 .....	Member Societies: Brazil / Canada / GDR / USSR / Yugoslavia
5.4 .....	PC
5.4 .....	President / APC
5.5 .....	APC / TC Chairmen
5.5 .....	Mr. Bennett
5.5 .....	Mr. Dorodnicyn
5.7 .....	President / Mr. Gotlieb
5.8 .....	Mr. Piloty
5.9 .....	General Assembly Members
5.9 .....	ICID and FPC
5.9 .....	Everyone
5.10 .....	Mr. Renard
5.10 .....	Mr. Renard / Mr. Hernaes
6.1 .....	APC
6.1 .....	APC
6.3 .....	Mr. Genuys / IFIP Foundation
6.4 .....	FC
6.4 .....	Mr. Hernaes
6.5 .....	APC
6.5 .....	APC / TC's
6.5 .....	FPC / SBC
6.5 .....	FPC / SBC
6.5 .....	APC / TC's
6.5 .....	APC / TC's
6.5 .....	APC / TC's / WG's
6.5 .....	General Assembly Members
6.5 .....	APC
6.5 .....	FPC / SBC
6.5 .....	Executive Body
6.5 .....	PC
6.5 .....	PC / Member Societies
6.5 .....	PIC
6.5 .....	PIC
6.5 .....	Mr. Narasimhan / Secretariat
6.6 .....	TC 6 / TC 8 / IAG
6.6 .....	Mr. van der Veer / Mr. Bennett
6.7 .....	Mr. Tanaka / Mr. Kalman
6.7 .....	Mr. Tanaka
6.8 .....	Mr. Zemanek
6.9 .....	Mr. Morris
6.9 .....	Mr. Morris
6.10.....	Council Members
6.13.....	President / IAC
6.13.....	President / IAC
6.13.....	Mr. Zich
6.14.....	Mr. Ando
6.14.....	SSC-C86 / General Assembly Members
7.1 .....	Council Members
7.1 .....	Council Members
7.1 .....	Congress 80 OC Chairmen / Mr. Paker
7.1 .....	President

7.1 .....	Congress 80 Liaison Officers
7.1 .....	Mr. Goldsworthy
7.1 .....	Mr. Goldsworthy
7.1 .....	Mr. Ozeki
9.1 .....	Mr. Ando
9.1 .....	Mr. Ando
9.2 .....	President / Mr. Goldsworthy